

Appendix A: Questionnaire

DANVILLE SOCIAL CAPITAL SURVEY 2011
INTRO SECTION FOR LISTED AND RDD SAMPLES

{Q: INTRO}

Hello. I'm calling from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. We are conducting an important survey on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation to learn more about life in your community and how people spend their time these days. Your household was selected at random to be part of our sample. My name is _____ and it will take me just a minute to select one person in your household to participate.

- | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 NO ANSWER/TEMP UNAVAIL | 5 IMMEDIATE HANGUP |
| 2 BUSY/NETWORK BUSY | 6 IMMEDIATE REFUSAL |
| 3 ANS MACH/VOICEMAIL | 7 CALLBACK/CALL LANDLINE |
| 4 BAD NUMBER/CELLPHONE | 8 GO ON |

[IF FINISHING INCOMPLETE SURVEY]

Hello. I'm calling from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. We are conducting an important survey on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation. We had started a survey with _____ but were unable to complete it at that time. My name is _____. Would this be a good time to finish up the questions?

[IF NECESSARY: "The survey is about life in your community.]

{Q: INTRO2}

INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY - We're calling from the University of Virginia on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation. We're not selling anything. We're conducting a survey of residents in your community.

To ensure a valid survey I need to randomly select an adult in your household to complete the interview with.

{Q: ADULTRES}

First, I need to confirm that you are at least 18 years old, and that you live at the residence I am calling. [IF NECESSARY SAY: Your answers are confidential, and we don't use anybody's name.]

- 1 R IS RESIDENT ADULT, PROCEED
- 2 R IS NOT RESIDENT OR ADULT, WE NEED TO GET ONE
- 3 REFUSED

{Q: ADCOME}

If R is not resident or adult in ADULTRES, ASK

Can you ask someone 18 or older who lives in your house to come to the phone?

- 4 YES, ASKING RESIDENT ADULT TO COME TO THE PHONE
- 5 NO, CAN'T ASK RESIDENT ADULT TO COME TO THE PHONE
- 6 REFUSES TO ASK RESIDENT ADULT TO COME TO PHONE

{Q: ADCALLBK}

If NO to ADCOME, ASK

Would it be possible to reach an adult at another time?

- 7 YES, SCHEDULE CALLBACK
- 8 NO (OR NOT SURE), ADULT NOT AVAILABLE DURING STUDY PERIOD
- 9 REFUSED

{Q: REINTRO}

Hello. I'm calling from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. We are conducting an important survey on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation to learn more about life in your community and how people spend their time these days.

Your household was selected at random to be part of our sample. My name is _____ and it will take me just a minute to select one person in your household to participate. Would you be willing to help us out by answering a few questions?

- 1 R1 READY, PROCEED
- 2 R1 CALLBACK
- 3 R1 REFUSED

{Q: CONFIRM}

In what county or independent city do you live?

- 1 CITY OF DANVILLE, VIRGINIA
- 2 PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY, VIRGINIA
- 3 CASWELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
- 4 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED
- 5 [OTHER COUNTY/INDEPENDENT CITY NAMED]

[IV: If respondent says they live in Chatham, Hurt, or Gretna, select "2" for Pittsylvania County. If answer is 4, then TERMINATE]

{Q: HOWMANY}

To ensure a valid survey, I need you to randomly select an adult in your household to complete the interview with. [IV IF NECESSARY: If always interview the person who answers the phone the survey will not accurately reflect the opinions of the whole population.]

So could you please tell me how many adults 18 and over there are in your household including yourself? TYPE "99" FOR REFUSED (GO TO Q:LASTBDA2)

If there is only 1 person in the household, then skip to R1GO. If there are 2 persons in the household, then 50% skip to R1GO and the other 50% go on to the next question.

If there are 3 persons in the household, then 33% skip to R1GO and the other 67% go on to the next question.

If there are 4 persons in the household, then 25% skip to R1GO and the other 75% go on to the next question. And so on.

{Q: LASTBDAY}

The computer has randomly determined that one of the adults other than yourself should be selected for the rest of the interview.

To help us select this person, do you know who has had the most recent birthday among these adults? [IF NECESSARY SAY: I don't mean the youngest person in your house; I mean the last one to have had a birthday according to the calendar.]

- 1 R1 says YES, KNOWS OTHER ADULT HAD LAST BIRTHDAY
- 2 R1 SAYS DOESN'T KNOW WHO HAD LAST BIRTHDAY
- 3 REF TO SAY WHO HAD LAST BIRTHDAY / R1 REFUSES TO CONTINUE

If answer = 1 then skip to R2COME

If answer = 2 then go on to R2KISH

If answer = 3 TERMINATE

{Q: R2KISH}

If you do not know the last birthday person, could you tell me the first name of the other adults in the household?

- 1 R1 SAYS YES
- 2 R1 DOESN'T KNOW
- 3 R1 REFUSES TO CONTINUE

{Q: R2Names}

Now, the computer will randomly select a name from the list of names or first initial as you tell them to me. Please say the names now

INTERVIEWER: HIT 1 EACH TIME A NAME IS SPOKEN OUT

{Q: R1GO}

Okay, let's get started, and I want to assure you that all of your answers are confidential, and you can decline to answer any question at any time. If you have any questions as we go along, please feel free to ask.

- 1 R1 READY, PROCEED
- 2 R1 CALLBACK [GET NAME OF R1 FOR CALLBACK MESSAGE LINE]
- 3 R1 REFUSED
- 4 RETURN TO PREVIOUS SCREEN WITHOUT SELECTING ANOTHER ADULT

{Q: R2COME}

If LASTBDAY is other adult, ASK

Can you ask that person to come to the phone?

- 5 YES, R1 ASKING R2 TO COME TO PHONE
- 6 NO, CAN'T ASK R2 TO COME TO PHONE
- 7 R1 REFUSES TO ASK PERSON TO COME TO PHONE

{Q: R2CALLBK}

If NO to R2COME, ASK

Would it be possible to reach this person at another time?

- 8 YES, SCHEDULE CALLBACK
- 9 NO (OR NOT SURE), R2 IS NOT AVAILABLE DURING STUDY PERIOD
- 10 REFUSED

{Q: R2INTRO}

If R2 IS SELECTED to NEWBDAY, ASK

Hello. I'm calling from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. We are conducting an important survey on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation to learn more about life in your community and how people spend their time these days.

Your household was selected at random to be part of our sample, and you were randomly selected as the person in your household to participate. My name is _____, and if this is a good time we can go ahead and get started.

- 1 R2 READY, PROCEED
- 2 R2 CALLBACK [GET NAME OF R2 FOR CALLBACK MESSAGE LINE]
- 3 R2 CAME TO PHONE, BUT REFUSED [DO NOT SWITCH BACK TO R1]
- 4 R2 WOULD NOT COME TO PHONE [CANNOT SWITCH BACK TO R1]

{Q: R2GO}

If R2 READY to R2INTRO, ASK

Okay, let's get started, and I want to remind you that all of your answers are confidential, and you can decline to answer any question at any time. If you have any questions as we go along, please feel free to ask.

- 1 R1 READY [GO TO CELLPHONE]
- 2 R1 CALLBACK [GET NAME OF R2 FOR CALLBACK MESSAGE LINE]
- 3 R1 REFUSES

[IV: IF NECESSARY: This survey is being conducted by the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. The survey should take around 20 minutes, depending on your answers.]

INTRO SECTON FOR CELL PHONE SAMPLE{Q: INTRO}*

Hello. I'm calling from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. We are conducting an important survey on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation to learn more about life in your community and how people spend their time these days. Your cell phone number was randomly selected to be part of our sample this year. If you are currently doing any activity that requires your full attention, I need to call you back at a later time.

- | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 NO ANSWER/TEMP UNAVAIL | 5 IMMEDIATE HANGUP |
| 2 BUSY /NETWORK BUSY | 6 IMMEDIATE REFUSAL |
| 3 ANS MACH/VOICEMAIL/SYSTEM MSG | 7 CALLBACK/CALL LANDLINE |
| 4 BAD NUMBER | 8 GO ON |

[IF FINISHING INCOMPLETE SURVEY]

Hello. I'm calling from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. We are conducting an important survey on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation. We had started a survey with you but were unable to complete it. My name is _____. Would this be a good time to finish up the questions? [IF NECESSARY: The survey is about life in your community.]

{Q: INTRO2}*

Your household was selected at random to be part of our sample. My name is _____ and it will take me just a minute to select one person in your household to participate.

If you would prefer, I would be happy to call you back on a landline phone to conduct this interview at a time that is convenient for you. Qualified respondents will be compensated \$10 for answering our questions.

INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY - We're calling from the University of Virginia on behalf of the Danville Regional Foundation. We're not selling anything. We're conducting a survey of residents in your community.

{Q: ADULTCEL}*

First, I need to confirm that you are at least 18 years old.

- 4 YES
- 5 NO [TERMINATE]
- 6 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

IF NO, OR DON'T KNOW/REFUSED SAY:

Thank you very much, but we are only interviewing persons aged 18 or older at this time.

{Q: CONFIRM2}*

I also need to confirm that you are a resident of one of the following areas. In what county or independent city do you live? IF R IS NOT SURE, ASK: In what county or independent city do you pay the taxes for your vehicle?

- 7 THE CITY OF DANVILLE, VIRGINIA
- 8 PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY, VIRGINIA
- 9 CASWELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
- 10 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED
- 11 [OTHER COUNTY/INDEPENDENT CITY NAMED]

[If answer is 4, then TERMINATE]

{Q: CELLAND}*

To begin we have a few questions about how we reached you. Are you speaking to me on a cellular telephone or on a regular, landline phone located in your home? [IF NECESSARY SAY: By cellular telephone, we mean a "cell phone" that is a telephone that is mobile and usable outside of your neighborhood.]

- 1 CELL PHONE [GO TO CELLUSE]
- 2 REGULAR OR LANDLINE PHONE [GO TO OWNCELL]
- 3 VOICE OVER IP [VOLUNTEERED] [GO TO OWNCELL]
- 4 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: CELLSAFE}

If CELLPHONE=1, ASK

If you are doing something that requires your full attention, then I can call you back at a later time at this number or on a landline phone.

- 5 GO ON
- 6 CALL BACK

{Q: OWNCELL}*

Do you also have a cell phone for your personal use?

- 1 YES [GO TO CELLUSE]
- 2 NO
- 3 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: CELLUSE}*

Okay, then may I ask is this cell phone used for ...? [CELL ONLY]

- 1 Personal use only
- 2 Business use only
- 3 Personal and business use
- 4 CALLBACK
- 5 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: HAVELINE}*

Do you also have a regular telephone at home? [CELL ONLY]

[IF NECESSARY SAY: By regular telephone, we mean a land line telephone]

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 YES, VOICE OVER INTERNET PROTOCOL SERVICE (VOIP)
- 4 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: DANVILLE}

Now, I would like to continue by asking you a few questions concerning where you live.

How long have you lived in the Danville region? [IV: READ IF NECESSARY.]

- 1 Less than six months
- 2 Six months to one year
- 3 One year but less than two years
- 4 Two years but less than five years
- 5 Five years but less than ten years
- 6 Ten years but less than 20 years
- 7 Twenty or more years
- 8 NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED

{Q: HOWLONG}

How long have you lived at your current address?

- 1 Less than six months
- 2 Six months to one year
- 3 One year but less than two years
- 4 Two years but less than five years
- 5 Five years but less than ten years
- 6 Ten years but less than 20 years
- 7 Twenty or more years
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{Q: AREA}

How would you describe the area in which you live?

- 1 An urban area or small city
- 2 A suburban area
- 3 Small town
- 4 A rural village
- 5 Out in the country
- 6 DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: OWNHOME}

Do you own your home, or are you renting your place of residence?

- 1 Owns [Dwelling is owner-occupied]
- 2 Rents
- 3 OTHER [SPECIFY:]
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: TYPEHOME}

Which of the following best describes the place where you live?

- 1 Single family dwelling
- 2 Duplex or townhouse
- 3 Apartment or Condominium
- 4 Mobile home or trailer
- 5 Dormitory
- 6 Some other type of structure (specify) _____
- 7 CALLBACK
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERVIEWING MOST TYPES OF "GROUP QUARTERS" NOW AS LONG AS THE RESPONDENT IS A (MENTALLY COMPETENT) ADULT. IF YOU ARE UNCERTAIN ABOUT THE APPROPRIATENESS OF YOUR PLACE (A PRISON, FOR EXAMPLE) PLEASE ARRANGE A CALLBACK AND ASK THE SUPERVISOR ABOUT THE PACE AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF YOUR RESPONDENT.

{Q: QUALITY}

Please imagine a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 represents the worst possible community in which to live; and 10 represents the best possible community. Where on that scale would you rate [NAME FROM SCREENER] as a place to live?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
WORST BEST

- 1 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 2 REFUSED

{Q: YRSAGO}

If DANVILLE > THAN FIVE YEARS, ASK

Where on the same 1 to 10 scale would you say that [NAME FROM SCREENER] stood five years ago?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
WORST BEST

- 3 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: FUTUREB}

Now, thinking about the future, where on the same 1 to 10 scale would you say that [NAME FROM SCREENER] will stand five years from now?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
WORST BEST

- 1 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 2 REFUSED

{Q: COMPARE}

How would you rate [NAME FROM SCREENER] as a place to live compared to other counties or cities where you have lived?

- 1 Excellent
- 2 Very Good
- 3 Good
- 4 Only fair
- 5 Poor
- 6 ALWAYS LIVE IN [NAME]
- 7 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 8 REFUSED

{Q: NEIGHBOR}

About how many neighbors do you know on a first-name basis?

[DEFINITION IF NECESSARY: "Neighbors are people who live within a short distance of your home."]

- 1 NONE
- 2 1 OR 2
- 3 3 TO 5
- 4 6 TO 10
- 5 11 OR MORE
- 6 No neighbors—ISOLATED DWELLING
- 7 Don't know
- 8 REFUSED/ No answer

{Q: CLOSEREL}

Do you have any close relatives who live within walking distance of your home? [IF NECESSARY: "By close relatives I mean immediate family who are not living with you, and also any other relatives you may have whom you see regularly and feel close to."]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: DRIVEREL}

If NO TO CLOSEREL, ASK

Do you have any close relatives who live within 15 minutes drive of your home? [IF NECESSARY: "We mean: driving one way in normal traffic conditions."]

- 5 YES
- 6 NO
- 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 8 REFUSED

{Q: FIVEYEAR}

Would you like to be living in this same community five years from now?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: EXPECT}

Do you expect to be living in this same community five years from now?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: AFFECTED}

Have changes in the economy over the past two years affected your household, either positively or negatively? *RATIONED AT 60%

- 1 Yes, positively affected
- 2 Yes, negatively affected
- 3 No effect
- 4 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 5 REFUSED

[INTERVIEWER: PAUSE BEFORE READING OPTIONS; IF "YES," PROBE: POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY?]

{Q: NEGATIVE}

IF AFFECTED=2, ASK

How were you negatively affected? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

IV: READ AS NECESSARY. IF YOU READ THE LIST, READ ALL 10 CHOICES AT A DELIBERATE, MODERATE PACE.

- 6 Loss of job
- 7 Decrease in employment
- 8 Cannot find a new job
- 9 Loss OR REDUCTION of income
- 10 HARD TO PAY BILLS AND MAKE ENDS MEET
- 11 Reduced value of home or property
- 12 Loss of employee benefits
- 13 Medical and health-related issues
- 14 Foreclosure
- 15 Decreased value of investment or retirement fund
- 16 Higher cost of living (ENERGY, health COSTS, taxes, etc.)
- 17 higher crime/gang activities
- 18 Other (Specify) _____
- 19 NOTHING SPECIFIC-
- 20 DON'T KNOW
- 21 REFUSED

[INTERVIEWER: PROBE FROM LIST IF NEED TO CLARIFY RESPONSE]

{Q: BETTROFF}

Looking ahead to the next five years, do you think your household will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same?

- 1 Better off financially
- 2 Worse off
- 3 About the same
- 4 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 5 REFUSED

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

Our next series of questions is about public affairs.

{Q: INTEREST}

How interested are you in politics and national affairs? Are you...

- 1 Very interested
- 2 Somewhat interested
- 3 Only slightly interested
- 4 Not at all interested
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: PUBMEET}

How often in the past twelve months have you attended any public meeting in which there was a discussion of community or school affairs?

- 1 A few times
- 2 Once
- 3 Never did this
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: POLMEET}

How often in the past twelve months have you attended a political meeting or rally?

- 1 A few times
- 2 Once
- 3 Never did this
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: VOTE}

Are you currently registered to vote?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not eligible to vote
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: ELECTION}

Did you vote in the November 2010 election? [IF NECESSARY: THIS WAS THE MARSHALL/BURR ELECTION IN NC OR THE PERIELLO/HURT ELECTION IN VA.]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Was not eligible
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: CITYVOTE}

How often do you vote in city or local elections? [ONLY ASKED IF LIVING THERE
GREATER THAN 6 MONTHS.]

- 1 Always
- 2 Almost always
- 3 Most of the time
- 4 Sometimes
- 5 Rarely
- 6 Never
- 7 Was not eligible to vote
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{Q: TRUST}

How much of the time do you think you can trust the local government to do what is right?
Would you say...

- 1 Just about always
- 2 Most of the time
- 3 Only some of the time
- 4 NEVER/ALMOST NEVER [VOLUNTEERED]
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: PETITION}

In the last two years, have you signed a petition? This would include petitions circulated on the internet.

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: CAMPAIGN}

In the last two years, have you participated in a political campaign? [IV: CONTRIBUTING
MONEY COUNTS AS PARTICIPATION BUT NOT CHECKING A BOX ON YOUR
INCOME TAX FORM.]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: PROTEST}

In the last two years, have you participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: PROBLEM}

In the last two years, have you worked with your neighbors to solve a neighborhood or community problem?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: PROBACTN}

IF PROBLEM=1, ASK

What specifically did you do?

{Q: ADVOCATE}

In the last two years, have you worked with others to try and solve a problem at the state or national level?

- 5 Yes
- 6 No
- 7 DON'T KNOW
- 8 REFUSED

{Q: CONNECT}

Do you have any connections or resources outside the community that you can draw on for help in solving community problems or issues? [IV: If asked: "We mean a person, an organization or source of information outside the community."] *RATIONED AT 60%

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: RESOURCE}

IF CONNECT=1, ASK

What are some of the resources you have to draw on for help in solving community problems?

CIVIC PARTICIPATION

{Q: RELIGION}

Not including weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services?

- 5 Every week (or more often)
- 6 Almost every week
- 7 Once or twice a month
- 8 A few times per year
- 9 Less often than that
- 10 Never
- 11 DON'T KNOW
- 12 REFUSED

{Q: CHURCH}

In the last 12 months, have you been involved in any activities related to religious, spiritual, or church-sponsored groups [such as a homeless shelter, food bank, church committee, choir, or Bible study group]?

[IV: IF ASKED, ALCOHOLICS ANONYMOUS, NARCOTICS ANONYMOUS, ETC ARE NOT INCLUDED HERE. RECEIVING FOOD FROM A FOOD BANK DOES NOT COUNT AS INVOLVEMENT.]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: GROUPS}

I'm going to read a list of types of organizations and for each one I'd like to know if you have been a member of any such group during the last twelve months. Please count each of your memberships in only one category as we go through the list.

{LIST IS NOT RANDOMIZED}

[DEFINITION IF NECESSARY: "Membership" usually means that your name is on a list of members, that you have certain privileges like voting or getting a newsletter, and often that you have a membership card. Just making a contribution or supporting the organization does not always make you a member.]

- a. Religious organizations, including a church or temple
- b. Political clubs or political party committees
- c. Professional societies, trade or business associations
- d. Labor unions
- e. Farm organizations
- f. Organizations that work on health issues
- g. Environmental or animal protection groups
- h. Other public interest or political action groups
- i. Social clubs, Greek fraternities and sororities, college clubs
- j. Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool
- k. Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations
- l. Hobby, garden, or recreation groups
- m. Literary, art, cultural organizations, historical societies
- n. Veterans' groups
- o. Social service organizations
- p. Neighborhood or homeowners associations
- q. Fraternal groups like Rotary, Elks, Eastern Star, Shriners
- r. PTA, PTO or school support groups
- s. Scouts or other youth organizations
- t. Clubs or organizations for older people such as AARP
- u. Any other civic or community organizations including fire department
- v. Support groups, self-help groups, or 12-step programs

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: VOLUNTER}

In the past 12 months, have you volunteered any of your time to organizations such as charities, schools, hospitals, religious organizations, neighborhood associations, and civic or other groups?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: TYPEVOL}

IF VOLUNTER=1, ASK

What types of organizations have you volunteered for? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

[INTERVIEWER: READ AS NECESSARY. IF YOU READ THE LIST, READ ALL 20 CHOICES AT A DELIBERATE, MODERATE PACE.]

- 5 Arts, culture, and humanities
- 6 Foundations
- 7 Civic organizations
- 8 Fraternal associations
- 9 Business or for-profit corporation
- 10 Community or neighborhood action
- 11 Food bank or other food programs
- 12 Disease related causes
- 13 School, education or tutoring
- 14 Protection of animals
- 15 Environment or conservation
- 16 Healthcare
- 17 Housing or homeless
- 18 International
- 19 Political, legislative or advocacy
- 20 Religious or church
- 21 Adult recreation
- 22 Service to older people
- 23 Youth activities
- 24 Library
- 25 Other _____
- 26 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: HOURSVOL}

IF VOLUNTER=1, ASK

About how many hours per month, on the average, do you spend volunteering for organizations?

hours or less

- 27 2 hours or less
- 28 3-5 hours
- 29 6-10 hours
- 30 11-20 hours
- 31 21-40 hours
- 32 More than 40 hours
- 33 DON'T KNOW
- 34 REFUSED

{Q: INTRO}

And now thinking more generally about the things you do...

{Q: OFFICER}

In the past 12 months, have you served as an officer or served on a committee of any local club or organization?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: LEADER}

In the last 12 months, have you helped plan or lead a meeting?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: LETTER}

In the last 12 months, have you written a letter or an e-mail for a group?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: PRESENT}

In the last 12 months, have you made a public presentation?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: GIVING}

During the past 12 months, approximately how much money did you and the other family members in your household contribute to all non-profit organizations – religious and non-religious, including your local religious congregation?

- 1 None
- 2 Less than \$100
- 3 \$100 to less than \$500
- 4 \$500 to less than \$1,000
- 5 \$1,000 to less than \$5,000
- 6 More than \$5,000
- 7 DON'T KNOW
- 8 REFUSED

PEOPLE IN THE ECONOMY

{Q: EMPLOY}

Next, I would like to ask a few questions about work. Are you currently...

- 1 Working full time
- 2 Working part time
- 3 Temporarily laid off or disabled
- 4 Unemployed
- 5 Retired
- 6 Permanently disabled
- 7 Homemaker
- 8 Student
- 9 OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
- A DON'T KNOW
- B REFUSED

[DEFINITIONS:

Working full time is 35 hours or more from all jobs combined.

Working part time is less than 35 hours from all jobs combined.]

{Q: NUMJOBS}

IF EMPLOY=1 OR 2, ASK

Do you have more than one paid job?

- 10 Yes
- 11 No
- 12 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 13 REFUSED

{Q: HOURWORK}

IF EMPLOY=1 OR 2, ASK

About how many hours do you work in the average week? Count everything, including extra jobs or paid work you do at home.

- 14 DON'T KNOW
- 15 REFUSED

{Q: JOBLOCATE}

IF EMPLOY=1 OR 2, ASK

Where is your job located? [IF R HAS MORE THAN ONE JOB, "Then thinking about your primary job, where is it located?"]

- 16 IN THE CITY OF DANVILLE
- 17 IN PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY
- 18 IN CASWELL COUNTY
- 19 OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
- 20 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: COMMUTE}

IF EMPLOY=1 OR 2, ASK

On a typical day, about how long does it take you to get to work one way?

[INTERVIEWER RECORD IN NUMBER OF MINUTES: HOUR/MINUTE CONVERSION]

HALF HOUR	= 30 MINUTES
THREE QUARTERS OF AN HOUR	= 45 MINTUES
ONE HOUR	= 60 MINUTES
ONE HOUR AND 15 MINUTES	= 75 MINUTES
ONE AND A HALF HOURS	= 90 MINUTES
ONE AND THREE QUARTER HOURS	= 105 MINUTES
TWO HOURS	= 120 MINUTES
TWO AND A QUARTER HOURS	= 135 MINUTES
TWO AND A HALF HOURS	= 150 MINUTES

777 WORK AT HOME

888 DON'T KNOW

999 REFUSED

ENTER NUMBER HERE _____ MINUTES

{Q: MEANING}

IF EMPLOY=1 OR 2, ASK

Now, I'm going to read a list of statements, and for each one please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree. *RATIONED AT 65%

{LIST IS NOT RANDOMIZED}

w. My job makes good use of my skills and abilities

x. I find my work interesting

y. I feel appreciated, respected, and valued at work

z. I see the connection between the work I do and the benefits received by others

aa. My job gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment

bb. I have plenty of oppourtunities to learn new skills

cc. I have the opportunity for advancement in my job

dd. I feel that I am compensated fairly

ee. My pay is about the same or better than I would receive elsewhere

21 STRONGLY AGREE

22 SOMEWHAT AGREE

23 NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE

24 SOMEWHAT DISAGREE

25 STRONGLY DISAGREE

26 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW

27 REFUSED

{Q: JOBCRED}

IF EMPLOY=1 OR 2, ASK

Other than a high school diploma, was a specific degree or certification required for your current position?

- 28 Yes
- 29 No
- 30 DON'T KNOW
- 31 REFUSED

{Q: TRAINING}

If you had the opportunity, how likely is it that you would take a special course or receive any special job training? [IV: IF NECESSARY, "How likely would you be to take it if it was available?"] *RATIONED AT 70%

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat unlikely
- 4 Very unlikely
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: WHYTRAIN}

IF TRAINING=1 OR 2, ASK

What is the one main reason that you might like to get special job training?

- 7 Your current job requires it
- 8 You want to improve your current job
- 9 You want a new career or occupation
- 10 There is some other reason [SPECIFY]
- 11 DON'T KNOW
- 12 REFUSED

PERCEPTIONS OF CHILDREN

{Q: CHILDREN}

How many children under the age of 18 are there in the household?

99 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: UNDER 6}

IF 1 OR MORE UNDER 18

How many are age 5 or younger?

99 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: SIXUP}

IF 1 OR MORE UNDER 18

How many are age 6 to 12

99 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{TEENS}

IF 1 OR MORE UNDER 18

And how many are age 13 to 17?

99 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

{Q: SCHPUB}

IF SIXUP OR TEENS>0, ASK

Do any of the children in your household attend public schools?

- 100YES
- 101NO
- 102DON'T KNOW
- 103REFUSED

{Q: SCHPRIV}

IF SIXUP OR TEENS>0, ASK

Do any of the children attend private schools?

- 104YES
- 105NO
- 106DON'T KNOW
- 107REFUSED

{Q: SCHHOM}

IF SIXUP OR TEENS>0, ASK

Are any of the children home-schooled?

- 108YES
- 109NO
- 110DON'T KNOW
- 111REFUSED

{Q: CHILDRN}

How would you rate the Danville region as a place to raise children?

- 1 Excellent
- 2 Very good
- 3 Good
- 4 Only fair
- 5 Poor
- 6 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: SCHOOLS}

How would you rate the education provided by the public schools in the [NAME FROM SCREENER]?

- 1 Excellent
- 2 Very good
- 3 Good
- 4 Only fair
- 5 Poor
- 6 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: SCHSAT}

Would you say that you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the quality of the education the children in [NAME FROM SCREENER] are getting?

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
- 5 DON'T KOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: PSSAT}

EDGROU1 (PSSAT, PSJOB, PSCOLL) – RATIONED AT 70%

Over the past five years, do you think the public schools in [NAME FROM SCREENER] gotten better, worse, or stayed the same? [IF NECESSARY: "Even though you haven't lived here for five years yet, please answer this to the best of your ability."]

- 1 GOTTEN BETTER
- 2 GOTTEN WORSE
- 3 STAYED THE SAME
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: PSJOB}

Over the past five years, do you think the public schools in [NAME FROM SCREENER] have gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed the same in providing skills that will be useful in obtaining a job?

- 1 GOTTEN BETTER
- 2 GOTTEN WORSE
- 3 STAYED THE SAME
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: PSCOL}

Over the past five years, do you think the public schools in [NAME FROM SCREENER] have gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed the same in providing skills needed for pursuing a four-year college degree?

- 1 GOTTEN BETTER
- 2 GOTTEN WORSE
- 3 STAYED THE SAME
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: PREPARED}

How would you rate the job the community is doing helping children of pre-school age get ready for school?

- 1 Excellent
- 2 Very good
- 3 Good
- 4 Only fair
- 5 Poor
- 6 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: MOVEAWAY}

Everyone hopes for a good life for their children. When a child from the Danville region is ready to leave home, do you think it would be better for them to stay in the Danville region or move to some other area to live?

- 1 Better to stay
- 2 Better in some other area
- 3 NO DIFFERENCE (VOLUNTEERED)
- 4 DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED/SPECIFY)
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

IF NECESSARY: The "Danville region" includes Caswell and Pittsylvania counties

{Q: RECOMMND}

If your child or the child of a close friend asked you for advice would you recommend that they stay in the Danville region or move away for opportunities somewhere else?

- 1 Better to stay
- 2 Better in some other area
- 3 NO DIFFERENCE (VOLUNTEERED)
- 4 DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED/ SPECIFY)
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: RETURNS}

If a young person does move away for opportunities elsewhere, would you hope that the person returns to the Danville region or do you think it would be better for them to stay in some other area to live?

- 1 Hope the person returns
- 2 Better for the person to stay away
- 3 NO PREFERENCE
- 4 DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: CHALL}

EDGROUP2 – RATIONED AT 70%

What do you think are the biggest challenges that children and youth face in the Danville region?
[IV: CHECK ALL THAT APPLY / DO NOT READ CATEGORIES]

- 1 Drugs
- 2 Gangs
- 3 Teen pregnancy
- 4 Dropping out of school
- 5 No jobs
- 6 LACK OF RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES/AFTERSCHOOL PROGRAMS
- 7 LACK OF PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT/CONTROL
- 8 Other (Specify) _____
- 9 DON'T KNOW
- 10 REFUSED

EFFICACY AND PERCEPTIONS OF COMMUNITY

{Q: IMPOR}

I am going to read you a list of items concerning children and youth. After I read each one, please tell me how important you think it is for your community to devote resources to it. You can say it's very important, 'somewhat important' or 'not important'.

{Q: FEELPART}

How important is it to you to feel a part of the community?

- 1 Very important
- 2 Somewhat important
- 3 Not at all important
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: BELONG}

How often do you feel a sense of belonging or membership in the community?

- 1 Always
- 2 Most of the time
- 3 Sometimes
- 4 Rarely
- 5 Never
- 6 DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: IMPACT}

Overall, how often do you think people like you have an impact in making [NAME] a better place to live?

- 1 Always
- 2 Most of the time
- 3 Sometimes
- 4 Rarely
- 5 Never
- 6 DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

Now, I'm going to read some statements about your community or the area where you live. For each one, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree.

[DEFINITION, IF NECESSARY: Local community means the neighborhood, area of the city, or county, just around where you live.]

{Q: ATHOME}

I feel at home in the area where I live.

- 1 STRONGLY AGREE
- 2 SOMEWHAT AGREE
- 3 NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4 SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
- 5 STRONGLY DISAGREE
- 6 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: COMMON}

I feel I have a lot in common with the people who live in this community.

- 1 STRONGLY AGREE
- 2 SOMEWHAT AGREE
- 3 NEUTRAL
- 4 SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
- 5 STRONGLY DISAGREE
- 6 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: ACTIONS}

I care about what others in my community think of my actions.

- 1 STRONGLY AGREE
- 2 SOMEWHAT AGREE
- 3 NEUTRAL
- 4 SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
- 5 STRONGLY DISAGREE
- 6 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: WELLKEPT}

My neighborhood is being well kept up.

- 1 STRONGLY AGREE
- 2 SOMEWHAT AGREE
- 3 NEUTRAL
- 4 SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
- 5 STRONGLY DISAGREE
- 6 NO OPINON/DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: IMPLIVE}

It is very important to me to live in this particular area.

- 1 STRONGLY AGREE
- 2 SOMEWHAT AGREE
- 3 NEUTRAL
- 4 SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
- 5 STRONGLY DISAGREE
- 6 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

Now thinking about crime and your personal safety, please rate how you feel about your personal safety in the following areas:

{Q: DAYSAFE}

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day?

- 1 Very safe
- 2 Safe
- 3 Unsafe
- 4 Very unsafe
- 5 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: NITESAFE}

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood during the night?

- 1 Very safe
- 2 Safe
- 3 Unsafe
- 4 Very unsafe
- 5 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: SHOPDAY}

How safe do you feel in shopping areas in the community during the day? *RATIONED 70%

- 1 Very safe
- 2 Safe
- 3 Unsafe
- 4 Very unsafe
- 5 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: SHOPNITE}

How safe do you feel in shopping areas in the community at night? *RATIONED AT 70%

- 1 Very safe
- 2 Safe
- 3 Unsafe
- 4 Very unsafe
- 5 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: SCHLSAFE}

How safe do you feel the [CITY/COUNTY BASED ON SCREENER] schools are for the students?

- 1 Very safe
- 2 Safe
- 3 Unsafe
- 4 Very unsafe
- 5 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: HOMESAFE}

How safe do you feel in your home?

[INTERVIEWER: If necessary: This does not mean to include accidents.]

- 1 Very safe
- 2 Safe
- 3 Unsafe
- 4 Very unsafe
- 5 DON'T KNOW/UNABLE TO RATE
- 6 REFUSED

OVERALL HEALTH

{Q: HEALTH}

In general would you say your health is . . .

- 1 Excellent
- 2 Very good
- 3 Good
- 4 Fair
- 5 Poor
- 6 DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: HLTHCOMP}

Compared to one year ago, how would you rate your general health now? Is it . . .

- 1 Much better now than one year ago
- 2 Somewhat better now than one year ago
- 3 About the same as one year ago
- 4 Somewhat worse now than one year ago
- 5 Much worse now than one year ago
- 6 DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: APPTCALL}

In the last 12 months, did you call a doctor's office in the Danville region for an appointment?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: GETAPPT}

How easy was it to get an appointment when you needed it?

- 1 Very easy
- 2 Somewhat easy
- 3 Somewhat difficult, or
- 4 Very difficult
- 5 DON'T KNOW REFUSED

{Q: WAITTIME}

Not counting an appointment that was scheduled at your last visit, for your most recent doctor's visit, how long did you have to wait from the time you called and made the appointment until you actually saw the doctor?

- 1 Same day
- 2 Next day
- 3 Two to three days
- 4 Four to six days
- 5 One week to ten days
- 6 More than ten days but less than one month
- 7 One month or more
- 8 NOT APPLICABLE – APPOINTMENT WAS MADE AT LAST VISIT
- 9 DON'T KNOW
- 10 REFUSED

{Q: POORHLTH}

Is there anyone in your immediate family who is not in good health or who currently has a serious health condition?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: RNDCHLD}

You have more than one child under the age of 18 in your household, so we'll randomly choose one to focus on. Among those children age 18 or under, who has had the most recent birthday? [IF NECESSARY SAY] I don't mean the youngest person in your house; I mean the last one under the age of 18 to have had a birthday according to the calendar.

{Q: CHILDACT}

Now, thinking about your child an his/her typical behavior, how many times a week does your child engage in vigorous physical activity long enough to make him/her breathe hard?

- 1 Three or more times a week
- 2 Once or twice a week
- 3 Occasionally
- 4 Never
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{WATCHTV}

During a normal week, how many hours a day (24 hours) does your child watch television?

- 1 Less than 1 hour
- 2 1 hour but less than 3 hours
- 3 3 hours but less than 5 hours
- 4 5 hours or more
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: ACTIVITY}

ACTIVITY THROUGH OBESITY2 – RATIONED AT 70%

Physical activity can improve healthy living. How often do you participate in physical activity such as walking and exercising?

- 1 Daily
- 2 Two or three times a week
- 3 Once a week
- 4 Only occasionally
- 5 Not able to participate in physical activity
- 6 DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: NUTRITN}

Good nutrition is also important to healthy living. How difficult is it for you to eat healthy?

- 1 Very difficult
- 2 Somewhat difficult
- 3 Not difficult at all
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: OBESITY1}

How much of a problem is obesity in the community where you live?

- 1 Serious problem
- 2 Only a small problem
- 3 Not a problem
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: OBESITY2}

How much of a problem is obesity in your household? [IF NECESSARY: OBESITY MEANS SOMEONE WHO IS SERIOUSLY OVERWEIGHT.]

- 1 Serious problem
- 2 Only a small problem
- 3 Not a problem
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: OBESERES}

IF OBESITY2=1, ASK

Do you have the resources to handle this problem?

- 6 Yes
- 7 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{Q: OBESRES2}

IF OBESERES=1, ASK

What resources do you have to handle obesity in your family?

KNOWLEDGE OF FOUNDATION

{Q: FAMILIAR}

How familiar are you with the Danville Regional Foundation?

- 10 Very familiar
- 11 Somewhat familiar
- 12 Not familiar
- 13 DON'T KNOW
- 14 REFUSED

{Q: EVENTS1}

IF FAMILIAR=1 OR 2, ASK

Have you ever attended a public event, lecture or speaker series offered by the Danville Regional Foundation?

- 15 Yes
- 16 No
- 17 DON'T KNOW
- 18 REFUSED

{Q: EVENTS2}

IF FAMILIAR=1 OR 2, ASK

Do you know of any programs or organizations in the area that have received funding from the Danville Regional Foundation?

- 19 Yes
- 20 No
- 21 DON'T KNOW
- 22 REFUSED

{Q: EVENTS2b}

IF EVENTS2=1, ASK

Which ones?

{Q: EVENTS3}

IF FAMILIAR=1 OR 2, ASK

How important has the work of the Danville Regional Foundation been to your community?

- 1 Very important
- 2 Somewhat important
- 3 Not important
- 4 DON'T KNOW
- 5 REFUSED

{Q: OLDER18}

How many persons live in your household who are age 18 or older, including yourself?

- 1 REFUSED

[INTERVIEWER, IF NECESSARY: "We just need to confirm your earlier answer. Your earlier response was: _____."]

CELL PHONE QUESTIONS

{Q: CELLSHAR}

Do any of the other adults in your household share this cell phone?

[INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY, "I mean the cell phone you are using now."]

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: CELLCOMP}

IF (CELLPHONE = 1 & HAVELINE=1) OR (CELLPHONE = 2 OR 3 AND OWNCELL = 1)

You mentioned before that you have a landline telephone at home as well as a cell phone.

Thinking about all the telephone calls that you and other members of your household make and receive, would you say that...

- 1 Almost all of these calls are on a landline phone
- 2 Most of these calls are on a landline phone
- 3 The amount of calls on a landline and cell phone are about equal
- 4 Most of the calls are on a cell phone
- 5 Almost all of the calls are on a cell phone
- 6 UNABLE TO ESTIMATE/DON'T KNOW
- 7 REFUSED

{Q: PHONE1A}

If CELLPHONE=1 and HAVELINE=1, ASK

As far as you know, is the landline or regular phone for your household listed in the current telephone book?

- 8 YES
- 9 NO
- 10 DON'T KNOW
- 11 REFUSED

{Q: PHONE1B}

If CELLPHONE=2 or 3, ASK

As far as you know, is the number I dialed listed in the current telephone book?

- 12 YES
- 13 NO
- 14 OTHER SPECIFY [SPECIFY:]
- 15 DON'T KNOW
- 16 REFUSED

{Q: PHONE2}

If PHONE1A or PHONE1B=1, ASK

Is the number not in the phone book because you chose to have an unlisted number, or because you got this number after the current phone book came out?

- 17 Unlisted or unpublished
- 18 Got number after phone book came out
- 19 OTHER [SPECIFY:]
- 20 DON'T KNOW
- 21 REFUSED

DEMOGRAPHICS

Now, I have just a few final questions to ask. We ask these questions just for statistical purposes, and all your answers are strictly confidential. You can skip any questions you don't wish to answer.

{Q: YRBORN}

In what year were you born? [INTERVIEWER: THE YEAR MUST BE BEFORE 1992.]

-
- 1 DON'T KNOW
 - 2 REFUSED

{Q: GENDER}

[ENTER RESPONDENT'S GENDER: ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY: SAY: "The survey requires that you tell me your gender."]

- 1 MALE
- 2 FEMALE
- 3 REFUSED

{Q: ZIPCODE}

What is your zipcode? _____

{Q: MARITAL}

What is your current marital status? Are you married, separated, divorced, widowed, or have you never been married?

- 1 MARRIED
- 2 SEPARATED
- 3 DIVORCED
- 4 WIDOWED
- 5 NEVER MARRIED
- 6 REFUSED

{Q: EDUC}

What is the highest level of education you completed?

- 1 Less than 9th grade
- 2 9th-12th, but did not finish high school
- 3 High school graduate or G.E.D.
- 4 Some college but no degree
- 5 2 year college degree/A.A./A.S.
- 6 4 year college degree/B.A./B.S.
- 7 SOME GRADUATE WORK
- 8 COMPLETED MASTERS OR PROFESSIONAL DEGREE
- 9 ADVANCED GRADUATE WORK OR PH.D.
- 10 DON'T KNOW
- 11 REFUSED

{Q: INCOME}

I am going to read a list of income ranges. Would you please stop me when I read the range that best describes your annual household income from all sources in 2010? That would be before taxes and other deductions.

[PRECISE CATEGORIES]

- | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| 1 | Less than 10 thousand? | [\$0 -- \$9,999] |
| 2 | Ten to less than 30 thousand? | [\$10,000 -- \$29,999] |
| 3 | Thirty to less than 50 thousand? | [\$30,000 -- \$49,999] |
| 4 | Fifty to less than 70 thousand? | [\$50,000 -- \$69,999] |
| 5 | Seventy to less than 100 thousand? | [\$70,000 -- \$99,999] |
| 6 | One hundred to less than 150 thousand? | [\$100,000 -- \$150,000] |
| 7 | One hundred fifty thousand or more? | [\$150,000 +] |
| 8 | DON'T KNOW | |
| 9 | REFUSED/NO ANSWER | |

{Q: HISPANIC}

Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic or Latino origin?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 DON'T KNOW
- 4 REFUSED

{Q: RACE}

Finally, I am going to read a list of racial categories. Would you tell me what category best describes you?

- 1 African American/Black
- 2 Asian or Pacific Islander
- 3 White
- 4 American Indian/Native American/Alaskan Native
- 5 Multi-racial
- 6 OTHER [SPECIFY]
- 7 REFUSED/NO ANSWER

[IF NECESSARY: Many Hispanic people may identify with a particular racial group, in addition to being Hispanic. They may think of themselves as "Black Hispanic," "White Hispanic," or some other racial group as well.]

{Q: CELLBILL}

If CELLAND=1, ASK

Some people these days have unlimited minutes on their cell phones. Some have high limits and others pay by the minute. Do you expect to actually pay a higher bill this month as a result of doing this survey on your cell phone?

- 8 DEFINITELY (YES)
- 9 PROBABLY WILL (YES)
- 10 PROBABLY NOT
- 11 DEFINITELY NOT
- 12 DEPENDS [SPECIFY:]
- 13 DON'T KNOW
- 14 REFUSED

{Q: SENDGIFT}

As a token of our thanks, we would like to send you a \$10 gift card. May I get your name and address so we can send it to you? This information will not be associated with any of the other responses you have given.

IF YES: Would you prefer a gift card from Kroger, Target or Walmart?

- 15 YES, SEND GIFT CARD FROM KROGER
- 16 YES, SEND GIFT CARD FROM TARGET
- 17 YES, SEND GIFT CARD FROM WALMART
- 18 YES, SEND GIFT CARD – DOESN'T MATTER WHICH ONE
- 19 NO, RESPONDENT DECLINES GIFT CARD

{Q: RCOMM}

Those are all the questions I have for you. Before I say good-bye, are there any other comments you'd like to make?

{Q: THANKYOU}

Thank you very much for participating. We appreciate the time you have taken to complete this interview. The survey's results will be reported to the Danville Regional Foundation at a future date.

[READ IF NECESSARY:] If you have any questions on the purpose of this study, you can call or you can call my supervisor here at the Center for Survey Research. We're at 1-800-CSR-POLL--just mention the Danville Regional Survey.

Again, thank you and goodbye.

Appendix B:
Survey and Sampling Methodology

SURVEY AND SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The 2011 Danville Social Capital Survey was conducted by the Center for Survey Research (CSR) using a Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system, employing an innovative triple-frame telephone sampling methodology that included Random Digit Dialing [RDD] of landline telephones, a random sample of directory-listed telephone numbers, and RDD sampling of cell phone exchanges. A discussion of the general methodology appears in Section I of this report. This appendix provides additional details on how the questionnaire was developed, how the sample was selected, how the survey was administered, how the statistical weighting was implemented and how statistical testing was used to evaluate the results.

Sample

CSR employed a triple-frame sample design, combining landline-based Random Digit Dialing (RDD) and a directory-listed household sample with randomized cellular (wireless) telephone numbers derived from regional cell phone rate centers to reach a random sample in the geographic area impacted by the Danville Regional Foundation, specifically, the City of Danville and Pittsylvania County, VA in addition to Caswell County, N.C. Landline RDD produces a more representative sample of the population than do most other sampling methods because households are selected for contact at random and all households with a working landline telephone can be reached. Listed and unlisted landline residential telephones have equal probability of being included in a landline RDD study. However, because of the increase in the use of cell phones by respondents, the rise in cell phone-only adults, and the decreasing efficiency in RDD, leading survey organizations have begun to field telephone surveys that include cell phone samples.¹ Cell phone samples are less efficient to call than landlines (fewer completions per hour) but reach populations that are less well represented in landline samples. CSR was the first academic survey organization in Virginia to use this developing methodology.

CSR fielded a pilot cell phone survey in January-February 2008² which provided its researchers with an opportunity to develop appropriate procedures, disposition codes, survey questions, and training materials for surveying cell phones. The pilot demonstrated the viability of cell phone surveying and allowed assessment of the costs, which are two to three times higher (per interview) than ordinary RDD interviewing.³ Respondents in the cell phone pilot were offered a cash incentive to complete the interview, in recognition of the fact that some cell phone users incur usage fees if they stay on the phone to complete the interview. The cell phone pilot not only showed the feasibility of cell phone calling, but demonstrated that the demographics of those reached via cell phone are quite different from those currently reachable via landline phone. Cell phone respondents are markedly younger, more likely to be single and never-married, more likely to be renters, newcomers to the area, low-income, and members of minority groups (African-American or Hispanic).

In addition, the pilot study and a follow-up 2008 survey included a cell phone incentive experiment which demonstrated that if cell phone samples were randomly divided into two groups where half were offered a \$5 incentive and the other half \$10, using the higher \$10.00 incentive actually led to a net cost savings.

¹ AAPOR Cell Phone Task Force. 2010. New Considerations for Survey Researchers When Planning and Conducting RDD Telephone Surveys in the U.S. With Respondents Reached via Cell Phone Numbers. Task force chair: Paul J. Lavrakas. American Association for Public Opinion Research. Available online at www.aapor.org.

² Abdoulaye Diop, Young-Il Kim, John Lee Holmes, and Thomas M. Guterbock. *Prince William County Cell Phone Pilot Survey [A Supplement to the 2007 Citizen Satisfaction Survey]: Summary Report of Results*. Center for Survey Research, March 2008.

³ Guterbock, T., Lavrakas, P.J., Tompson, T. and ZuWallack, R. 2010. The Variable Costs of Cell Phone Interviewing: Understanding Cost and Productivity Ratios in Dual-Frame Telephone Surveys. Paper presented at the 65th annual conference of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Chicago.

Given a standard cost estimate of \$32 per interviewing hour for telephone production, the increase in the rate of completions per hour can actually save more than the cost of an extra \$5.00 in incentive payment. (The per-hour estimate does not include processing fees and other administrative costs.) For that reason CSR has been using a \$10 gift card incentive for its cell phone samples.

However, more recent experience with cell phone interviewing has suggested that offering a cash incentive does not greatly change the production rate or rate of response and therefore may not be cost effective. To allow further insight into this issue, CSR introduced a small experiment into the 2011 Danville Social Capital Survey. We divided our cell phone sample into two parts by random assignment. One group was offered the \$10 gift card incentive as usual; the other group was not offered any incentive. The preliminary results appear to confirm that the monetary incentive is no longer efficacious; further analysis will be reported by CSR in a separate methods paper.

To partially offset the additional cost of including cell phones, the sample design included a substantial number of cases to be completed from a random sample of directory-listed numbers, referred to below as “listed sample.” (Listed sample is sometimes referred to as EWP sample in the literature because it is derived from the “electronic white pages.”) In 2008 and 2009 conference presentations, CSR researchers have argued that the cost of pursuing an RDD sample may not be worthwhile if cell phone numbers are sampled as well, arguing that listed sample combined with cell phone sample might offer a closely comparable degree of representativeness.⁴ But rather than discard the RDD approach entirely, CSR’s recent survey designs split the landline sample into an RDD portion and a listed-sample portion drawing on a random selection of directory-listed telephone numbers from the targeted region. This choice facilitates further exploration of whether an RDD + Cell sample frame produces different results from the more efficient EWP + Cell sample frame.

In summary, a landline RDD sample of 3,078 telephone numbers (39% of the total) randomly generated from five-digit call groups known to be in operation in Danville, Caswell and Pittsylvania County, and a second, general directory-listed sample from electronic white pages of 1,979 telephone numbers (25% of the total) were combined with a cell phone sample of 2,884 numbers (36% of the total) in an effort to ensure greater targeting of harder to reach populations and geographies. Samples were purchased from Survey Sampling, Inc. of Fairfield, CT, a commercial sampling company that uses state-of-the-art methodologies. Table B-1 summarizes the sample purchased and completions (completions and partials used for analysis) for the different sample types and Table B-2 cross-tabulates this with the three geographic regions. This year saw a decline in the efficiency of listed sample along with a slight decrease in cellular calling efficiency but a small increase in Landline RDD. Overall efficiency remained about the same at 7 cases attempted per completed interview (7:1). It is worth mentioning in this context that a high percentage of RDD sampled respondents indicated that their number was also listed (81% for RDD sampled respondents compared to 95% indicating a listed number in the listed sample.)

⁴ Thomas M. Guterbock, James Ellis, Abdoulaye Diop, Kien Le, and John Lee Holmes. “Who Needs RDD: Combining Directory Listings with Cell Phone Exchanges for an Alternative Sampling Frame” Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, New Orleans, May 2008.
Thomas M. Guterbock, James Ellis, Abdoulaye Diop, Kien Le, and John Lee Holmes. “Who Needs RDD II: An Assessment of Coverage Bias in Dual-Frame Designs that Combine Directory-Listed and Cellphone Samples.” Poster presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Hollywood, FL, May 2009.

Table B-1: Summary of Survey Sample Types Used, 2011

Phone Type	Sample	(%)	Completes + Partials	(%)	Ratio (sample: completes)
Landline RDD	3,078	38.8%	569	49.9%	5:1
Listed	1,979	39.1%	401	35.2%	5:1
Cell \$10	1,356	17.1%	81	7.1%	17:1
Cell \$0	1,528	19.2%	89	7.8%	17:1
TOTAL	7,063	100.0%	1026	100.0%	7:1

In addition, this year an experiment was conducted with the cell phone sample such that half of the cases received a \$10 incentive and the others received no incentive. Interviewers were aware of which sample group received the incentive but were trained on the importance of not altering their responses in an effort to reduce bias. There is still a slight increase in efficiency with the use of an incentive. However, the productivity increase was not high enough to pay for the incentive as was seen in the past. Data from this and other studies using the same experiment are being examined to determine the viability of the incentive. Another substantive issue is whether there is any drop off in the groups specifically targeted by the use of random digit wireless sample (cell phones).

Table B-2: Respondents by Sample Type and Area, 2011

2011 AREA	Sample Type			Total
	Random Digit Dialing	Directory Listed (EWP)	Cell phone	
City of Danville	174	137	161	472
Pittsylvania County	333	199	0	532
Casswell County	<u>62</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>136</u>
Total	569	401	170	1,140

Respondent Selection

Telephone surveys risk biases owing to variation among members of a household in the likelihood of answering the telephone. For example, persons who do not work may be more likely to be available to answer the phone than are those who are employed. Various methods have been developed to randomize respondents within households in order to reduce these biases. CSR used a “minimally intrusive method” (MI) which combines random selection (between all adults in the household) by computer with the “last-birthday” method (if a household has three or more adults), in which we ask to speak to the adult in the household who had the most recent birthday or, if last birthday is unknown, with the Kish selection process of enumerating first names of eligible household members for random selection by the computer.⁵ This protocol was applied to all households reached via the landline RDD or listed samples.

⁵ Programmed by CSR into the CATI system based on the method’s description in Louis Rizzo, J. Michael Brick and Inho Park “A Minimally Intrusive Method for Sampling Persons in Random Digit Dial Surveys,” *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Vol. 68, No. 2 (2004), pp. 267-274.

However, we found that in the Danville Region, especially for listed sample, we were sampling an inordinately high number of older female respondents using that method. Accordingly, as of April landline household selection was switched to another simple procedure known to maintain randomness while targeting younger males. Interviewers asked for the youngest male who was at home at the time of the call, and if there was no male resident at home at the time, the youngest female at home at the time of the call was requested. This “youngest male/youngest female (YMYF) method avoids the intrusion of asking for a household roster or asking the person who answers the call to remember who among the adult residents had the most recent birthday or who will have the next birthday. Note that it is essential to this method that it be “the YMYF at home now” so that older adults have a random chance of being the youngest person at home at any given time. The program recorded which selection method was used and the results are presented in Table B-3 below. The gender imbalance in the sample was corrected in our weighting process, discussed later in this Appendix.

Table B-3 : Gender of Requested Respondent by household selection method

Gender	Number from MI Selection*	Number from YMYF	Number from Cell**	Final Total	% of Final Unweighted Dataset
Male	263 (36%)	62 (47%)	62 (40%)	387	38.1%
Female	464 (64%)	70 (53%)	92 (60%)	626	61.6%

*Three(MI) respondents refused on gender ID and interviewer unable to code. **No selection done for cell contact.

Cell phone adults were considered to be sampled as individuals. Prior research by others has shown that the percentage of cell phones actively shared by more than one adult is low and that it is very difficult in practice to accomplish a ‘hand-off’ of the cell phone from one adult to another randomly selected user of the phone.⁶ Therefore, no within-household selection was attempted in the cell phone interviews for this study.

Questionnaire

Most of the questions asked in 2011 are identical to those asked in the 2009 questionnaire, which was developed by CSR in close consultation with the Sponsor. The main purpose of the survey was to measure how people feel about the region and their future in it, to measure people’s attachment to their community, and to measure the region’s social capital which includes civic engagement, political involvement, and connectedness to the community. Small modifications were made to the questionnaire to include questions about Early Childhood Education to measure the effectiveness of recent efforts in this area. Modifications were also made in the questions regarding health issues. Since there has been extensive research in the area of social capital and community attachment, CSR included questions from other surveys that have shown to be reliable measures of these concepts. The following is a list of the previous surveys that were consulted: *Maintaining America’s Social Fabric: The AARP Survey of Civic Involvement (1997) conducted by Thomas M. Guterbock; the social Capital Benchmark Study (1995) conducted by Robert Putnam; the Northwest Area foundation Horizons Social Capital Survey (2005) conducted by the Oregon Survey Research Laboratory; the Commonwealth Education Poll (2006) conducted by the VCU Center for Public Service/Survey and Evaluation Research Laboratory for the Commonwealth Educational Policy Institute; and the Albemarle County, Chesterfield County, and Prince*

⁶ J. Michael Brick, W. Sherman Edwards, and Sunghee Lee. “Sampling Telephone Numbers and Adults, Interview Length, and Weighting in The California Health Interview Survey Cell Phone Pilot Study.” *Public Opinion Quarterly* (2007) 71: 793-813.

William County Citizen Satisfaction Surveys conducted in multiple years by the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia.

As is standard practice for reducing questionnaire length, the survey employed the practice of “question rationing,” that is, asking certain questions of fewer than all respondents, in order to ask a larger number of questions and obtain a sufficiently large sample of responses to each question without making the survey substantially longer for any individual respondent.

The questionnaire was pre-tested twice, first from March 16-18, 2011 and then again on March 27-29, 2011. The first pre-test resulted in 30 completed interviews. The survey length on the pretest was 25.3 minutes on average from hello to hang-up. In addition to the average interview length being too long the first pretest used only landline sample and CSR wanted to test its cell phone experiment before fielding. For the second pretest a wireless random digit or cellular telephone sample drawn from rate centers proximate to all three areas of Danville, Caswell and Pittsylvania was used on a somewhat shortened instrument. The second pretest targeted only 10 completions and resulted in an average length of 28 minutes from greeting to goodbye. Since it was cell phone calling we anticipated the length being longer. Based on this pretest, we refined our training procedures, further adjusted the question-rationing percentages downward and made further changes in an effort to bring the median survey length down to around 20 minutes, and corrected minor errors in the CATI program for production interviews.

Interviewing Procedures

CSR conducted the telephone interviews from its Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) Laboratory at the University of Virginia. CATI is a system in which computers are employed to increase the efficiency, accuracy, and flexibility of telephone surveys conducted by trained interviewers. Questions appear on the computer screen in programmed sequence as the interviewer presses the keys on the keyboard to record the respondent’s answers. Accurate, instantaneous data entry is assured by the system. The computer system stores the database of telephone numbers and is used to control the sampling process, dial each sampled number, schedule callbacks, and record the disposition of each attempted call.

Production calling for the survey was carried out from March 30 through May 2, 2011. All telephone calls for the study were made from the CATI laboratory under the direct supervision of CSR staff. Landline numbers were dialed automatically by the WinCATI computer system while cell phones were manually dialed. Calling was done on Sunday through Friday evenings and on Sunday afternoons. The interviewers received at least six hours of training prior to production interviewing. Many had prior interviewing experience on similar studies, some had prior experience with the Danville studies specifically, and many were veterans of several cell phone studies. Each phone number was given from 8 to 12 call attempts before it was treated as a “no answer” or “busy” number. Landline phones answered by automatic answering machines were treated the same as “no answer” calls (although counted separately); CSR interviewers did not leave messages on the answering machines of potential landline respondents but simply returned the phone number to the sample pool for another calling attempt at a later time. However, answering machine announcements that identified the phone number as a place of business were recorded as such and not re-attempted.

For cell phones, which are often answered by voicemail systems, interviewers left an appropriate message on the first calling attempt only. The message included an invitation to call back at a toll-free number, but very few callbacks were received. Nevertheless, the messages probably served to increase future receptivity to calls from CSR especially as the message included the phrase that “qualified respondents will receive a \$10 gift certificate for answering our questions” (for those cell phone numbers included in the incentive group). On cell phones that identified themselves as businesses, the number was not removed until the cell phone owner confirmed that it was a business only or three attempts were made. This is because many small business owners use their cell phone for business and personal affairs but leave only a business message on their voice mail.

To reduce “non-response bias” CSR makes several efforts at “conversion calling” for households where a potentially eligible respondent has refused to participate once or twice. Non-response bias in surveys results when qualified respondents do not complete a survey, usually because they are reluctant to cooperate. In conversion calling, our most highly trained interviewers call back households in which we previously had someone refuse to take the survey. First, we kept track of the “tone” of initial refusals. “Hard” refusals, those in which people explicitly asked not to be called again, or were noticeably agitated or upset about our phone call, were not called back at all. “Soft” refusals, those for which it seemed that we only caught someone at a bad time, were called back again after an interval of at least three days. In addition, “hard” refusal respondents who additionally request to be put on CSR’s do not call list are removed from calling for three years. This is in keeping with best practices recommendations in the survey industry.

Productivity and Response Rates

A total of 7,941 phone numbers were attempted in the course of the survey, resulting in 1140 complete or nearly complete cases used for analysis. The interviews took an average of 20.6 minutes to complete once a qualified respondent was identified, with a median time of 19.5 minutes.⁷ Interviews completed on the cell phone (36.3%) were longer than those on the landline (63.7%) by about half a minute on average. Cell phone surveys tend to be slightly shorter at the beginning (.3 minute on average) because of the simpler selection process but longer at the end (1.8 minutes on average) because of the need to obtain information for providing the incentive. This year half of the cell phone respondents did not have the incentive and so that closed the gap on the difference in time which is normally over a minute.

Landline surveys have a more complex selection process (discussed above) aimed at randomizing participant selection within a household. For the cell phone it was assumed that the person answering the phone was the primary user unless stated otherwise by the respondent. This contributes to cell phone surveys being shorter at the respondent selection portion on average than landline. However, overall, cell phone interviews tend to be longer: the average length from greeting to goodbye on a landline interview was 23.0 minutes whereas for the cell phone it was 23.9 minutes. If we look at the point at which a qualified respondent was selected, the cellular telephone survey took 21.2 minutes on average compared to 20.5 minutes for the landline.

The final disposition of each of the attempted phone numbers is shown in two tables at the end of this Appendix. The disposition report is presented in a format that has been recommended as an industry standard by the American Association for Public Opinion Research.⁸ The AAPOR rate was calculated by a custom analysis of the complete call history of each attempted number, using a program written in SPSS by CSR technical staff. CSR completed a total of 1016 interviews (including those completed in the conversion phase of calling), for an overall response rate of 18.3%.⁹ There were also 124 partial interviews which were sufficiently complete for inclusion in the study.

⁷ These times indicate the “completion time”—the time that it took the interviewer to complete the interview from within-household selection of a qualified respondent to goodbye. For this year, the amount of time that the respondent household was actually on the phone, e.g. from greeting to goodbye, comprised an average of 23.16 minutes, with a median of 22.03 minutes.

⁸ The American Association for Public Opinion Research. 1998. *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for RDD Telephone Surveys and In-Person Household Surveys*. Ann Arbor, Michigan: AAPOR. For updates, see also the AAPOR website, www.aapor.org.

⁹ Calculated according to AAPOR suggested formula RR3, with $e1=.48$ and $e2=.95$. We estimated the percent of working, residential numbers among those that were found to always be busy or no-answer (the residency rate) to be .20. This estimate is based on the results of prior CSR experiments that compare RDD sample results with directory-listed sample results for Virginia. We estimated $e2$ by dividing households determined to be eligible by the N of households overall. The estimated $e2$ was applied to housing units where eligibility could not be determined. We derived $e1$ by taking the product of $e2$ and the estimated residency rate. This rate was applied to numbers that were never reached and could not be determined to be residential households. Partial interviews are

The true response rate depends on how one estimates the percentage of working residential phones that exist among the many numbers that never answered our numerous call attempts. This is especially significant for our RDD sample where the most conservative estimate (equivalent to the CASRO rate – not shown in the table) excludes partial cases and assumes that the percentage of residential households among unreachable numbers is the same as the percentage among those we reached, i.e., 74.1%. This would lower our estimate of RR3 for the RDD sample to 21.5%. However, because CSR completed multiple attempts to nearly all of the no-answer numbers and based upon prior experimentation with listed and RDD samples in Virginia, we estimate that the residency rate is in fact around 20% of no-answer numbers and that our true response rate (adjusted RR3) for RDD is closer to 21.8%. Within the landline sample, however, listed numbers (21.2% RR3) do not receive this adjustment and so our total landline response rate is the weighted average of the two landline components. The response rate tables display the unusual circumstance of our RDD having a higher response rate (though lower overall efficiency in calling) than the listed sample. This difference may be a result of differences in calling effort between the RDD and listed samples. The interviewing staff made a proportionately higher number of calling attempts to reach RDD numbers than numbers in the listed sample; this would explain the difference seen in the contact rates, a key component of overall response rates. For the cell phone portion of the sample, the estimated response rate of the two combined cell samples is 10.1% (RR3). The \$10 incentive group had an RR3 of 10.8% compared to the no incentive group which mustered only 9.6%. As with directory-listed sample the residential adjustment is not used since there are as yet no verified estimates of actual “residency” (that is, the proportion cell phones in the sample that are activated and used in the target geography). The total response rate is the weighted average of the triple-frame components.¹⁰

Finally, the efficiency of the calling can be expressed in terms of number of completions per hour of calling (CPH). The overall interview production rate (1.00 interviews per hour) is higher than in 2008 though still less than other surveys, mostly due to the addition of cell phones as well as declining rates of RDD productivity nationwide. For the 970 landline cases the production rate was 1.09 completions per hour, whereas for the 170 cellular respondents production was 0.69 CPH. Table B-4 breaks out the production rates for each sample component.

Table B-4: Respondents by Sample Type, 2011

DANVILLE Social Capital Survey 2011 Productivity		
	Completes	Rate/hr
PR1: Landline RDD (Random B)	569	0.99
PR2: Directory Listed (EWP)	401	1.27
PR3: Cell with \$10 group	81	0.69
PR4: Cell no incentive group	89	0.69
Cell only	170	0.69
Landline only	970	1.09
TOTAL	1140	1.00

not counted in the numerator of the RR3 formula but are counted in the RR4. Our RR4 response rate with partial interviews included was 20.6%.

¹⁰The RR4 estimates for RDD and directory-listed samples were 24.6% and 23.6%, respectively. RR4 for the cell components were 11.5% for the \$10 incentive and 11.4% for the no incentive group which had a higher proportion of partial interviews.

Geography

The definition of the Danville region for inclusion in the study was developed in consultation with the study sponsor. We did not set quotas during the calling period nor weight the data by geography for analysis. However, the three areas were sampled separately and the table below groups respondents into areas according to the respondents' self-reported location in a question that appears early in the interview. The regions used for the landline sample of the Danville region were defined by their FIPS code assignments. For cell phone sample, the two counties and City of Danville were assigned based on the billing coordinates of the exchange. Both Pittsylvania and Caswell counties have their own rate center, as does Danville and so the sampling company was able to define the sample by the three localities. However, the Danville cell phone rate center includes many subscribers who live near but not within Danville City. Table B-5 provides the sample distribution of the three areas indicating how the current 2011 sample responses fall into each.

Table B-5: Distribution of Current Weighted Responses by Geography

Survey Areas	Population of		Sample Proportions,		Completions &	
	Households, ACS 2007-09		2011*		Partials	
	(count)	(%)	(count)	(%)	(count)	(%)
City of Danville	20,158	37.2%	4,111	51.8%	436	38.4%
Pittsylvania County	25,625	47.2%	2,746	34.6%	590	51.9%
Casswell County	8,471	15.6%	1,084	13.7%	111	9.7%
Total	54,254	100%	7,941	100%	1,138	100%

*Note that for cell phones Danville rate center was predominant but covered all three areas

Weighting

Statistical weighting of the survey results was designed this year to accomplish two objectives: (1) to correctly represent the demographic characteristics of the area, and (2) to properly represent different types of phone service in the Danville area (cell phone-only cases, landline-only cases, and those with both kinds of telephone service) as well as the correct proportion of unlisted landline telephones.

As expected when using telephone survey method, the sample composition did not exactly match the composition of the entire population of households. This is because of random sampling error, differences in rates of refusal between different groups, and differences among households in the amount of time that someone is home to answer the phone. The net result is a sample that somewhat over-represents females, under-represents homeowners, and under-represents African Americans. To correct these imbalances, CSR weighted the sample data. Statistical weighting is larger for those respondents who are in underrepresented groups, and smaller for those who are in overrepresented groups, so that the aggregate result is what we would have obtained from a fully uniform, random sample of the whole population.

Demographic weighting. In order to calculate the correct weights, CSR drew upon information from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey, using the 2007-2009 population estimates for the region. The proportion of males to females from the population was then a basis for our weight based on gender. The proportion of homeowners and non-homeowners was combined with a dichotomized race variable (black and non-black categories) to create two sets of weights based on race/homeownership.

Cell phone weighting. Current research on cell phone interviewing is still developing, and there are no standard, accepted methods for weighting the results of a 'dual frame' sample that combines completed interviews from landline samples with completed interviews from cell phone samples. Prof. Guterbock has been working on the development of appropriate methods, and our approach to the current study

applies his latest research to the available local data. Here we treat RDD and listed samples as one “landline” sample, thus treating our triple-frame design as a dual-frame sample (cell phone and landline sampling frames).

The heart of the weighting problem is simple: there is no available external source that will tell us the percentage of the Danville population that has cell phone-only service, landline only, or both. Authoritative data are collected at the national level by the Centers for Disease Control in the National Health Interview Survey, a very large, continuous, in-person data collection focused on health issues.¹¹ That survey determines the phone-service status of each household in a representative national sample, and results from as recently as the first half of 2011 are currently available. However, these data are available only at the national or broad regional level; statistically derived state estimates are also published. It is doubtful that these broad averages across regions, or the whole state, are directly applicable to the Danville region.

The estimation problem is made somewhat more difficult by the fact that rates of survey response are not even across different phone-use segments. That is, cell phone-only adults are much more likely to answer their cell phones than are those who have both kinds of phones. This is understood to reflect differences in telephone behavior between cell phone-onlies and dual-phone users. Cell phone-onlies are presumably more likely to have their phones with them, to have their phones turned on, and to accept calls from unknown numbers than are those who continue to rely on landline phones. For these reasons, the percentage of cell phone-only cases encountered in actual cell phone surveys is much higher than their actual share among all cell phone users. It is probably also the case that landline-only households are somewhat overrepresented within landline samples, as compared to those who have both kinds of phone. The latter group is referred to below as the *overlap sample*, because the households having both landline and cell phones lie at the intersection of the cell phone frame and the landline frame.

In order to estimate the degree of under-representation of the overlap sample segment in the cell phone sample and in the landline sample, we compared results from the 2009 California Health Interview Survey (a telephone survey combining RDD sample with cell phone-only households) with the results from NHIS for the state of California (2009-10 results).¹² Using algebraic formulas developed by Prof. Guterbock, we were able to determine the values for two *response rate ratios*: r_1 , the ratio of the response rate to cell phone calling in the overlap sample compared to the response rate of cell phone-onlies, and r_2 , the ratio of the response rate to landline calling in the overlap sample to the response rate of landline-onlies. The NHIS reports that the phone-service proportions in California were: 18.4% cell phone-only, 69.9% dual-phone (overlap), and 11.6% landline only. If response rates were equal ($r_1 = r_2 = 1.0$), then the CHIS 2007 would have found 20.8% of the cell phone completions to be cell phone-onlies. Instead, CHIS 2007 reports 33.7% percent cell phone-onlies. CHIS should have found 14.3% landline-onlies in the landline sample, but actually had 23.0% landline-onlies in its landline RDD sample. Applying Guterbock’s formulas to these data results in an estimate of $r_1 = .519$ and $r_2 = .558$.

The basic weights were determined using final survey data as shown in Table B-6. The “estimated true” values are derived by application of the values for r_1 and r_2 estimated above to the data from our 2011 survey completions in the Danville region.

¹¹ Steven J. Blumberg and J.V. Luke. “Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July-December 2007.” National Center for Health Statistics, May 13, 2008. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm>.

¹² Thanks to Michael Brick of Westat for sharing results from CHIS 2009 for this purpose.

Table B-6: Initial estimates of the phone-service segments in Danville 2011

	Cell phone sample		Landline sample		Combined samples		Est. true	Weight	Weighted N	
Cell Only	79	41.8%	0	0.0%	79	6.8%	24.78%	3.636	287	24.8%
Overlap (Both)	109	57.7%	759	78.2%	868	74.9%	65.12%	0.870	755	65.1%
LL Only	1	0.5%	211	21.8%	212	18.3%	10.10%	0.552	117	10.1%
	189		970		1159		100%		1159	

Once these estimates were made, a further decision needed to be made about weighting the overlap sample. By design, we did not complete a very large number of cell phone cases because of their greater expense. In theory, if all phones in the Danville region had been called with equal likelihood, we would have reached one half of the overlap sample through their cell phone and one half through their landline. This would call for weighting the portion of the overlap sample reached through cell phone up by a very large weight to bring their share of the overlap to 50%, which could potentially have distorted the results and also increased the ‘design effect’ in the study, reducing the precision of the estimates. We decided to apply a weight of 2.0 to the cell phone cases in our overlap sample, allowing the weight on the landline cases in the overlap sample to take a value that would result in an overall overlap percentage in the weighted sample of 65.1%. Table B-7 shows these weights that were applied to the completions in the final sample.

Table B-7: Final estimates of the phone-service segments in Danville 2011

	Cell phone sample		Landline sample		Combined samples		Est. true	Weight	Weighted N	
Cell only	79	41.8%	0	0.0%	79	6.8%	24.78%	3.6361	287	24.8%
Overlap:										
Cell	109	57.7%	0		109	9.4%	18.81%	2.0000	218	18.8%
Overlap :										
LL	0		759	78.2%	759	65.5%	46.31%	0.7072	537	46.3%
LL only	1	0.5%	211	21.8%	212	18.3%	10.10%	0.5519	117	10.1%
	189		970		1159	100.0%	100.0%		1159	100.0%

Listed status weighting. We also weighted the results to accurately represent unlisted landline cases. These are somewhat underrepresented because the directory-listed sample has only a small percentage of unlisted households. To correct for this, we weighted all unlisted landline households reached on either the RDD or EWP (listed) samples so that, in total, they represent 19.1% percent of the landline completions (16.9% of all completions).

The final step in the weighting process was “raking,” a statistical procedure used to produce combined weights for the four weighting factors: gender, race/homeownership, phone service type, and listed versus unlisted telephone status. The percentages for geographical areas in Table B-7 were used along with the weights for phone usage from Table B-7 in an iterative process that produced a final weight for each of the 64 design cells (4 phone-service segments \times 2 gender \times 4 race/homeownership \times 2 listed statuses [unlisted landline versus all others]) that would best fit with the given marginal population distribution for each weighting factor. This procedure necessarily treats the distribution of phone-service segments as being equal across the geographic areas and demographic groups.

A more complete description of the cell phone estimation procedures used here, along with algebraic formulas needed to calculate and apply the response rate ratios, is available upon request.¹³

Sampling Error and Statistical Testing

Our final sample includes 1,140 respondents. If these cases had been drawn by simple random sample, the survey would have a margin of error of plus or minus 2.90 percent. However, in addition to sampling error there is a design effect that impacts the total margin of error which we calculate by introducing the weights derived by the “raking” process described above into the Complex Sampling module of SPSS statistical software. This tool allows calculation of a “design effect” for each question in the survey. The design effect shows how the variance of sample estimates is increased by the effect of post-stratification weighting. We base our estimate of the overall margin of error on a key survey question, the respondent’s rating of their community on a 1 to 10 scale (QUALITY). For that question, the design effect is 1.99, meaning that the margin of error in our sample of 1,140 cases is equivalent (because of the weighting) to the margin of error we would have obtained from a simple random sample of 573 (1,140/1.99). The margin of error is increased by the square root of the design effect, a factor in this case of 1.41. The final margin of error is 4.09%. This means that in 95 out of 100 samples of this size drawn from the Dan River Region, the results obtained in the sample would fall in a range of ± 4.1 percentage points of what would have been obtained had every household in the region with a working landline or cellular telephone been interviewed. Larger sampling errors are present when analyzing subgroups of the sample or questions that were not asked of all respondents; smaller sampling errors are present when a lopsided majority gives the same answer (e.g., 80 percent of the sample are satisfied with a given service).

Statistical significance tests were used to verify the existence of satisfaction differences among various subgroups. We used the Pearson Chi-Square test of independence. We report in these pages differences that yield a “p-value” of .05 or less. A level of .05 indicates that there is only a 5 percent chance that the difference we find is due to sampling error, rather than reflecting a real relationship within the study population. In comparisons of satisfaction items, the four response categories were collapsed into two, “satisfied” and “dissatisfied.” The statistics for evaluating statistical significance were calculated using the SPSS Complex Sampling module and hence take into account the “design effect.”¹⁴ However, they do not measure other sources of error, which can occur in any poll or survey, that are not related to sampling or weighting.

¹³ Thomas M. Guterbock. “Estimating Phone Service and Usage Percentages: How to Weight the Data from a Local, Dual-Frame Sample Survey of Cellphone and Landline Telephone Users in the United States.” Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Hollywood, Florida, May 14, 2009.

¹⁴ When the design effect is taken into account, tests of significance become more conservative, requiring a somewhat larger difference between groups (or change between years) to achieve significance at the 95% confidence level.

Table B-8: Sample Disposition Report

Danville 2011 – Disposition Listing for All Samples
 [dispositions arranged for calculation of AAPOR standard rates]

Disposition Code	Disposition Description	All Samples Total	Random Digit Dialing	Directory Listed	Cellular (Wireless)
1100	Complete	1016	505	360	151
1200	Partial	124	64	41	19
2110	Unkn Eligible: Refusal	1292	559	367	366
2120	Eligible: Refusal & Break-off	143	90	37	16
2210	Unkn Eligible: Resp Never Avail	347	134	87	126
2221	Unkn Eligible: Ans Mach, No Mess	651	266	260	125
2222	Unkn Eligible: Ans Mach, Message	392			392
2310	Eligible: Dead	1	1		
2320	Ineligible: Phys/Mentally Unable	75	38	36	1
2330	Unkn Eligible: Language Unable	28	11	6	11
2340	Unkn Eligible: Misc. Unable	17	5	3	9
3120	Busy	44	11	17	16
3130	No Answer	250	178	48	24
3140	Ans Mach (Don't Know if HU)	220	31	56	133
3150	Technical Phone Problems	125	16	7	102
3210	HU, Unknown Eligible: No Scnr	1213	514	431	268
3220	HU, Unknown Eligible: Other	0			
4100	Out of Sample	130	35	20	75
4200	Fax/Data Line	191	183	7	1
4310	Non-working Number	1198	123	98	977
4320	Disconnected Number	196	97	76	23
4410	Number Changed	30	4		26
4420	Cell Phone	N/A			
4430	Call Forwarding	1	1		
4510	Business/Govt/Other Org	234	204	12	18
4520	Institution	0			
4530	Group Quarter	1	1		
4700	No Eligible Respondent	22	7	10	5
4800	Quota Filled	0			
Total		7941	3078	1979	2884

Table B-9: Sample Disposition Report**Danville 2011 – AAPOR Standard Rates Calculation**

[Dispositions summary for all Telephone Samples]

AAPOR Standard Rates and Dispositions Summary	OVERALL Ave	Random Digit Dialing	Directory Listed	LANDLINE Ave	Cellular (Wireless)
<i>Estimated Residency 1*</i>	0.481	0.194	0.865	0.457	0.525
<i>Estimated Residency 2</i>	0.951	0.970	0.967	0.969	0.894
Response Rate 1	0.175	0.211	0.208	0.210	0.092
Response Rate 2	0.197	0.238	0.232	0.235	0.103
Response Rate 3 *	0.183	0.218	0.212	0.224	0.101
Response Rate 4 *	0.206	0.246	0.236	0.251	0.114
Response Rate 5	0.258	0.308	0.307	0.308	0.137
Response Rate 6	0.289	0.347	0.342	0.345	0.154
Cooperation Rate 1	0.377	0.397	0.424	0.407	0.264
Cooperation Rate 2	0.423	0.447	0.472	0.457	0.297
Cooperation Rate 3	0.395	0.415	0.447	0.428	0.274
Cooperation Rate 4	0.443	0.467	0.498	0.479	0.308
Refusal Rate 1	0.242	0.268	0.230	0.252	0.217
Refusal Rate 2 *	0.259	0.280	0.238	0.274	0.256
Refusal Rate 3	0.351	0.388	0.338	0.367	0.314
Contact Rate 1	0.454	0.525	0.484	0.508	0.326
Contact Rate 2 *	0.475	0.542	0.493	0.542	0.358
Contact Rate 3	0.660	0.761	0.710	0.740	0.471
Complete Interview	1016	505	360	865	151
Partial Interview	124	64	41	105	19
Refusal and Break-off	1435	649	404	1053	382
Non-contact	1390	400	347	747	643
Other eligible but unable	121	55	45	100	21
Unknown if household	639	236	128	364	275
Unknown if other	1213	514	431	945	268
Ineligible Numbers	2003	655	223	878	1125
Total Dialed Attempts	35892	16709	8601	25310	10582
TOTAL	7941	3078	1979	5057	2884
% of Landline		60.9%	39.1%	100.0%	
% of Overall	100.0%	38.8%	24.9%	63.7%	36.3%

*Contains CSR adjustment rate for Virginia residency for RDD portion of the sample.
 Estimated residency rate for cellular (wireless) sample derives from Landline assumptions.
 No adjustment estimates available for cellular samples at this time.

**Appendix C:
Crosstabulations/Mean Ratings
by the Demographic Variables**

TABLE C1: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C1		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region					
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>					
		mean	n	mean	n
quality	Current quality of living	7.09	479	7.51 ⁽³⁾	540
ysago	Past quality of living	7.10	443	7.40	497
futureb	Future quality of living	6.69	441	7.25 ⁽³⁾	488
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities					
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>					
		%	n	%	n
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	68.0%	381	77.0%	432

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C2: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C2		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
Political Participation					
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>					
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	70.0%	482	69.0%	545
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>					
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	39.0%	478	47.0%	545
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	21.0%	480	22.0%	546
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>					
vote_d	Registered to vote	85.0%	476	92.0% ⁽³⁾	545
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	86.0%	404	88.0%	495
petition_d	Signed a petition	26.0%	478	30.0%	542
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	15.0%	482	16.0%	546
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	3.0%	482	3.0%	545
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	29.0%	482	28.0%	544
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	16.0%	478	12.0%	542
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	27.0%	324	28.0%	341
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>					
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	74.0%	454	77.0%	538
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>					
trust_d	Trust in the local government	47.0% ⁽⁴⁾	441	36.0%	513

TABLE C3: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C3A		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>					
religion_d	Attend religious services	66.0%	480	73.0%	544
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>					
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	51.0%	481	62.0% ⁽³⁾	546
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	63.0%	481	70.0%	544
groups_2_d	Political groups	6.0%	481	8.0%	543
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	25.0%	481	21.0%	541
groups_4_d	Labor unions	8.0%	481	4.0%	542
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	11.0% ⁽⁴⁾	481	4.0%	543
groups_6_d	Health organizations	11.0%	480	16.0%	543
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	9.0%	481	12.0%	544
groups_8_d	Political action groups	10.1%	479	10.1%	543
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	17.7%	481	16.4%	544
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	27.5%	481	27.4%	544
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	6.0%	481	5.9%	543
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	25.3%	481	23.3%	543
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	11.3%	481	16.8%	544
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	14.7%(4)	481	7.3%	543
groups15_d	Social service organizations	9.0%	480	12.0%	544
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	14.7%	481	11.4%	544
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	11.0%(4)	481	4.5%	543

Table C3B		Gender			
Civic Participation <i>% responding "Yes"</i>		Male (3)		Female (4)	
		%	n	%	n
groups18_d	School support groups	18.6%	481	27.3%(3)	544
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	12.6%	481	13.7%	544
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	16.9%	480	23.1%(3)	544
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	8.4%	481	9.1%	544
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	3.9%	480	6.6%	541
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	52.0%	481	57.5%	546
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	17.4%	482	21.7%	546
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	30.5%	482	29.4%	545
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	19.7%	481	23.4%	546
present_d	Made a public presentation	21.3%	481	20.6%	543

TABLE C4: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C4		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
People in the Economy					
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	19.0%	274	21.6%	252
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	36.3%	271	43.8%	251
% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"					
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	90.4%	200	95.3%	180
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	88.9%	200	97.0%(3)	182
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	81.8%	200	84.5%	182
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	89.6%	199	95.5%	178
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	85.4%	200	94.9%(3)	178
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	77.8%	200	81.4%	181
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	61.9%	192	63.5%	179
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	70.7%	200	72.1%	182
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	67.8%	198	67.3%	176
% responding "Very/somewhat likely"					
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	61.8%	343	60.6%	364

TABLE C5: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C5		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
Perceptions of Children		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>					
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	91.1%	132	86.9%	129
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	16.0%	82	22.1%	90
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	2.2%	70	2.0%	74
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>					
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	69.9%	374	69.6%	413
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	65.4%	355	70.7%	394
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	66.7%	382	79.3% ⁽³⁾	458
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>					
pssat_d	Change in public schools	27.8%	252	40.0%(3)	259
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	35.9%	240	42.6%	257
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	39.5%	239	45.0%	245

TABLE C6: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C6A		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>					
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	60.9%	477	57.2%	539
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	63.3%	271	67.1%	329
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	43.7%	482	39.7%	546
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	76.2%	455	70.2%	521
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	80.2%	444	73.1%	524
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	8.7%	348	9.7%	373
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community					
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>					
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	43.2%	476	41.5%	534
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	60.7%	476	58.4%	539
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>					
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	85.4%	478	90.9%(3)	545
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>					
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	90.6%	479	96.9%(3)	544
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	79.0%	482	84.5%	538
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	78.0%	481	87.1%(3)	540
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	87.6%	481	90.5%	543
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	82.2%	474	76.3%	542

Table C6B		Gender			
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		Male (3)		Female (4)	
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>		%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.3%	481	98.7%	545
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	91.5%	482	92.6%	544
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	94.4%	368	93.8%	401
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	75.7%(4)	360	63.5%	381
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	86.9%	435	86.0%	487
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	98.5%	482	98.9%	544

TABLE C7: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C7		Gender			
		Male (3)		Female (4)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>					
health_d	General health status	81.5%	482	81.4%	546
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>					
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	86.6%	482	82.2%	545
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>					
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	70.3%	460	67.0%	531
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>					
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	40.5%	459	44.6%	530
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>					
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	87.6% ⁽⁴⁾	447	80.7%	514
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	33.1%	461	43.8% ⁽³⁾	530
<i>% responding "yes"</i>					
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	68.9%	19	79.7%	47

TABLE C8: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C8		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>							
quality	Current quality of living	7.22	226	7.34	718	7.06	51
yrsago	Past quality of living	7.14	210	7.29	662	6.81	44
futureb	Future quality of living	7.04	206	6.96	650	7.13	48
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	61.1%	188	75.8% ⁽¹⁾	560	74.0%	47

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C9: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C9		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Political Participation							
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>							
		%	n	%	n	%	n
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	69.0%	228	69.8%	721	66.4%	54
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>							
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	50.0%	228	40.6%	721	55.1%	49
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	23.3%	228	21.8%	720	25.0%	54
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
vote_d	Registered to vote	91.5% ⁽³⁾	226	89.0%	721	72.5%	50
election_d	Voted in the 2008 presidential election	87.8%	207	86.9%	637	84.1%	36
petition_d	Signed a petition	16.3%	227	32.8% ⁽¹⁾	715	25.7%	54
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	20.0%	227	14.2%	722	22.2%	54
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	2.5%	228	2.9%	720	11.3%	54
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	28.1%	226	27.5%	721	47.6%	54
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	10.9%	221	14.9%	720	16.2%	54
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	28.1%	153	25.2%	462	59.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	33
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>							
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	79.7%	217	75.2%	705	67.1%	49
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>							
trust_d	Trust in the local government	36.1%	216	43.2%	669	32.1%	47

TABLE C10: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C10A		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>							
religion_d	Attend religious services	72.7%	228	69.8%	719	58.1%	54
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	64.6% ⁽²⁾	228	53.6%	722	65.8%	54
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	69.0%	228	65.4%	719	61.7%	54
groups_2_d	Political groups	6.9%	228	5.5%	719	16.5%	54
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	18.4%	228	25.4% ⁽³⁾	717	11.3%	54
groups_4_d	Labor unions	3.9%	228	6.7%	718	9.4%	54
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	3.2%	227	8.7% ⁽¹⁾	719	4.9%	54
groups_6_d	Health organizations	12.9%	228	13.5%	718	11.4%	53
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	4.7%	228	12.8% ⁽¹⁾	719	6.9%	54
groups_8_d	Political action groups	15.0%	228	8.7%	716	9.9%	54
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	21.6%	228	14.4%	719	22.6%	54
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	20.5%	228	29.9% ⁽¹⁾	719	28.1%	54
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	16.3% ⁽²⁾	227	1.4%	719	26.8% ⁽²⁾	54
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	24.1%	228	25.4%	719	16.0%	54
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	10.8%	228	15.3%	719	18.5%	54
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	9.7%	228	10.7%	719	10.2%	54
groups15_d	Social service organizations	10.5%	228	9.7%	718	19.6%	54
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	13.0%	228	12.9%	719	10.5%	54
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	9.2%	228	6.9%	718	7.4%	54

Table C10B		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Civic Participation							
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n	%	n
groups18_d	School support groups	23.6%	228	22.5%	719	15.8%	54
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	13.7%	228	12.8%	719	7.5%	54
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	18.0%	228	21.3%	718	20.4%	54
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	3.3%	228	10.3% ⁽¹⁾	719	14.5%	54
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	4.4%	228	5.3%	716	11.2%	54
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	53.3%	228	55.3%	721	54.5%	54
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	21.2%	228	19.7%	722	20.8%	54
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	26.8%	227	30.4%	721	31.3%	54
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	18.0%	228	23.3%	721	20.6%	54
present_d	Made a public presentation	18.2%	227	20.8%	718	41.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	54

TABLE C11: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C11		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
People in the Economy							
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n	%	n
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	20.7%	94	19.0%	391	42.6%	27
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	35.6%	94	42.9% ⁽³⁾	386	19.7%	27
% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"							
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	87.3%	71	93.4%	281	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	14
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	88.5%	72	94.6%	281	88.0%	14
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	80.3%	72	82.1%	281	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	14
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	94.3%	72	92.6%	277	88.0%	14
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	81.5%	72	92.5%	277	88.0%	14
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	86.4%	72	78.3%	280	88.0%	14
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	78.1% ⁽²⁾	71	56.4%	271	88.0% ⁽²⁾	14
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	59.6%	72	72.8%	281	79.7%	14
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	63.2%	67	68.2%	279	79.7%	14
% responding "Very/somewhat likely"							
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	72.3% ⁽²⁾	158	57.5%	494	76.6% ⁽²⁾	36

TABLE C12: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C12		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Perceptions of Children		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	100.0% ⁽²⁾	65	85.4%	169	91.6%	17
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	.0%	31	23.9% ⁽¹⁾	125	7.9%	9
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	.0%	31	2.3%	100	7.9%	9
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	70.4%	162	69.8%	562	67.7%	41
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	78.1% ⁽²⁾	165	65.9%	524	51.5%	38
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	84.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	196	71.4%	581	54.1%	41
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>							
pssat_d	Change in public schools	49.5% ⁽²⁾	111	28.5%	358	33.6%	23
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	54.2% ⁽²⁾	108	32.4%	347	46.7%	23
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	63.2% ⁽²⁾	107	32.8%	333	63.1% ⁽²⁾	25

TABLE C13: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C13A		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	51.2%	225	62.1% ⁽¹⁾	715	49.9%	54
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	77.0% ⁽²⁾	114	62.8%	441	59.6%	33
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	49.9% ⁽²⁾	228	38.9%	722	36.9%	54
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	53.9%	214	78.9% ⁽¹⁾	690	71.0%	50
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	57.3%	204	82.8% ⁽¹⁾	696	61.8%	49
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	18.2% ⁽²⁾	148	5.5%	523	14.9%	34
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	54.2% ⁽²⁾	226	38.1%	709	46.7%	53
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	63.1%	227	58.6%	713	59.4%	53
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	89.3%	227	87.7%	717	89.0%	53
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	94.7%	228	94.6%	718	82.1%	53
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	80.5%	224	83.9%	718	64.5%	54
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	89.2% ⁽²⁾	223	81.5%	719	77.7%	54
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	87.9%	228	89.0%	718	90.9%	54
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	73.9%	216	81.0%	720	70.1%	54

Table C13B		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.6%	227	98.0%	720	100.0% ⁽²⁾	54
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	91.0%	228	92.6%	719	99.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	54
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	95.4%	178	94.4%	531	95.1%	40
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	76.6% ⁽²⁾	176	65.4%	509	86.9% ⁽²⁾	38
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	89.5%	208	85.1%	640	86.9%	50
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	99.8% ⁽²⁾	227	98.3%	720	99.1%	54

TABLE C14: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C14		Race					
		Black (1)		White (2)		Other (3)	
Overall Health							
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
health_d	General health status	79.7%	227	83.6%	722	73.6%	54
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>							
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	91.8% ⁽²⁾	227	83.4%	720	76.9%	54
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>							
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	67.0%	222	69.2%	694	73.8%	52
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>							
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	42.7%	221	43.2%	692	38.4%	52
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>							
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	76.6%	215	85.6% ⁽¹⁾	673	90.9% ⁽¹⁾	50
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	36.1%	221	41.4%	693	26.9%	52
<i>% responding "yes"</i>							
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	81.5%	18	71.3%	42	100.0% ⁽²⁾	6

TABLE C15: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C15		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region <i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
quality	Current quality of living	8.01 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	118	7.63 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	302	7.21	342	6.63	146	7.06	97	6.57	7
yrsago	Past quality of living	8.07 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	100	7.45 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	282	7.17	316	6.68	137	6.97	94	6.58	6
futureb	Future quality of living	7.79 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	93	7.24 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	272	6.97	311	6.46	144	6.60	95	6.21	7
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities <i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	76.0%	88	74.1%	238	71.7%	263	65.1%	126	79.4%	92	64.3%	6

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C16: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C16		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Political Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>													
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	44.1%	120	60.0% ⁽¹⁾	303	73.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	343	84.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	91.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	92.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>													
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	18.7%	115	30.9% ⁽¹⁾	303	48.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	57.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	69.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	84.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	9.2%	120	14.0%	303	25.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	26.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	39.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	98	73.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	7
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
vote_d	Registered to vote	69.8%	120	84.2% ⁽¹⁾	302	93.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	339	92.6% ⁽¹⁾	148	99.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	90.0%	7
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	69.4%	82	86.8% ⁽¹⁾	254	87.8% ⁽¹⁾	316	89.3% ⁽¹⁾	137	97.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	97	88.0%	7
petition_d	Signed a petition	8.7%	120	21.5% ⁽¹⁾	300	32.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	343	30.6% ⁽¹⁾	145	52.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	98	70.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	6.7%	120	8.0%	304	16.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	343	21.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	37.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	98	65.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	.9%	120	3.2%	304	3.9%	343	3.4%	147	3.6%	98	16.6%	7
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	21.7%	120	19.8%	304	29.1% ⁽²⁾	342	39.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	43.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	98	75.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	7
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	3.0%	116	10.5% ⁽¹⁾	303	13.7% ⁽¹⁾	341	14.1% ⁽¹⁾	147	35.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	98	53.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	10.2%	83	18.5%	209	27.8% ⁽¹⁾	210	36.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	99	65.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	54	78.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	6
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>													
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	42.7%	115	70.7% ⁽¹⁾	290	82.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	333	86.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	141	92.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	90.0% ⁽¹⁾	7
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>													
trust_d	Trust in the local government	34.8%	111	35.0%	285	41.2%	312	51.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	138	52.4% ⁽²⁾	95	44.2%	7

TABLE C17: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C17A		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
religion_d	Attend religious services	67.6%	120	65.8%	304	66.3%	342	82.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	76.1%	96	93.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
% responding "Yes"													
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	40.1%	120	48.0%	304	58.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	69.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	75.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	7
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	52.8%	120	60.5%	304	64.6%	344	81.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	81.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	95	83.4% ⁽¹⁾	7
groups_2_d	Political groups	3.6%	120	4.7%	303	6.5%	344	3.7%	148	21.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	95	33.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	2.2%	119	6.8%	304	18.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	342	54.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	64.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	95	64.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
groups_4_d	Labor unions	5.0% ⁽⁶⁾	120	7.8% ⁽⁶⁾	304	5.5% ⁽⁶⁾	344	5.0%	148	6.0%	94	.0%	7
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	5.2%	120	8.4% ⁽⁵⁾	304	8.7% ⁽⁵⁾	343	6.3%	148	1.3%	95	7.0%	7
groups_6_d	Health organizations	3.1%	120	8.8%	303	15.5% ⁽¹⁾	343	12.7% ⁽¹⁾	148	32.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	95	49.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	3.6%	120	8.0%	304	14.0% ⁽¹⁾	344	11.6%	148	15.2% ⁽¹⁾	95	16.6%	7
groups_8_d	Political action groups	4.0%	120	3.8%	303	12.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	341	9.8%	148	25.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	95	52.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	1.5%	120	11.8% ⁽¹⁾	304	15.0% ⁽¹⁾	344	29.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	35.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	95	52.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	7.4%	120	20.1% ⁽¹⁾	304	26.8% ⁽¹⁾	344	43.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	53.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	95	41.6% ⁽¹⁾	7
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	3.6%	120	3.8%	304	7.0%	344	5.4%	148	12.8% ⁽²⁾	95	14.3%	7
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	13.7%	120	20.5%	304	22.9%	344	34.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	147	36.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	95	41.7%	7
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	3.7%	120	6.8%	304	10.2%	344	28.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	40.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	95	54.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	7.9%	120	8.6%	304	14.5%	343	9.4%	148	6.9%	95	7.6%	7
groups15_d	Social service organizations	4.7%	120	7.8%	304	9.5%	344	15.3% ⁽¹⁾	148	22.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	95	42.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	5.4%	120	8.2%	304	11.8% ⁽¹⁾	344	27.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	14.9%	95	31.7%	7
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	3.0%	120	5.3%	304	7.5% ⁽¹⁾	344	9.0%	147	16.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	95	30.9% ⁽¹⁾	7

Table C17B		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
groups18_d	School support groups	5.4%	120	17.9% ⁽¹⁾	304	23.1% ⁽¹⁾	344	30.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	49.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	95	39.1% ⁽¹⁾	7
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	2.6%	120	11.3% ⁽¹⁾	304	14.5% ⁽¹⁾	344	17.8% ⁽¹⁾	148	20.2% ⁽¹⁾	95	15.1%	7
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	10.5%	120	15.4%	304	24.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	343	25.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	21.8% ⁽¹⁾	95	35.0%	7
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	2.5%	120	8.6% ⁽¹⁾	304	11.1% ⁽¹⁾	344	11.3% ⁽¹⁾	148	5.5%	95	10.8%	7
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	.0%*	119	4.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	303	7.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	343	4.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	148	11.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	95	.0%*	7
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	17.6%	120	41.3% ⁽¹⁾	304	60.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	75.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	148	87.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	97	92.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	6.8%	120	13.8%	304	22.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	25.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	36.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	44.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	7
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	8.4%	120	20.7% ⁽¹⁾	303	33.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	343	38.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	147	57.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	98	76.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	2.8%	120	12.1% ⁽¹⁾	303	25.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	33.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	43.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	60.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	7
present_d	Made a public presentation	5.8%	120	11.0%	304	22.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	344	26.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	146	55.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	96	75.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	7

TABLE C18: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C18		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	15.2%	27	12.8%	142	23.6%	177	11.9%	102	41.3% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	69	35.5%	6
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	3.7%	26	13.8% ⁽¹⁾	142	33.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	175	67.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	101	80.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	69	87.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	6
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>													
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	77.5%	12	94.7%	102	91.4%	127	93.6%	71	93.1%	59	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	5
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	79.7%	13	90.6%	102	93.4%	127	91.3%	71	98.8%	59	100.0% ⁽²⁾	5
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	79.7%	13	75.9%	102	88.4%	127	83.8%	71	81.0%	59	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	5
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	79.1%	13	91.0%	97	94.1%	127	92.5%	71	92.3%	59	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	5
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	79.7%	13	86.4%	98	92.1%	127	90.7%	71	90.3%	59	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	5
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	59.4%	13	71.9%	101	81.6%	126	86.4%	71	81.7%	59	90.1%	5
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	44.1%	13	64.9%	97	62.9%	126	61.4%	66	61.5%	59	61.7%	4
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	60.1%	13	69.4%	102	68.4%	127	82.6%	71	69.0%	59	75.3%	5
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	95.9% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	12	76.2% ⁽⁵⁾	101	67.1%	126	65.1%	68	51.1%	59	55.6%	5
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>													
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	33.0%	90	49.8%	205	74.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	251	72.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	93	67.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	60	64.0%	5

TABLE C19: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C19		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Perceptions of Children		% responding "Yes"		% responding "Excellent/very good/good"		% responding "Gotten better"							
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	93.5% ⁽⁵⁾	24	93.3% ⁽⁵⁾	73	92.2% ⁽⁵⁾	96	91.5% ⁽⁵⁾	36	61.4%	27	55.6%	3
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	16.2%	9	10.3%	45	21.3%	69	12.5%	22	36.7%	24	24.2%	2
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	.0%**	8	1.9%	41	.0%**	57	.0%**	21	9.5%	16	48.0%	2
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	62.0%	94	69.2%	235	73.3%	268	67.4%	108	72.1%	71	84.2%	5
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	86.3% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	88	71.5% ⁽⁵⁾	223	64.3%	255	63.6%	103	52.1%	67	69.6%	5
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	83.8% ⁽⁴⁾	103	76.6% ⁽⁴⁾	249	74.0% ⁽⁴⁾	278	59.0%	115	69.2%	83	87.9% ⁽⁴⁾	6
pssat_d	Change in public schools	33.0%	51	40.3% ⁽⁴⁾	154	36.6%	181	23.3%	76	24.0%	42	19.5%	2
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	47.6% ⁽⁴⁾	43	43.8% ⁽⁴⁾	149	42.4% ⁽⁴⁾	173	20.4%	78	37.4%	45	19.7%	4
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	43.1%	40	52.1% ⁽⁴⁾	146	44.9% ⁽⁴⁾	167	22.1%	78	39.6%	45	32.8%	4

TABLE C20: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C20A		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	51.1%	116	62.4% ⁽³⁾	299	51.5%	344	70.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	147	62.6%	95	68.3%	7
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	59.1%	65	69.1%	145	70.7%	206	61.8%	97	59.1%	77	38.2%	6
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	46.0% ⁽⁵⁾	120	52.1% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	304	39.9% ⁽⁵⁾	344	34.2%	148	21.6%	98	21.7%	7
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	81.7% ⁽³⁾	112	78.1%	292	68.6%	327	70.4%	140	66.9%	91	71.3%	7
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	80.6%	114	81.6% ⁽³⁾	287	71.3%	321	79.6%	135	68.5%	96	79.2%	7
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	15.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	82	14.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	209	5.6%	241	3.3%	110	6.7%	69	27.2%	3
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community													
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>													
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	53.0% ⁽²⁾	115	36.4%	297	42.6%	341	39.9%	147	49.7%	97	42.6%	7
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	54.2%	119	57.5%	300	59.6%	343	60.2%	144	70.1%	97	69.2%	7
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>													
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	88.2%	120	87.9%	303	87.9%	344	89.8%	144	87.4%	98	90.0%	7
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>													
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	89.6%	120	94.4%	299	93.7%	344	96.5%	148	95.6%	98	79.2%	7
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	86.2%	118	81.5%	302	82.1%	340	80.2%	148	77.9%	97	79.2%	7
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	84.0%	118	86.2%	303	81.5%	341	77.7%	147	82.5%	97	72.6%	7
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	86.7%	120	89.9%	304	87.7%	340	89.4%	148	94.1%	97	75.9%	7
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	81.2%	120	84.0%	299	76.8%	340	75.1%	147	74.3%	95	65.9%	7

Table C20B		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		% responding "Safe/very safe"											
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	94.5%	120	98.4%	303	98.7%	342	98.2%	148	99.3% ⁽¹⁾	98	89.2%	7
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	91.1%	119	90.2%	303	93.4%	343	90.9%	148	99.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	98	82.6%	7
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	90.6%	94	95.3%	208	94.0%	263	96.1%	111	98.8% ⁽¹⁾	79	87.5%	6
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	71.3%	89	63.7%	200	72.5%	255	69.0%	107	74.6%	78	70.4%	5
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	86.2%	103	89.0%	270	86.1%	314	82.7%	130	84.1%	92	87.5%	6
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	96.8%	119	98.0%	303	99.5%	344	100.0% ⁽²⁾	147	99.5%	98	89.2%	7

TABLE C21: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C22		Income							
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
Quality	Current quality of living	7.57 ⁽⁴⁾	344	7.11	163	7.19	119	7.02	181
Yrsago	Past quality of living	7.54 ⁽²⁾	311	7.01	154	6.96	104	7.04	174
Futureb	Future quality of living	7.29	307	6.96	150	6.91	112	6.82	175
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities									
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>									
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	73.0%	282	69.3%	123	83.2%	92	71.7%	146

TABLE C22: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C21		Education											
		Less than HS (1)		High School grad (2)		Some college (3)		4 year degree (4)		Grad work (5)		Adv Grad/PhD (6)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
% responding "Excellent/very good/good"													
health_d	General health status	70.1%	120	80.1%	304	80.5%	343	90.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	148	93.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	98	92.4% ⁽¹⁾	7
% responding "Much better/somewhat better"													
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	80.1%	120	83.8%	303	83.9%	343	86.4%	147	91.3% ⁽¹⁾	98	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	7
% responding "daily/two or three times a week"													
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	57.7%	116	66.0%	295	70.0%	329	70.8%	142	82.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	96	61.6%	7
% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"													
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	53.7% ⁽⁴⁾	115	42.9%	293	41.1%	329	35.9%	142	44.6%	96	27.6%	7
% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"													
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	71.7%	111	79.1%	284	82.8%	319	96.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	141	97.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	93	82.4%	7
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	32.5%	114	28.1%	295	43.9% ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	328	44.2% ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	141	56.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	96	16.6%	7
% responding "yes"													
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	55.7%	7	50.3%	17	87.8% ⁽²⁾	20	83.9%	7	95.5% ⁽²⁾	16	n/a	n/a

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C23: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C23		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Political Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>									
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	59.6%	348	68.6%	163	78.9% ⁽¹⁾	120	81.4% ⁽¹⁾	181
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>									
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	38.2%	349	46.7%	163	45.7%	120	58.6% ⁽¹⁾	181
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	16.9%	349	22.4%	163	23.5%	120	36.6% ⁽¹⁾ (2)	181
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>									
vote_d	Registered to vote	83.7%	343	88.7%	163	92.4%	120	96.6% ⁽¹⁾	181
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	80.5%	287	88.5%	143	93.2% ⁽¹⁾	111	91.2% ⁽¹⁾	175
petition_d	Signed a petition	16.8%	346	30.2% ⁽¹⁾	161	34.4% ⁽¹⁾	118	45.3% ⁽¹⁾ (2)	181
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	8.8%	349	23.9% ⁽¹⁾ (3)	163	12.8%	120	29.4% ⁽¹⁾ (3)	181
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	2.2%	349	3.8%	163	4.6%	120	4.9%	181
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	27.7%	348	27.6%	163	28.5%	120	35.0%	181
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	8.6%	344	18.0% ⁽¹⁾	163	17.4%	120	21.4% ⁽¹⁾	181
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	17.2%	216	31.6% ⁽¹⁾	95	30.5%	76	39.6% ⁽¹⁾	126
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>									
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	62.1%	333	80.9% ⁽¹⁾	152	87.7% ⁽¹⁾	120	88.2% ⁽¹⁾	179
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>									
trust_d	Trust in the local government	34.7%	319	38.2%	148	51.2% ⁽¹⁾	114	48.5% ⁽¹⁾	180

TABLE C24: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C24A		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>									
religion_d	Attend religious services	64.2%	348	70.5%	163	70.1%	119	76.5% ⁽¹⁾	181
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>									
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	47.4%	349	61.1% ⁽¹⁾	163	61.3% ⁽¹⁾	120	66.9% ⁽¹⁾	181
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	56.3%	349	70.0% ⁽¹⁾	161	67.9%	120	80.7% ⁽¹⁾	181
groups_2_d	Political groups	5.9%	349	4.2%	161	7.0%	120	14.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	5.2%	349	28.8% ⁽¹⁾	161	35.5% ⁽¹⁾	120	46.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
groups_4_d	Labor unions	2.0%	347	12.2% ⁽¹⁾	161	7.3%	120	9.2% ⁽¹⁾	181
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	5.9%	348	7.6%	161	9.8%	120	10.3%	181
groups_6_d	Health organizations	12.2%	349	14.0%	160	14.3%	120	20.8%	181
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	8.0%	349	11.4%	161	14.0%	120	13.8%	181
groups_8_d	Political action groups	7.2%	349	12.7%	161	12.1%	118	17.2% ⁽¹⁾	181
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	10.1%	349	14.4%	161	17.6%	120	32.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	11.7%	349	20.9%	161	30.8% ⁽¹⁾	120	57.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	4.5%	348	8.2%	161	6.6%	120	5.1%	181
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	17.5%	349	21.4%	160	26.0%	120	36.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	9.1%	349	11.5%	161	16.2%	120	30.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	12.2%	349	7.0%	160	7.6%	120	10.5%	181
groups15_d	Social service organizations	10.8%	349	7.2%	161	7.9%	120	18.1% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	8.6%	349	10.5%	161	15.7%	120	20.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	5.3%	349	5.8%	161	8.5%	120	11.6%	181

Table C24B		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Civic Participation									
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
groups18_d	School support groups	18.5%	349	21.2%	161	23.4%	120	39.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	10.2%	349	13.1%	161	15.0%	120	23.7% ⁽¹⁾	181
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	17.0%	348	24.6%	161	22.4%	120	20.1%	181
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	4.4%	349	12.2% ⁽¹⁾	161	13.2% ⁽¹⁾	120	10.9% ⁽¹⁾	181
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	5.0%	348	6.2%	161	4.0%	120	9.1%	181
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	43.0%	349	55.8% ⁽¹⁾	163	67.0% ⁽¹⁾	120	74.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	11.0%	349	20.5% ⁽¹⁾	163	22.1% ⁽¹⁾	120	33.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	12.5%	348	34.6% ⁽¹⁾	163	35.3% ⁽¹⁾	120	54.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	8.7%	349	25.3% ⁽¹⁾	163	28.0% ⁽¹⁾	120	43.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	181
present_d	Made a public presentation	13.3%	349	19.1%	163	22.5%	120	41.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	180

TABLE C25: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C25		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>									
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	12.5%	102	17.4%	92	25.1%	88	26.1%	145
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	18.5%	102	41.6% ⁽¹⁾	91	50.7% ⁽¹⁾	87	50.0% ⁽¹⁾	143
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>									
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	89.8%	66	89.8%	78	99.0%	56	95.5%	112
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	96.3%	67	84.5%	78	97.7%	56	95.2%	112
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	78.9%	67	73.6%	78	86.3%	56	85.5%	112
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	86.4%	63	89.7%	78	97.8%	56	94.2%	112
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	82.5%	63	84.1%	78	97.7% ⁽¹⁾	56	94.9%	112
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	69.6%	67	76.0%	78	91.2% ⁽¹⁾	56	81.7%	112
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	63.9%	66	65.6%	76	59.9%	52	58.0%	111
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	67.8%	67	68.9%	78	69.9%	56	78.1%	112
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	76.8%	66	64.8%	75	62.5%	56	71.6%	112
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>									
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	55.0%	246	60.9%	108	73.6% ⁽¹⁾	83	76.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	116

TABLE C26: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C26		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Perceptions of Children									
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	89.5%	72	92.1%	39	90.6%	26	82.5%	76
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	24.1%	45	8.8%	23	8.6%	20	27.9%	59
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	.0%*	36	.0%*	21	3.9%	19	5.1%	45
% responding "Excellent/very good/good"									
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	68.7%	271	65.3%	118	75.1%	88	76.7%	131
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	76.6%	259	61.8%	116	65.3%	83	72.3%	123
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	47.6%	332	42.8%	161	50.1%	119	61.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	173
% responding "Gotten better"									
pssat_d	Change in public schools	41.5%	187	31.8%	82	26.9%	66	34.9%	80
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	47.1% ⁽⁴⁾	181	38.7%	79	32.6%	70	24.9%	74
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	46.2%	178	47.0%	80	33.7%	67	34.1%	71

TABLE C27: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C27A		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Community Attachment									
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	53.2%	346	62.3%	163	58.6%	120	62.6%	177
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	69.2%	197	70.0%	86	66.7%	76	67.5%	126
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	43.4% ⁽⁴⁾	349	47.5% ⁽⁴⁾	163	36.5%	120	30.5%	181
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	67.8%	329	74.0%	155	82.2% ⁽¹⁾	113	75.4%	175
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	70.5%	333	77.9%	149	86.4% ⁽¹⁾	113	78.7%	175
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	13.0%	246	5.9%	116	10.7%	85	6.9%	138
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community									
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>									
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	42.0%	342	39.7%	161	42.0%	120	44.7%	181
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	51.5%	345	65.6% ⁽¹⁾	162	54.3%	120	66.8% ⁽¹⁾	179
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>									
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	87.4%	349	84.3%	159	93.7% ⁽²⁾	120	91.6%	181
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>									
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	92.7%	348	88.2%	163	96.5%	118	95.3%	181
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	78.6%	344	77.9%	163	88.0%	120	86.0%	181
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	86.8%	345	77.7%	163	85.4%	120	83.8%	181
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	88.5%	349	82.0%	162	89.8%	120	93.0% ⁽²⁾	181
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	78.4%	339	73.1%	163	90.0% ⁽¹⁾ 2) ⁽⁴⁾	120	76.0%	180

Table C27B		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		% responding "Safe/very safe"							
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.5%	348	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	163	96.4%	120	98.5%	181
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	88.7%	349	92.3%	163	96.4% ⁽¹⁾	120	97.0% ⁽¹⁾	181
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	93.3%	261	96.5%	121	89.3%	88	98.5% ⁽¹⁾	144
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	70.0%	248	65.3%	118	79.1%	88	68.7%	140
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	87.2%	318	81.7%	146	87.3%	109	91.2%	167
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	97.7%	348	99.7% ⁽¹⁾	163	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	120	99.0%	181

TABLE C28: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C28		Income							
		<\$30k (1)		\$30k to <\$50k (2)		\$50k to <\$70k (3)		\$70k & over (4)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>									
health_d	General health status	69.7%	349	84.2% ⁽¹⁾	163	89.8% ⁽¹⁾	120	95.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>									
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	79.2%	349	78.6%	163	95.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	120	90.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	181
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>									
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	64.0%	337	74.2%	161	73.6%	116	72.6%	172
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>									
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	47.9%	336	41.1%	161	42.1%	114	41.3%	172
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>									
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	74.0%	325	91.6% ⁽¹⁾	156	92.6% ⁽¹⁾	115	94.0% ⁽¹⁾	169
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	37.0%	337	44.1%	161	45.6%	116	42.2%	172
<i>% responding "yes"</i>									
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	65.4%	29	73.5%	7	93.7% ⁽¹⁾	8	96.1% ⁽¹⁾	13

TABLE C29: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C29		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>											
quality	Current quality of living	6.87	78	6.48	120	6.98	214	7.54 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	329	7.99 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	219
yrsago	Past quality of living	6.28	67	6.82	106	6.99	193	7.31 ⁽¹⁾	314	7.97 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	202
futureb	Future quality of living	7.41	75	6.51	113	6.57	204	6.96	297	7.75 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	187
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	74.4%	64	62.8%	105	71.5%	183	68.4%	248	84.2% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	160

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C30: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C30		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Political Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>											
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	49.5%	78	58.9%	120	68.2%	215	75.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	330	74.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	222
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>											
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	41.7%	78	50.4%	116	42.7%	215	45.5%	330	36.5%	221
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	29.4%	78	17.3%	120	18.0%	215	24.3%	330	22.8%	222
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
vote_d	Registered to vote	77.6%	78	87.3%	119	85.4%	215	91.9%	326	91.9%	222
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	60.6%	61	80.7%	104	90.3% ⁽¹⁾	184	88.6% ⁽¹⁾	297	92.7% ⁽¹⁾	201
petition_d	Signed a petition	31.7%	78	19.2%	120	31.7%	215	31.5% ⁽²⁾	323	23.5%	223
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	14.2%	78	8.8%	120	11.1%	215	18.8% ⁽²⁾	330	21.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	223
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	12.3% ⁽⁵⁾	78	2.7%	120	2.8%	215	3.1%	330	1.5%	223
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	33.2%	78	24.9%	119	33.5%	215	28.7%	330	27.1%	222
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	13.3%	78	11.2%	115	10.5%	215	19.1% ⁽³⁾	329	13.7%	222
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	39.8%	33	44.8% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	81	24.8%	146	28.4% ⁽⁵⁾	212	17.2%	146
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>											
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	47.2%	68	69.5%	115	71.8% ⁽¹⁾	211	79.4% ⁽¹⁾	322	87.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	219
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>											
trust_d	Trust in the local government	29.2%	74	40.3%	115	42.5%	197	42.4%	305	44.7%	206

TABLE C31: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C31A		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>											
religion_d	Attend religious services	66.1%	78	56.5%	120	64.1%	215	70.9%	329	82.7% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	222
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	50.1%	78	55.1%	120	57.2%	215	53.5%	330	64.2% ⁽⁴⁾	223
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	61.8%	78	58.9%	120	59.7%	213	68.2%	330	77.2% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	223
groups_2_d	Political groups	8.8%	78	3.0%	120	3.8%	213	8.2%	330	7.8%	222
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	11.1%	78	28.5% ⁽¹⁾	120	33.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	212	21.6%	329	15.9%	222
groups_4_d	Labor unions	3.4%	78	6.9%	119	2.7%	213	6.5%	330	7.4% ⁽³⁾	223
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	7.5%	78	5.0%	120	2.0%	212	12.7% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	330	6.3% ⁽³⁾	223
groups_6_d	Health organizations	9.0%	78	18.1%	120	16.6%	212	11.8%	330	13.7%	222
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	1.6%	78	11.3% ⁽¹⁾	120	11.3% ⁽¹⁾	213	11.9% ⁽¹⁾	330	11.8% ⁽¹⁾	223
groups_8_d	Political action groups	3.1%	78	9.7%	120	11.8% ⁽¹⁾	213	11.3% ⁽¹⁾	327	8.4% ⁽¹⁾	222
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	38.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	78	18.8%	120	14.3%	213	15.5%	330	15.1%	223
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	25.4%	78	27.4%	120	33.9% ⁽⁵⁾	213	25.6%	330	21.6%	223
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	6.0%	78	3.0%	120	5.1%	213	7.0%	330	5.2%	223
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	25.3%	78	18.7%	120	21.6%	213	26.8%	330	24.2%	223
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	12.4%	78	10.1%	120	16.0%	213	16.7%	330	13.1%	223
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	4.8%	78	6.2%	120	5.0%	213	10.4%	330	20.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	223
groups15_d	Social service organizations	7.3%	78	10.9%	120	14.5%	213	11.8%	330	8.5%	223
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	14.7%	78	8.6%	120	8.9%	213	16.9% ⁽³⁾	330	14.0%	223
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	.0%	78	5.6%	120	5.4% ⁽¹⁾	212	7.7% ⁽¹⁾	330	13.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	222

Table C31B		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
groups18_d	School support groups	19.7%	78	34.9% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	120	32.1% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	213	20.9% ⁽⁵⁾	330	11.0%	223
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	20.6%	78	15.7%	120	20.4% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	213	10.1%	330	6.1%	223
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	7.1%	78	1.5%	120	3.6%	213	26.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	329	43.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	223
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	3.4%	78	6.0%	120	9.1%	213	12.0% ⁽¹⁾	330	8.9%	223
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	6.3%	78	4.2%	120	11.5% ⁽⁴⁾	212	2.4%	329	5.0%	222
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	63.2%	78	63.8%	120	53.9%	215	52.9%	330	50.5%	222
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	15.8%	78	20.4%	120	22.0%	215	20.2%	330	19.9%	223
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	22.9%	78	36.0%	120	29.7%	215	29.4%	330	29.8%	222
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	20.0%	78	27.0%	120	29.1% ⁽⁵⁾	215	21.2%	330	15.3%	222
present_d	Made a public presentation	25.2%	78	34.7% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	120	22.4%	214	18.5%	330	15.4%	222

TABLE C32: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C32		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	10.8%	50	25.3%	85	23.0%	143	17.3%	178	20.7%	34
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	31.0%	50	53.2% ⁽⁵⁾	84	40.2%	143	35.4%	176	25.7%	32
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾	27	89.7%	62	91.9%	103	92.0%	134	97.9%	23
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	100.0% ⁽³⁾	27	89.4%	62	87.7%	103	96.3%	134	90.9%	25
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	27	72.2%	62	80.5%	103	85.8%	134	90.9%	25
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	100.0% ^{(3)(4)*}	27	85.3%	62	94.4%	103	91.5%	130	100.0% ^{*(3)(4)}	24
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	98.0% ⁽³⁾	27	88.0%	62	83.9%	103	91.6%	130	98.0% ⁽³⁾	25
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	98.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	27	83.6%	62	82.4%	103	78.1%	134	78.5%	25
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	88.8% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	27	54.4%	62	71.0%	97	56.7%	130	52.0%	25
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	27	65.1%	62	68.9%	103	73.6%	134	73.5%	25
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	27	67.8%	62	56.2%	100	77.0% ⁽³⁾	133	64.0%	23
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>											
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	97.7% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	47	98.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	92	74.7% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	158	59.7% ⁽⁵⁾	202	20.2%	163

TABLE C33: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C33		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Perceptions of Children											
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	97.6% ⁽³⁾	32	92.8%	58	80.7%	97	91.3%	49	100.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	8
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	.0%	18	16.1% ⁽¹⁾	43	23.0% ⁽¹⁾	79	39.8% ⁽¹⁾	19	.0%	1
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	4.4%	18	.0%	39	3.6%	63	.0%	11	.0%	1
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	74.0%	66	59.1%	91	65.8%	176	70.0%	230	78.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	167
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	70.3%	64	68.7%	86	61.2%	160	65.4%	230	81.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	155
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	78.9%	70	70.1%	106	68.2%	183	73.7%	270	79.0%	159
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>											
pssat_d	Change in public schools	44.0%	49	41.0%	59	35.1%	101	29.0%	156	30.3%	110
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	49.9%	51	44.4%	63	30.4%	97	35.6%	144	44.3%	105
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	48.7%	51	48.5%	64	31.9%	98	42.1%	134	40.7%	102

TABLE C34: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C34A		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	47.9%	78	38.7%	118	60.4% ⁽²⁾	214	65.7% ⁽²⁾	329	65.4% ⁽²⁾	217
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	83.9%	33	65.7%	69	72.5%	129	61.1%	188	63.0%	141
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	57.4% ⁽⁵⁾	78	42.5%	120	40.3%	215	43.0%	330	36.8%	223
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	40.4%	78	66.7% ⁽¹⁾	115	74.9% ⁽¹⁾	196	71.6% ⁽¹⁾	318	90.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	214
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	36.8%	77	60.3% ⁽¹⁾	114	80.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	204	78.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	314	93.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	210
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	18.2%	59	4.0%	94	5.0%	146	8.2%	226	12.8% ⁽²⁾	155
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community											
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	41.5%	78	42.1%	120	36.2%	215	38.5%	326	54.3% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	210
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	56.0%	78	56.5%	120	59.8%	215	56.5%	326	69.7% ⁽⁴⁾	215
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	85.0%	78	83.7%	116	92.0%	215	87.3%	330	88.8%	223
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	94.5%	78	84.7%	120	93.9%	212	94.2%	330	96.8% ⁽²⁾	222
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	77.2%	78	66.6%	117	82.2%	214	82.5% ⁽²⁾	329	88.9% ⁽²⁾	221
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	82.0%	78	81.8%	117	82.6%	215	79.4%	329	88.7% ⁽⁴⁾	222
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	83.0%	78	80.5%	120	91.9%	215	91.1%	330	91.3%	220
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	60.1%	78	64.6%	117	82.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	215	79.0% ⁽¹⁾	326	88.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	220

Table C34B		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		% responding "Safe/very safe"									
		%	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	95.5%	78	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	120	98.7%	215	97.6%	330	97.6%	222
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	85.3%	78	96.0%	120	94.6%	215	92.7%	329	89.9%	221
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	90.5%	67	97.3% ⁽⁵⁾	92	92.8%	157	95.1%	236	91.7%	168
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	74.1%	67	74.9%	92	75.1% ⁽⁵⁾	153	68.1%	231	61.1%	152
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	85.2%	76	84.9%	117	88.3%	199	85.5%	292	90.6%	186
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	78	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	120	98.2%	215	98.6%	330	97.9%	221

TABLE C35: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C35		Age									
		18-25 (1)		26-37 (2)		38-49 (3)		50-64 (4)		Over 64 (5)	
Overall Health		%	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
health_d	General health status	94.5% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	78	87.1% ⁽⁴⁾	120	84.4%	215	75.0%	330	80.3%	223
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>											
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	91.2%	78	86.7%	120	87.0%	215	80.4%	330	82.7%	222
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>											
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	86.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	78	63.3%	119	63.8%	208	70.8%	316	68.5%	212
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>											
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	43.5%	78	52.2% ⁽⁵⁾	119	47.8% ⁽⁵⁾	207	44.6% ⁽⁵⁾	313	30.8%	212
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>											
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	84.8%	76	86.3% ⁽⁵⁾	118	82.1%	205	89.5% ⁽⁵⁾	298	74.7%	205
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	37.1%	78	30.6%	119	38.9%	208	46.6% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	314	35.5%	212
<i>% responding "yes"</i>											
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	n/a	n/a	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	5	77.0%	12	74.7%	34	61.8%	11

TABLE C36: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C36		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>							
quality	Current quality of living	7.51 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	692	7.03	176	6.77	170
yrsago	Past quality of living	7.41 ⁽³⁾	638	7.08	168	6.90	153
futureb	Future quality of living	7.16 ⁽³⁾	623	6.56	164	6.53	160
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	74.9%	521	70.6%	156	63.7%	149

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C37: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C37		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Political Participation							
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>							
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	68.4%	702	67.9%	176	71.1%	170
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>							
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	36.4%	701	52.4% ⁽¹⁾	172	58.9% ⁽¹⁾	170
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	19.2%	701	26.9%	176	23.4%	170
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
vote_d	Registered to vote	88.1%	698	89.2%	176	87.0%	169
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	90.0% ⁽²⁾	610	75.4%	157	88.2% ⁽²⁾	147
petition_d	Signed a petition	24.2%	696	27.8%	176	38.5% ⁽¹⁾	170
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	15.8%	702	14.6%	176	13.3%	170
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	1.9%	703	5.6%	176	6.4%	169
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	27.4%	701	33.9%	176	26.5%	170
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	13.3%	699	13.4%	171	14.8%	170
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	25.8%	453	28.6%	118	31.8%	100
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>							
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	77.6% ⁽²⁾	679	64.3%	173	79.7% ⁽²⁾	162
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>							
trust_d	Trust in the local government	40.9%	649	40.6%	168	40.8%	157

TABLE C38: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C38A		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>							
religion_d	Attend religious services	70.9%	699	64.2%	176	70.4%	170
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	55.3%	702	58.2%	176	61.1%	170
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	66.1%	702	65.7%	176	68.9%	168
groups_2_d	Political groups	6.7%	701	7.9%	176	3.0%	168
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	21.1%	700	22.3%	176	29.1%	168
groups_4_d	Labor unions	6.2% ⁽²⁾	700	1.9%	176	8.9% ⁽²⁾	168
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	8.1% ⁽³⁾	701	6.8%	176	2.5%	167
groups_6_d	Health organizations	12.9%	701	12.2%	176	16.0%	167
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	11.5%	702	7.2%	176	9.7%	168
groups_8_d	Political action groups	8.7%	698	10.1%	176	12.1%	168
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	15.9%	702	21.7%	176	14.3%	168
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	22.5%	702	31.2%	176	41.7% ⁽¹⁾	168
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	6.0%	701	4.3%	176	4.2%	168
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	24.2%	701	22.0%	176	24.9%	168
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	12.7%	702	17.0%	176	17.3%	168
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	13.6% ⁽³⁾	701	7.6%	176	3.0%	168
groups15_d	Social service organizations	10.2%	701	7.8%	176	14.4%	168
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	11.7%	702	16.4%	176	13.6%	168
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	7.6%	701	6.6%	176	7.4%	167

Table C38B		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Civic Participation							
% responding "Yes"							
		%	n	%	n	%	n
groups18_d	School support groups	10.7%	702	36.4% ⁽¹⁾	176	59.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	168
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	7.1%	702	17.5% ⁽¹⁾	176	30.2% ⁽¹⁾	168
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	25.9% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	701	8.6%	176	7.0%	168
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	8.8%	702	8.3%	176	9.3%	168
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	4.2%	699	1.8%	175	13.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	168
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	49.7%	703	56.2%	176	68.2% ⁽¹⁾	170
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	19.8%	703	15.4%	176	21.4%	170
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	27.8%	702	27.9%	176	37.7%	170
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	17.0%	703	24.4%	176	33.3% ⁽¹⁾	170
present_d	Made a public presentation	17.8%	703	26.0%	176	23.9%	169

TABLE C39: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C39		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	19.9%	306	16.6%	108	22.2%	121
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	37.5%	303	40.7%	108	43.9%	120
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	91.3%	224	94.9%	71	94.8%	87
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	92.9%	226	87.1%	71	98.6%	87
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	81.9%	226	77.8%	71	90.6%	87
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	91.8%	221	91.4%	71	96.0%	87
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	88.2%	222	86.6%	71	97.1% ⁽¹⁾	87
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	76.7%	226	84.5%	70	83.3%	87
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	60.8%	221	51.3%	69	74.5% ⁽²⁾	84
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	70.3%	226	62.0%	71	82.6% ⁽²⁾	87
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	71.3%	219	54.5%	70	67.3%	87
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>							
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	46.9%	479	88.2% ⁽¹⁾	125	87.1% ⁽¹⁾	116

TABLE C40: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C40		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Perceptions of Children							
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
		%	n	%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	n/a	n/a	79.4%	114	96.6% ⁽²⁾	151
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	n/a	n/a	82.2% ⁽³⁾	23	9.5%	151
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	n/a	n/a	19.0%	4	1.6%	142
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	70.4%	528	66.6%	143	70.8%	134
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	68.3%	500	66.8%	135	67.1%	125
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	77.8% ⁽²⁾	538	64.7%	156	67.7%	155
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>							
pssat_d	Change in public schools	30.9%	321	36.7%	91	37.3%	104
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	37.2%	315	36.4%	89	43.8%	97
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	40.7%	303	42.6%	87	42.2%	98

TABLE C41: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C41A		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	60.8%	695	59.7%	173	55.3%	169
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	64.0%	420	68.6%	94	70.9%	96
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	40.2%	703	46.5%	176	43.6%	170
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	77.9% ⁽²⁾	667	60.1%	174	67.1%	155
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	81.2% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	665	65.6%	165	66.8%	159
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	10.6% ⁽²⁾	496	4.4%	128	8.1%	107
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	43.8%	681	38.5%	170	36.1%	169
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	58.6%	684	62.2%	172	55.5%	169
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	86.1%	693	94.7% ⁽¹⁾	175	88.3%	169
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	94.6%	690	92.0%	169	93.6%	168
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	84.0%	686	75.3%	170	78.9%	165
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	82.5%	686	87.4%	171	79.4%	165
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	88.7%	687	88.0%	170	91.8%	168
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	81.3%	678	72.3%	171	76.6%	165

Table C41B		Children Under 18					
		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>							
		%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.9%	684	98.2%	171	98.6%	168
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	90.5%	683	94.8%	171	95.7%	168
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	94.3%	507	92.7%	121	94.2%	136
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	68.4%	485	72.7%	116	68.3%	136
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	85.3%	593	87.4%	164	90.3%	161
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	98.3%	682	99.7% ⁽¹⁾	171	99.5%	168

TABLE C42: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C42		Children Under 18					
Overall Health		No children under 18 (1)		One child under 18 (2)		Two or more children under 18 (3)	
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
health_d	General health status	79.6%	684	84.0%	171	85.7%	168
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>							
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	83.4%	683	84.7%	170	86.6%	168
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>							
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	68.3%	657	75.3%	167	62.1%	164
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>							
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	41.3%	654	45.4%	167	45.1%	164
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>							
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	82.6%	626	89.3%	167	83.8%	162
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	40.5% ⁽³⁾	654	43.4%	167	28.7%	164
<i>% responding "yes"</i>							
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	77.2%	53	70.0%	7	79.6%	6

TABLE C43: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C43		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>					
quality	Current quality of living	7.40	780	7.06	312
yrsago	Past quality of living	7.41 ⁽²⁾	743	6.91	266
futureb	Future quality of living	7.06	704	6.72	281
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>					
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	76.9% ⁽²⁾	595	66.3%	274

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C44: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C44		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
Political Participation					
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>					
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	71.6%	770	62.7%	315
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>					
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	44.7%	768	39.4%	310
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	23.7%	767	17.5%	315
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>					
vote_d	Registered to vote	88.9%	764	85.4%	314
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	91.3% ⁽²⁾	675	77.7%	267
petition_d	Signed a petition	32.2% ⁽²⁾	762	15.1%	313
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	18.7% ⁽²⁾	768	8.6%	314
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	3.7%	764	1.7%	314
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	29.8%	764	25.9%	312
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	15.6% ⁽²⁾	760	8.0%	307
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	29.7% ⁽²⁾	507	18.8%	178
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>					
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	82.4% ⁽²⁾	743	59.1%	302
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>					
trust_d	Trust in the local government	43.2% ⁽²⁾	712	32.0%	294

TABLE C45: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C45A		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
Civic Participation					
% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"		%	n	%	n
religion_d	Attend religious services	73.8% ⁽²⁾	758	61.0%	309
% responding "Yes"					
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	60.5% ⁽²⁾	760	48.9%	309
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	71.6% ⁽²⁾	749	55.6%	301
groups_2_d	Political groups	7.7%	747	4.3%	301
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	27.7% ⁽²⁾	746	11.3%	297
groups_4_d	Labor unions	7.2% ⁽²⁾	745	2.9%	298
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	8.8% ⁽²⁾	746	2.4%	298
groups_6_d	Health organizations	14.1%	745	11.0%	298
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	12.2% ⁽²⁾	747	6.1%	298
groups_8_d	Political action groups	10.6%	743	7.9%	298
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	18.0%	745	11.7%	298
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	33.3% ⁽²⁾	745	12.9%	298
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	5.6%	745	6.7%	298
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	27.7% ⁽²⁾	744	16.6%	298
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	16.4%	744	10.1%	298
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	12.9% ⁽²⁾	743	5.4%	298
groups15_d	Social service organizations	10.5%	742	10.0%	298
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	15.2% ⁽²⁾	743	8.0%	298
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	8.8%	742	5.2%	298

Table C45B		Homeowner Status			
Civic Participation <i>% responding "Yes"</i>		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
		%	n	%	n
groups18_d	School support groups	25.8%	743	19.3%	298
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	14.0%	743	11.6%	298
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	24.0% ⁽²⁾	742	10.5%	298
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	10.7% ⁽²⁾	742	4.1%	298
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	4.9%	741	6.2%	296
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	58.8% ⁽²⁾	745	44.4%	294
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	20.4%	745	16.7%	294
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	33.2% ⁽²⁾	744	20.3%	294
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	23.7%	744	15.8%	294
present_d	Made a public presentation	21.7%	740	16.5%	294

TABLE C46: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C46		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
People in the Economy					
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	20.1%	404	13.6%	129
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	44.5% ⁽²⁾	397	24.4%	124
% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"					
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	95.5%	300	87.0%	77
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	94.2%	300	92.4%	78
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	83.6%	300	80.0%	78
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	93.5%	299	87.4%	74
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	92.6%	300	83.8%	74
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	80.4%	299	75.3%	78
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	60.1%	290	66.0%	77
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	71.9%	300	72.7%	78
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	65.0%	296	79.5%	74
% responding "Very/somewhat likely"					
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	56.2%	499	70.4% ⁽¹⁾	200

TABLE C47: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C47		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
Perceptions of Children					
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>		%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	85.6%	185	98.4% ⁽¹⁾	71
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	24.9% ⁽²⁾	124	6.2%	47
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	2.3%	97	.0%	45
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>					
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	72.2%	564	65.0%	228
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	69.0%	532	67.2%	214
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	72.1%	581	76.2%	256
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>					
pssat_d	Change in public schools	31.5%	361	36.6%	149
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	37.3%	353	42.4%	147
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	40.7%	342	44.8%	144

TABLE C48: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C48A		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>					
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	65.5% ⁽²⁾	768	43.4%	314
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	65.0%	472	69.6%	179
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	39.3%	778	43.3%	315
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	79.3% ⁽²⁾	742	55.5%	300
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	84.3% ⁽²⁾	735	53.9%	299
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	9.7%	541	10.9%	208
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community					
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>					
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	43.5%	715	35.8%	283
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	63.5% ⁽²⁾	719	46.7%	287
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>					
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	88.6%	732	87.2%	283
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>					
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	96.5% ⁽²⁾	724	89.2%	282
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	85.5% ⁽²⁾	724	73.4%	277
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	83.2%	726	84.2%	276
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	90.2%	724	88.7%	281
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	82.9% ⁽²⁾	721	70.2%	276

Table C48B		Homeowner Status			
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>		%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	98.1%	725	98.0%	278
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	93.6%	724	87.7%	278
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	94.9%	550	91.5%	204
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	69.7%	526	65.6%	201
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	86.9%	651	84.3%	250
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	98.9%	724	98.4%	277

TABLE C49: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C49		Homeowner Status			
		Owners (1)		Renters (2)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>					
health_d	General health status	84.8% ⁽²⁾	725	72.7%	278
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>					
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	85.0%	724	80.8%	277
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>					
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	72.1% ⁽²⁾	694	60.1%	273
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>					
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	40.3%	692	50.7%	273
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>					
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	85.6%	674	79.3%	266
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	38.2%	693	41.5%	271
<i>% responding "yes"</i>					
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	85.2%	49	52.7%	18

TABLE C50: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C50		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>											
quality	Current quality of living	7.42 ⁽⁵⁾	480	7.44	62	7.25	160	8.25 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	108	6.67	195
yrsago	Past quality of living	7.39 ⁽⁵⁾	445	7.01	53	7.39 ⁽⁵⁾	152	8.07 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	95	6.57	179
futureb	Future quality of living	7.06	447	6.48	54	6.96	142	7.64	88	6.83	185
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	78.4% ⁽³⁾	381	58.1%	50	63.8%	129	82.9% ⁽³⁾	80	67.0%	160

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C51: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C51		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Political Participation											
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	75.8% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	482	54.1%	62	66.1%	162	70.3%	111	61.8%	196
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>											
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	48.8% ⁽⁴⁾	482	44.8%	62	38.8%	158	34.1%	110	39.9%	196
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	23.6%	481	16.0%	62	19.2%	162	17.6%	111	25.9%	195
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
vote_d	Registered to vote	91.2%	481	83.5%	61	84.5%	158	96.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	111	82.4%	196
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	92.1% ⁽⁵⁾	437	84.2%	51	85.2%	134	90.5% ⁽⁵⁾	104	74.4%	160
petition_d	Signed a petition	36.3% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	478	16.3%	62	26.6%	159	21.2%	111	19.1%	196
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	19.1% ⁽²⁾	482	8.0%	62	15.1%	162	14.8%	111	11.8%	196
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	2.8%	481	6.6%	62	3.0%	162	1.2%	112	5.1%	196
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	30.7%	482	41.2%	62	29.9%	162	24.8%	112	22.0%	194
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	14.6%	480	9.8%	62	22.6% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	162	9.6%	111	9.4%	190
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	27.3%	320	19.8%	28	37.2% ⁽⁴⁾	102	17.3%	76	26.5%	127
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>											
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	85.3% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	469	74.2% ⁽⁵⁾	61	70.9% ⁽⁵⁾	157	84.5% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	111	50.6%	179
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>											
trust_d	Trust in the local government	42.5%	456	36.2%	51	31.4%	142	45.5% ⁽³⁾	104	47.0% ⁽³⁾	186

TABLE C52: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C52A		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>											
religion_d	Attend religious services	77.1% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	480	62.8%	62	59.6%	162	88.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	112	53.0%	194
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	64.3% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	57.4%	62	43.9%	162	61.8% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	112	46.6%	196
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	75.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	58.2%	62	59.7%	159	78.3% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	112	46.0%	196
groups_2_d	Political groups	8.0%	481	8.9%	62	6.0%	159	6.0%	112	4.8%	196
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	30.9% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	19.2%	62	14.2%	158	10.4%	111	18.9%	195
groups_4_d	Labor unions	7.3% ⁽²⁾	480	.8%	62	5.6% ⁽²⁾	159	7.5% ⁽²⁾	112	4.6%	196
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	9.5% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	481	1.2%	62	9.6% ⁽²⁾	159	2.7%	111	4.1%	196
groups_6_d	Health organizations	15.0%	481	19.9%	62	8.5%	159	10.0%	112	14.5%	196
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	12.0% ⁽⁴⁾	481	17.5%	62	12.3%	159	6.8%	112	6.9%	196
groups_8_d	Political action groups	11.9% ⁽⁵⁾	480	20.2%	62	8.4%	159	9.6%	112	4.8%	193
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	18.0% ⁽³⁾	481	8.0%	62	10.3%	159	12.6%	112	23.2% ⁽³⁾	196
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	36.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	15.9%	62	13.3%	159	19.8%	112	23.5%	196
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	5.6%	481	5.5%	62	4.8%	159	9.4%	111	5.4%	196
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	27.4%	481	17.1%	62	25.9%	159	20.6%	112	19.6%	196
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	18.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	16.7%	62	14.0%	159	8.4%	112	8.1%	196
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	10.4%	481	17.4%	62	9.9%	159	10.9%	112	10.7%	196
groups15_d	Social service organizations	10.6%	481	20.3%	62	10.3%	159	6.7%	112	10.8%	196
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	14.6%	481	13.7%	62	9.0%	159	15.3%	112	11.0%	196
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	9.3% ⁽⁵⁾	480	8.6%	62	7.8% ⁽⁵⁾	159	8.8% ⁽⁵⁾	112	1.1%	196

Table C52B		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
groups18_d	School support groups	30.5% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	27.1%	62	19.2% ⁽⁴⁾	159	8.9%	112	15.8%	196
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	15.9% ⁽⁴⁾	481	14.0%	62	11.0%	159	4.2%	112	14.0% ⁽⁴⁾	196
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	21.4% ⁽⁵⁾	481	16.0%	62	23.8% ⁽⁵⁾	159	37.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	112	7.3%	196
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	10.0% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	481	2.6%	62	12.9% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	159	9.2% ⁽²⁾	112	4.0%	196
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	5.2%	480	10.7%	62	6.2%	158	5.1%	112	3.6%	195
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	63.2% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	482	43.2%	62	46.4%	162	46.7%	112	49.7%	196
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	22.4%	482	14.1%	62	20.1%	162	17.9%	112	15.4%	196
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	34.0% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	482	15.8%	62	32.3%	162	30.3%	111	23.0%	196
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	26.2% ⁽⁴⁾	482	13.6%	62	21.1%	162	15.9%	111	18.1%	196
present_d	Made a public presentation	23.9% ⁽²⁾	478	3.1%	62	20.7% ⁽²⁾	162	15.4% ⁽²⁾	112	23.8% ⁽²⁾	196

TABLE C53: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C53		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	21.3% ⁽⁴⁾	292	32.9%	24	19.6%	70	6.6%	23	19.5%	106
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	45.8% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	289	22.0%	24	33.2%	68	22.9%	23	37.8%	106
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	94.7%	224	100.0% ^{(1)*}	10	90.3%	52	100.0% ^{(1)*}	17	87.1%	69
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	94.4%	224	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	10	98.4% ⁽⁵⁾	52	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	18	81.0%	69
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	85.5%	224	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	10	83.1%	52	77.6%	18	74.7%	69
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	94.2%	224	94.1%	10	89.1%	52	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	14	90.2%	69
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	93.4%	224	94.1%	10	87.5%	52	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	14	78.8%	69
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	80.4%	223	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	10	84.2%	52	63.5%	18	74.8%	69
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	58.1%	215	81.3% ⁽¹⁾	10	71.9%	51	58.1%	18	66.8%	69
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	75.3%	224	68.1%	10	68.7%	52	64.8%	18	62.0%	69
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	67.0%	222	57.9%	10	76.3%	52	83.4%	17	58.5%	66
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>											
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	59.5% ⁽⁴⁾	331	55.2% ⁽⁴⁾	37	65.5% ⁽⁴⁾	120	21.3%	75	84.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	132

TABLE C54: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C54		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Perceptions of Children											
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	89.0%	139	96.4%	13	82.0%	40	72.8%	6	93.4%	59
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	16.2%	100	23.6%	12	35.0%	30	46.2%	3	7.6%	26
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	2.5%	89	.0%*	9	.0%*	20	.0%	2	3.3%	24
% responding "Excellent/very good/good"											
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	74.8%	360	73.5%	47	64.3%	123	71.4%	87	63.0%	157
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	72.7% ⁽³⁾	334	74.1%	51	54.4%	115	74.6% ⁽³⁾	84	62.0%	152
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	69.8%	398	78.0%	47	72.5%	137	86.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	81	75.0%	163
% responding "Gotten better"											
pssat_d	Change in public schools	34.0%	237	41.3%	43	39.0%	78	33.6%	41	29.8%	106
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	36.6%	231	49.9%	34	41.6%	77	33.1%	46	42.0%	103
pacol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	37.0%	219	52.9%	35	57.5% ⁽¹⁾	74	35.9%	42	41.8%	108

TABLE C55: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C55A		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	59.8%	474	74.0% ⁽⁵⁾	62	59.0%	161	65.4% ⁽⁵⁾	110	49.3%	195
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	65.1%	316	66.7%	29	69.4% ⁽⁴⁾	97	50.8%	66	76.2% ⁽⁴⁾	85
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	34.4%	482	53.8%	62	40.2%	162	40.3%	112	56.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	196
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	82.8% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	458	62.6%	60	63.8%	151	83.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	107	56.6%	185
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	85.8% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	463	70.2%	60	71.1%	144	88.5% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	103	55.8%	184
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	7.0%	339	12.7%	37	4.7%	114	18.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	70	12.5%	147
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community											
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	43.4%	476	36.9%	62	41.4%	160	50.0%	102	39.4%	196
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	62.6%	475	63.5%	62	57.9%	160	58.3%	108	54.5%	196
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	91.0% ⁽³⁾	482	90.5%	62	79.6%	162	90.0% ⁽³⁾	110	86.2%	192
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	95.6%	479	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	62	91.7%	161	98.1% ⁽⁵⁾	111	87.2%	196
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	86.4% ⁽⁵⁾	480	87.6% ⁽⁵⁾	62	77.4%	160	85.2% ⁽⁵⁾	111	69.2%	193
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	82.3%	480	94.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	62	81.3%	160	86.9%	112	78.7%	192
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	91.3%	478	90.5%	62	85.4%	162	92.3%	112	84.5%	196
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	82.9% ⁽⁵⁾	478	79.4%	58	72.8%	162	85.2% ⁽⁵⁾	111	69.4%	193

Table C55B		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		% responding "Safe/very safe"									
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	98.6%	482	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	62	95.5%	161	98.6%	110	97.6%	196
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	94.5%	482	85.8%	62	91.9%	161	90.9%	110	90.8%	196
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	96.3%	376	87.4%	48	92.1%	110	93.3%	81	93.9%	142
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	72.2% ⁽⁴⁾	365	79.6% ⁽⁴⁾	46	63.9%	109	54.9%	70	72.4% ⁽⁴⁾	141
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	89.9%	435	91.6%	53	81.7%	144	87.6%	93	81.7%	185
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	99.1%	482	98.1%	62	98.4%	161	97.5%	111	99.1%	196

TABLE C56: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C56		Marital Status									
		Married (1)		Separated (2)		Divorced (3)		Widowed (4)		Never married (5)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
health_d	General health status	86.5% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	482	59.8%	62	76.8%	162	74.6%	112	83.7% ⁽²⁾	195
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>											
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	85.6%	481	71.5%	62	75.8%	162	88.3% ⁽³⁾	111	90.0% ⁽³⁾	196
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>											
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	68.9%	460	63.9%	58	73.7%	157	63.6%	107	70.8%	195
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>											
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	44.1%	458	45.8%	58	41.0%	157	39.6%	106	38.8%	194
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>											
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	87.2% ⁽⁴⁾	451	79.8%	54	86.7% ⁽⁴⁾	154	67.5%	99	83.5% ⁽⁴⁾	190
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	45.3% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	460	19.1%	58	34.4%	157	37.1%	107	32.7%	194
<i>% responding "yes"</i>											
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	80.6%	39	75.5%	5	52.9%	14	92.3%	5	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	2

TABLE C57: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C57		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>											
quality	Current quality of living	6.71	325	7.68 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	124	6.95	152	7.75 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	104	7.68 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	407
yrsago	Past quality of living	6.95	296	7.71 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	119	7.12	146	7.14	93	7.53 ⁽¹⁾	370
futureb	Future quality of living	6.46	295	7.43 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	115	6.40	132	6.80	95	7.44 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	363
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	63.4%	268	80.2% ⁽¹⁾	99	69.9%	113	79.2% ⁽¹⁾	85	75.7% ⁽¹⁾	323

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C58: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C58		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Political Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>											
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	74.9% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	318	85.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	121	72.5% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	154	53.9%	108	59.8%	404
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>											
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	46.4% ⁽⁵⁾	315	50.6% ⁽⁵⁾	121	47.3%	153	39.0%	107	35.9%	403
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	24.0% ⁽⁴⁾	318	32.4% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	120	26.1% ⁽⁴⁾	153	10.9%	107	18.0%	404
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
vote_d	Registered to vote	89.6%	315	91.1%	120	91.7%	153	83.7%	107	84.8%	403
election_d	Voted in the 2008 presidential election	89.7%	281	94.1% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	109	84.1%	140	88.7%	90	83.8%	338
petition_d	Signed a petition	22.7%	316	41.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	120	25.9%	153	30.1%	107	26.7%	399
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	21.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	319	21.0%	119	11.4%	153	14.4%	106	12.0%	404
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	2.1%	318	1.9%	117	2.1%	153	5.2%	106	4.2%	404
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	31.9%	316	28.7%	117	26.5%	153	27.0%	106	27.7%	403
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	15.9%	315	20.2%	117	12.2%	150	11.9%	102	10.4%	399
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	26.6%	202	45.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	81	17.5%	98	34.2%	65	23.0%	250
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>											
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	79.1% ⁽⁵⁾	308	83.6% ⁽⁵⁾	117	76.7%	148	79.6%	104	68.3%	388
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>											
trust_d	Trust in the local government	46.0% ⁽⁵⁾	301	44.7%	119	35.5%	142	42.5%	101	35.5%	362

TABLE C59: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C59A		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>											
religion_d	Attend religious services	68.4%	317	70.2%	116	71.2%	151	74.8%	102	66.8%	402
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	61.3% ⁽⁵⁾	316	66.8% ⁽⁵⁾	117	55.0%	151	56.3%	103	51.0%	401
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	68.0%	315	73.8%	114	66.3%	148	66.7%	103	61.5%	390
groups_2_d	Political groups	6.4%	315	12.4%	114	5.5%	147	7.3%	103	5.5%	388
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	23.1%	311	40.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	114	18.5%	147	31.8% ⁽⁵⁾	103	16.3%	387
groups_4_d	Labor unions	6.4%	313	5.2%	114	3.1%	147	5.5%	101	6.5%	388
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	4.6%	313	2.7%	114	1.5%	147	4.7%	103	12.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	388
groups_6_d	Health organizations	12.5%	313	19.1%	113	10.7%	147	15.9%	103	12.4%	388
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	8.7%	313	12.8%	114	5.6%	147	18.4% ⁽³⁾	103	10.7%	388
groups_8_d	Political action groups	11.5%	310	13.7%	114	6.8%	147	13.6%	103	7.2%	388
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	17.7% ⁽⁵⁾	312	24.3% ⁽⁵⁾	114	22.8% ⁽⁵⁾	147	19.0%	103	10.2%	388
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	26.7%	312	44.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	114	30.2%	147	21.5%	103	23.4%	388
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	7.5% ⁽⁵⁾	312	9.6%	114	8.1%	147	5.5%	103	2.8%	388
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	27.4% ⁽⁴⁾	312	34.3% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	24.8%	147	14.8%	103	20.8%	387
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	14.6%	312	22.7% ⁽⁵⁾	113	19.1%	147	10.5%	103	10.9%	387
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	7.7%	311	15.1%	113	10.2%	147	14.0%	103	11.8%	387
groups15_d	Social service organizations	9.2%	311	13.8%	113	9.0%	147	8.8%	103	11.2%	387
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	19.0% ⁽⁵⁾	311	16.1% ⁽⁵⁾	113	12.9%	147	13.8%	103	6.9%	387
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	9.3%	311	10.8%	113	6.0%	147	10.5%	103	5.5%	387

Table C59B		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
groups18_d	School support groups	24.5%	311	31.5%	113	21.7%	147	26.4%	103	20.1%	387
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	13.4%	311	26.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	9.7%	147	7.9%	103	11.5%	387
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	20.1%	311	19.1%	113	18.4%	147	20.7%	103	20.1%	387
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	4.6%	311	9.6%	113	6.0%	147	11.7%	103	12.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	387
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	5.3%	309	6.6%	112	2.9%	147	4.8%	102	5.6%	387
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	55.0%	310	70.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	115	45.8%	143	64.3% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	103	49.3%	388
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	22.5%	310	27.4% ⁽⁵⁾	115	18.5%	143	19.5%	103	15.2%	389
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	31.1%	309	38.8%	115	25.9%	143	31.5%	103	26.9%	388
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	24.2%	310	36.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	115	18.1%	143	19.6%	103	16.4%	388
present_d	Made a public presentation	19.5%	308	35.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	115	19.7%	142	19.2%	103	17.4%	387

TABLE C60: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C60		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	18.2%	159	29.1%	69	12.1%	70	21.0%	51	19.8%	195
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	37.3%	153	60.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	67	33.0%	70	69.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	51	28.4%	191
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	90.4%	113	97.8%	55	89.5%	52	98.7%	38	92.8%	128
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	94.9%	112	97.5%	55	94.1%	53	92.2%	38	88.8%	128
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	87.3%	112	80.9%	55	91.8%	53	81.4%	38	77.6%	128
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	92.2%	112	95.8%	55	92.1%	53	89.1%	37	92.4%	124
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	89.2%	112	94.8%	55	90.5%	53	88.0%	38	89.0%	124
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	83.6%	112	80.5%	55	81.7%	53	91.0% ⁽⁵⁾	38	71.9%	127
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	75.3% ⁽⁵⁾	112	55.4%	50	67.3%	52	61.1%	38	51.3%	124
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	72.4%	112	72.3%	55	74.3%	53	63.3%	38	72.0%	128
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	69.7%	109	62.0%	54	76.6%	52	73.2%	38	62.0%	126
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>											
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	65.1% ⁽³⁾	210	69.3% ⁽³⁾	81	50.4%	98	75.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	60	56.3%	268

TABLE C61: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C61		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Perceptions of Children		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	89.6%	72	98.7% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	37	85.9%	31	84.5%	21	88.8%	102
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	20.0%	50	5.8%	27	24.1%	12	45.1% ⁽²⁾	13	16.5%	71
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	3.8%	40	.0%*	26	7.0%	10	.0%*	7	1.3%	62
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	65.5%	229	84.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	85	65.9%	106	78.7%	76	68.1%	308
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	62.3%	216	73.0%	81	76.7%	98	77.9%	71	64.6%	295
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	80.3% ⁽⁵⁾	248	75.4%	98	75.7%	118	70.5%	77	67.5%	308
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>											
pssat_d	Change in public schools	35.4%	133	39.6%	58	28.3%	78	27.2%	48	35.1%	197
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	46.6%	126	34.9%	58	36.5%	71	27.9%	54	39.2%	192
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	51.0%	124	38.8%	58	45.6%	69	33.0%	54	37.8%	186

TABLE C62: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C62A		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	56.1%	317	64.0%	122	59.8%	154	56.8%	105	60.5%	405
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	70.8%	204	74.5% ⁽⁵⁾	82	60.3%	98	64.0%	64	60.3%	199
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	36.7%	322	32.9%	123	36.0%	155	40.9%	108	51.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	406
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	61.7%	302	72.5%	117	62.5%	151	71.8%	102	84.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	387
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	63.5%	298	72.3%	117	73.9%	142	71.6%	104	85.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	390
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	12.7% ⁽⁴⁾	228	6.9%	81	15.4% ⁽⁴⁾	100	3.8%	76	7.0%	284
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community											
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	44.7%	297	47.3%	112	40.4%	134	34.7%	100	41.7%	376
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	56.2%	296	78.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	54.3%	135	55.9%	103	59.2%	378
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	84.9%	301	93.3% ⁽¹⁾	114	91.7%	139	85.6%	103	88.1%	378
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	93.0%	296	98.2%	113	95.0%	138	88.2%	102	94.9%	378
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	78.4%	292	93.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	79.0%	135	72.6%	102	84.6%	378
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	84.1%	294	92.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	81.4%	134	78.0%	102	80.9%	379
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	88.5%	294	95.3% ⁽³⁾	113	83.1%	138	85.3%	102	91.1%	377
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	80.2% ⁽³⁾	293	81.7% ⁽³⁾	113	67.2%	134	68.3%	99	84.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	375

Table C62B		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>											
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.4%	293	99.6% ⁽³⁾	113	96.1%	138	97.5%	101	98.9%	377
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	88.7%	293	96.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	113	87.2%	138	97.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	102	93.7%	375
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	93.2%	223	96.3%	86	91.3%	102	96.0%	68	94.7%	289
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	63.1%	218	76.5% ⁽³⁾	84	58.9%	96	84.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	67	72.6%	276
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	81.9%	270	94.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	108	78.9%	127	93.0% ⁽³⁾	85	88.8% ⁽³⁾	329
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	99.2%	293	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	113	95.9%	137	99.2%	102	98.8%	376

TABLE C63: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C63		Type of Community									
		An urban area or small city (1)		A suburban area (2)		Small town (3)		A rural village (4)		Out in the country (5)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
health_d	General health status	77.9%	293	93.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	78.2%	138	91.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	102	79.0%	377
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>											
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	86.4%	293	94.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	113	80.4%	138	86.0%	102	81.0%	376
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>											
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	69.1%	284	75.8%	111	61.7%	131	74.1%	98	67.5%	365
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>											
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	44.0%	282	34.8%	111	36.1%	131	40.1%	98	46.2%	365
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>											
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	86.0%	277	84.5%	109	80.6%	127	84.4%	97	83.2%	346
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	41.0%	283	43.3%	111	36.8%	131	40.3%	98	35.6%	364
<i>% responding "yes"</i>											
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	60.0%	16	90.5%	8	97.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	16	87.2%	6	64.5%	20

TABLE C64: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C64		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>							
quality	Current quality of living	6.79	433	7.64 ⁽¹⁾	581	7.59 ⁽¹⁾	109
yrsago	Past quality of living	7.01	405	7.56 ⁽¹⁾	526	7.02	104
futureb	Future quality of living	6.54	387	7.15 ⁽¹⁾	514	7.58 ⁽¹⁾	105
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	66.5%	352	76.9% ⁽¹⁾	456	70.8%	89

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C65: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C65		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Political Participation							
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>							
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	70.4%	434	65.1%	574	75.3%	109
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>							
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	43.9%	430	39.8%	571	49.0%	109
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	24.3%	434	18.9%	572	24.4%	108
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
vote_d	Registered to vote	87.8%	430	86.4%	572	95.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	109
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	92.5% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	375	84.0%	490	79.7%	103
petition_d	Signed a petition	23.1%	432	30.4%	567	25.9%	108
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	18.4%	434	13.7%	570	13.9%	109
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	2.0%	431	3.2%	570	7.7%	109
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	32.5%	428	24.9%	571	31.1%	109
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	14.7%	420	13.2%	566	8.6%	109
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	23.7%	282	28.2%	361	30.3%	62
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>							
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	77.3%	416	73.6%	552	74.0%	108
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>							
trust_d	Trust in the local government	44.8%	410	38.1%	523	32.2%	101

TABLE C66: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C66A		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>							
religion_d	Attend religious services	65.7%	422	70.6%	569	75.2%	108
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	58.3%	423	55.1%	569	56.5%	108
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	64.6%	415	66.3%	558	66.5%	108
groups_2_d	Political groups	7.7%	415	5.7%	556	7.0%	108
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	24.8%	412	21.1%	555	19.8%	108
groups_4_d	Labor unions	6.1%	413	5.8%	555	4.2%	108
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	2.8%	412	9.4% ⁽¹⁾	556	8.6%	107
groups_6_d	Health organizations	13.4%	412	12.8%	556	13.2%	107
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	7.3%	413	10.9%	556	18.3%	108
groups_8_d	Political action groups	10.6%	410	8.0%	556	13.9%	108
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	19.8%	412	13.6%	555	17.0%	108
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	27.6%	412	27.1%	555	25.5%	108
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	6.0%	412	4.4%	555	12.7%	108
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	25.7%	411	22.4%	555	25.1%	108
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	15.6%	410	11.9%	555	20.6%	108
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	10.1%	410	10.9%	555	13.3%	108
groups15_d	Social service organizations	10.3%	410	9.8%	555	12.1%	107
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	17.2% ⁽²⁾	410	9.9%	555	10.8%	108
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	9.0%	410	6.8%	554	6.7%	108

Table C66B		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Civic Participation							
% responding "Yes"							
		%	n	%	n	%	n
groups18_d	School support groups	24.2%	410	22.2%	555	26.7%	108
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	15.9%	410	10.8%	555	12.5%	108
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	19.2%	409	17.9%	555	30.6% ⁽²⁾	108
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	4.7%	409	11.0% ⁽¹⁾	555	11.6%	108
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	4.2%	407	5.9%	554	4.7%	108
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	52.7%	406	53.2%	557	63.3%	108
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	18.0%	406	19.5%	558	23.1%	108
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	31.1%	404	27.1%	557	35.3%	108
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	22.3%	405	21.2%	558	17.4%	107
present_d	Made a public presentation	18.5%	403	20.5%	556	25.2%	107

TABLE C67: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C67		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	182.5%	207	179.9%	292	175.4%	48
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	36.8%	199	41.9%	288	36.9%	47
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	90.6%	152	94.2%	201	94.6%	33
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	93.7%	153	92.0%	202	94.3%	32
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	83.9%	153	82.9%	202	82.2%	32
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	93.9%	152	91.0%	197	94.6%	32
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	90.3%	153	89.4%	198	92.3%	32
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	81.7%	152	78.1%	201	81.5%	32
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	69.3%	151	56.7%	193	61.8%	32
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	64.3%	152	77.5%	202	70.0%	32
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	66.2%	149	68.2%	198	67.8%	32
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>							
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	65.9%	281	56.7%	377	62.7%	67

TABLE C68: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C68		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Perceptions of Children							
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	88.3%	95	88.6%	149	97.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	21
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	22.3%	62	18.6%	101	8.4%	12
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	3.0%	50	1.8%	85	.0%	12
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	72.2%	311	67.8%	422	73.9%	80
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	67.0%	295	68.0%	399	71.1%	75
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	81.1% ⁽²⁾	320	69.6%	456	67.7%	80
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>							
pssat_d	Change in public schools	35.5%	183	33.1%	285	30.4%	53
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	49.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	168	34.2%	284	30.5%	55
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	53.0% ⁽²⁾	165	35.0%	276	43.0%	52

TABLE C69: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C69A		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Community Attachment							
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	54.8%	430	60.6%	578	65.7%	107
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	71.4%	274	62.4%	333	59.1%	50
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	37.0%	436	42.6%	581	54.6% ⁽¹⁾	109
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	61.9%	413	78.7% ⁽¹⁾	551	77.9% ⁽¹⁾	106
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	66.4%	400	80.9% ⁽¹⁾	556	78.4%	104
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	11.1%	304	8.1%	395	11.9%	78
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	43.9%	389	40.6%	535	42.9%	103
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	58.3%	388	61.2%	540	50.2%	104
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	86.5%	396	89.3%	544	87.2%	105
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	94.8%	392	93.5%	538	93.8%	105
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	79.6%	385	84.2%	539	78.3%	105
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	83.0%	385	83.3%	540	80.8%	105
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	86.1%	390	91.6%	538	88.0%	105
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	77.1%	382	81.1%	535	77.0%	105

Table C69B		Resident Area					
		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>							
		%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.3%	390	98.6%	537	97.9%	104
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	87.3%	390	95.1% ⁽¹⁾	535	94.6% ⁽¹⁾	105
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	94.5%	293	93.6%	404	95.5%	75
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	62.1%	282	73.8% ⁽¹⁾	392	71.8%	71
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	81.9%	354	88.9%	479	91.5%	91
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	99.0%	388	98.6%	536	98.4%	105

TABLE C70: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C70		Resident Area					
Overall Health		City of Danville VA (1)		Pittsylvania County VA (2)		Caswell County NC (3)	
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
health_d	General health status	78.6%	389	83.8%	537	80.4%	105
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>							
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	84.4%	389	83.4%	535	87.8%	105
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>							
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	70.2%	373	66.5%	521	73.6%	101
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>							
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	41.6% ⁽³⁾	373	46.4% ⁽³⁾	519	26.7%	101
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>							
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	84.4%	362	82.6%	501	89.1%	100
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	35.7%	372	39.2%	519	47.9%	102
<i>% responding "yes"</i>							
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	72.8%	21	78.3%	36	78.4%	9

TABLE C71: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C71		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>							
quality	Current quality of living	7.22	858	7.30	84	7.83 ⁽¹⁾	176
yrsago	Past quality of living	7.25	805	7.18	72	7.60	153
futureb	Future quality of living	6.82	774	7.07	72	7.59 ⁽¹⁾	158
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	73.3%	674	60.3%	75	74.2%	143

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C72: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C72		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Political Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>							
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	71.8% ⁽³⁾	856	59.8%	82	54.0%	175
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>							
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	45.5% ⁽³⁾	851	43.5%	82	26.8%	174
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	22.3%	854	25.2%	82	16.1%	175
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
vote_d	Registered to vote	89.1% ⁽³⁾	855	96.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	82	76.8%	170
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	87.7%	757	73.6%	79	89.9%	129
petition_d	Signed a petition	30.4% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	846	12.6%	82	17.2%	175
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	17.2%	853	9.8%	82	10.3%	175
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	3.8% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	849	.0%	82	1.5%	175
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	28.7%	849	28.9%	82	27.5%	173
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	14.5% ⁽²⁾	844	4.3%	77	10.2%	171
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	29.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	555	14.2%	42	15.3%	107
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>							
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	79.4% ⁽³⁾	827	77.0% ⁽³⁾	82	52.5%	164
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>							
trust_d	Trust in the local government	42.4%	800	32.9%	81	33.4%	149

TABLE C73: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C73A		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>							
religion_d	Attend religious services	73.3% ⁽³⁾	842	68.9% ⁽³⁾	81	48.2%	172
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	60.5% ⁽³⁾	844	62.5% ⁽³⁾	81	33.3%	172
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	69.3% ⁽³⁾	826	67.6% ⁽³⁾	81	46.5%	170
groups_2_d	Political groups	6.7%	824	2.1%	81	8.1%	170
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	26.9% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	821	7.4%	81	8.1%	169
groups_4_d	Labor unions	6.1%	821	3.2%	81	3.9%	170
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	7.7% ⁽³⁾	821	5.0%	81	3.0%	170
groups_6_d	Health organizations	13.8%	821	10.3%	81	11.4%	170
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	11.9% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	822	3.9%	81	5.6%	170
groups_8_d	Political action groups	11.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	819	.9%	81	4.2%	170
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	18.0% ⁽³⁾	821	19.5%	81	7.0%	169
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	31.2% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	821	10.3%	81	14.2%	169
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	5.5%	820	13.0%	81	4.4%	169
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	26.7% ⁽³⁾	820	17.5%	81	12.8%	169
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	16.2% ⁽³⁾	819	12.2%	81	5.5%	169
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	189.4%	818	186.9%	81	188.6%	169
groups15_d	Social service organizations	11.3%	819	9.9%	81	5.4%	169
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	13.4% ⁽³⁾	819	20.1%	81	6.4%	169
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	8.6% ⁽³⁾	818	9.2%	81	2.3%	169

Table C73B		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
groups18_d	School support groups	26.6% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	819	10.7%	81	14.7%	169
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	14.6%	819	6.4%	81	8.4%	169
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	21.6% ⁽³⁾	818	13.1%	81	12.5%	169
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	9.2%	818	6.6%	81	7.1%	169
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	6.0% ⁽²⁾	816	.9%	81	3.2%	168
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	59.2% ⁽³⁾	817	41.7%	81	34.2%	169
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	21.2% ⁽³⁾	818	19.8%	81	8.5%	169
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	32.1% ⁽³⁾	816	28.2%	81	16.0%	168
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	23.6% ⁽²⁾	817	10.3%	81	15.2%	169
present_d	Made a public presentation	21.6%	813	12.4%	81	17.7%	169

TABLE C74: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C74		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	23.2% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	438	3.7%	32	5.3%	78
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	43.5% ⁽³⁾	427	26.2%	32	22.9%	75
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	93.5%	322	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	19	85.4%	46
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	93.7%	321	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	20	83.9%	46
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	85.4% ⁽³⁾	321	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	20	60.5%	46
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	92.7%	319	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	20	87.0%	42
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	91.4%	321	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	20	74.7%	42
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	80.4%	320	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	20	66.1%	45
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	61.4%	311	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	20	50.6%	45
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	72.6%	320	85.1%	20	58.9%	46
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	64.1%	317	81.8%	16	85.2% ⁽¹⁾	46
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>							
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	60.7%	553	63.4%	59	61.6%	109

TABLE C75: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C75		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Perceptions of Children		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	89.5%	216	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	11	84.2%	38
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	20.7% ⁽²⁾	143	.0%	4	14.8%	28
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	1.9%	119	.0%	4	3.3%	24
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	72.3%	614	55.7%	61	66.9%	137
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	69.1%	579	71.6%	57	61.3%	132
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	72.5%	654	93.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	67	69.6%	131
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>							
pssat_d	Change in public schools	32.8%	402	43.8%	39	34.1%	78
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	37.0%	393	46.8%	38	44.9%	76
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	39.7%	385	69.1% ⁽¹⁾	36	41.5%	70

TABLE C76: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C76A		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>							
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	61.5%	849	50.1%	85	50.8%	175
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	66.8%	509	58.0%	50	64.6%	95
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	40.7%	859	41.4%	85	46.2%	176
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	74.2% ⁽²⁾	813	48.1%	84	73.1% ⁽²⁾	167
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	77.6% ⁽²⁾	806	46.7%	75	75.3% ⁽²⁾	173
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	9.3%	585	21.3%	59	6.3%	129
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	42.2%	782	47.6%	79	37.9%	163
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	61.9%	785	47.2%	80	50.5%	166
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>							
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	88.3%	794	86.9%	81	87.4%	167
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>							
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	94.4%	789	92.7%	77	93.0%	165
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	84.0%	783	69.9%	77	77.5%	165
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	83.7%	785	90.4%	77	75.6%	165
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	88.9%	788	85.8%	77	91.9%	165
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	81.0%	781	73.5%	77	73.1%	161

Table C76B		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community							
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	97.9%	785	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	77	98.0%	165
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	92.1%	785	83.5%	77	96.4% ⁽¹⁾	164
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	92.9%	582	99.2% ⁽¹⁾	59	97.5% ⁽¹⁾	128
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	69.2%	563	55.8%	58	77.5%	121
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	86.7%	708	90.7%	72	83.3%	141
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	98.8%	784	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	77	97.7%	165

TABLE C77: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C77		Home Type					
		Single house (1)		Apartment or Condo (2)		Other (3)	
Overall Health							
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>							
health_d	General health status	83.7%	786	75.1%	77	73.7%	165
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>							
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	85.3%	784	89.6%	77	76.6%	165
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>							
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	69.5%	754	57.7%	75	69.1%	163
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>							
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	40.1%	752	54.3%	75	49.5%	162
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>							
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	85.1%	734	80.5%	74	79.9%	153
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	38.1%	752	46.3%	75	37.6%	163
<i>% responding "yes"</i>							
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	78.6%	48	61.3%	8	78.8%	11

TABLE C78: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C78		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region <i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
quality	Current quality of living	7.12	151	6.52	159	7.40 ⁽²⁾	212	7.32 ⁽²⁾	272	7.71 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	330
yrsago	Past quality of living	6.84	116	6.44	121	7.25 ⁽²⁾	203	7.36 ⁽²⁾	268	7.74 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	327
futureb	Future quality of living	7.05	126	6.29	148	7.12 ⁽²⁾	198	6.72	248	7.35 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	286
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities <i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	67.5%	132	67.3%	137	69.8%	183	70.5%	207	80.9%	238

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C79: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C79		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Political Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>											
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	64.0%	151	62.8%	158	68.8%	211	71.4%	267	69.8%	330
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>											
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	36.3%	151	48.5%	158	47.8%	207	42.0%	266	39.0%	329
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	16.0%	151	23.7%	158	22.8%	211	24.5%	266	19.8%	329
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
vote_d	Registered to vote	86.3%	151	81.8%	157	88.5%	211	89.1%	262	89.9%	329
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	71.5%	130	83.4%	128	87.3% ⁽¹⁾	186	90.9% ⁽¹⁾	232	91.5% ⁽¹⁾	293
petition_d	Signed a petition	22.7%	150	25.7%	157	20.1%	211	39.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	265	24.6%	323
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	15.0%	150	7.9%	156	15.8%	211	18.3% ⁽²⁾	266	17.1% ⁽²⁾	329
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	1.9%	150	3.6%	155	2.3%	208	4.3%	266	3.1%	329
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	21.3%	150	33.9%	154	30.0%	208	31.0%	266	26.1%	329
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	12.9%	143	10.8%	153	11.5%	205	16.4%	266	13.3%	327
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	24.1%	90	25.3%	96	26.0%	129	36.0% ⁽⁵⁾	169	21.3%	220
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>											
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	57.8%	144	68.7%	141	73.4%	206	80.1% ⁽¹⁾	258	82.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	326
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>											
trust_d	Trust in the local government	25.4%	143	42.5% ⁽¹⁾	137	45.8% ⁽¹⁾	196	43.9% ⁽¹⁾	243	39.6% ⁽¹⁾	314

TABLE C80: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C80A		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"</i>											
religion_d	Attend religious services	57.7%	147	56.3%	154	73.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	206	72.1% ⁽²⁾	265	74.9% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	327
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	49.0%	146	56.5%	154	57.5%	206	58.8%	265	57.2%	329
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	58.2%	136	53.6%	153	66.1%	204	70.2% ⁽²⁾	261	70.6% ⁽²⁾	326
groups_2_d	Political groups	8.9%	136	5.8%	153	4.4%	204	7.8%	259	6.4%	325
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	14.5%	136	26.8%	152	22.2%	202	30.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	259	17.7%	324
groups_4_d	Labor unions	1.8%	136	5.3%	153	5.7%	201	6.5%	259	7.0% ⁽¹⁾	325
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	1.1%	136	10.2% ⁽¹⁾	153	3.8%	202	5.7% ⁽¹⁾	259	10.2% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	324
groups_6_d	Health organizations	5.2%	136	18.6% ⁽¹⁾	153	15.8% ⁽¹⁾	202	14.1% ⁽¹⁾	259	11.4%	324
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	5.8%	136	15.1%	153	12.3%	202	8.8%	259	9.8%	325
groups_8_d	Political action groups	5.7%	136	10.8%	151	11.5%	202	8.6%	259	10.3%	324
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	12.0%	136	11.6%	153	18.8%	202	20.1%	259	15.9%	324
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	18.4%	136	24.7%	153	31.0%	202	34.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	259	23.5%	324
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	7.2%	136	5.4%	153	10.0%	202	3.7%	259	4.6%	324
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	16.1%	136	19.3%	153	29.3% ⁽¹⁾	202	22.4%	259	27.4% ⁽¹⁾	323
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	11.7%	136	17.0%	153	13.4%	202	16.8%	259	12.3%	323
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	9.7%	136	9.4%	153	13.2%	202	7.4%	258	13.4% ⁽⁴⁾	323
groups15_d	Social service organizations	9.0%	136	9.6%	153	12.5%	202	10.7%	258	9.3%	322
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	13.4%	136	13.3%	153	12.9%	202	16.0%	258	9.7%	323
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	8.1%	136	1.0%	153	5.5% ⁽²⁾	202	7.6% ⁽²⁾	258	12.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	323

Table C80B		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Civic Participation		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
% responding "Yes"											
groups18_d	School support groups	20.9%	136	29.3% ⁽⁵⁾	153	22.9%	202	31.6% ⁽⁵⁾	258	15.5%	323
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	11.6%	136	16.0%	153	13.0%	202	16.6%	258	9.1%	323
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	2.4%	136	13.0% ⁽¹⁾	153	18.9% ⁽¹⁾	202	18.5% ⁽¹⁾	258	31.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	323
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	2.1%	136	14.4% ⁽¹⁾	153	6.2%	202	10.5% ⁽¹⁾	258	8.7% ⁽¹⁾	323
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	3.1%	136	10.1%	152	5.2%	201	5.1%	258	3.6%	321
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	51.4%	135	55.4%	153	56.4%	203	57.7%	258	50.0%	321
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	24.6%	135	18.1%	153	14.0%	203	18.9%	258	21.3%	321
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	28.8%	135	33.5%	153	27.5%	203	26.8%	258	31.1%	320
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	21.0%	135	27.8%	153	19.8%	203	24.3%	257	16.7%	321
present_d	Made a public presentation	26.0%	135	23.8%	153	21.4%	201	18.5%	255	16.7%	320

TABLE C81: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C81		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	15.5%	71	20.8%	84	19.5%	106	23.6%	164	15.4%	122
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	43.7%	65	41.3%	85	43.8%	103	42.1%	163	28.8%	117
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	39	91.1%	58	88.4%	65	96.9%	128	88.6%	96
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	100.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	39	92.0%	58	97.3%	65	94.2%	129	85.7%	95
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	89.5%	39	76.3%	58	79.0%	65	88.6%	129	80.6%	95
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	95.2%	35	90.8%	58	91.0%	65	94.2%	129	91.1%	95
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	96.8% ⁽⁵⁾	35	89.7%	58	92.8%	65	92.6%	129	82.3%	95
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	85.3%	39	81.9%	58	84.3%	64	82.5%	129	69.4%	95
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	71.1%	39	73.6%	58	60.3%	63	57.7%	124	58.6%	92
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	75.1%	39	72.0%	58	60.9%	65	76.6%	129	70.6%	95
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	89.2% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	39	61.5%	57	72.6%	62	58.7%	127	70.1%	93
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>											
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	86.2% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	87	63.5% ⁽⁵⁾	101	73.9% ⁽⁵⁾	141	68.7% ⁽⁵⁾	177	34.7%	218

TABLE C82: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C82		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Perceptions of Children											
% responding "Yes"		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	29	95.0%	49	83.0%	64	85.0%	86	93.7%	37
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	.0%	19	6.7% ⁽¹⁾	36	19.3% ⁽¹⁾	45	27.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	58	38.3% ⁽¹⁾	17
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	.0%*	19	.0%*	35	4.0%	38	1.7%	44	7.3%	11
% responding "Excellent/very good/good"											
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	63.7%	94	65.5%	122	70.8%	159	66.4%	191	77.1%	246
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	72.3%	91	65.4%	112	60.2%	149	65.6%	181	74.0% ⁽³⁾	236
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	82.6% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	110	63.6%	125	73.7%	157	66.9%	216	81.0% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	247
% responding "Gotten better"											
pssat_d	Change in public schools	49.6% ⁽⁴⁾	62	32.0%	83	33.5%	106	26.8%	132	34.0%	137
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	45.9%	70	35.9%	79	39.7%	97	33.8%	129	41.2%	131
pacol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	49.5%	70	41.0%	77	41.4%	97	36.1%	122	44.1%	126

TABLE C83: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C83A		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>											
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	37.2%	151	45.7%	161	53.2% ⁽¹⁾	209	61.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	266	76.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	327
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	74.1% ⁽²⁾	101	52.2%	94	65.9%	134	62.5%	155	71.9% ⁽²⁾	172
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	33.0%	151	41.6%	161	36.7%	212	42.3%	270	48.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	332
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	59.7%	145	60.8%	151	68.5%	199	71.8%	258	85.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	316
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	58.8%	144	62.1%	149	69.5%	195	78.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	255	89.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	316
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	12.1%	103	13.0%	102	7.2%	141	8.1%	193	10.0%	238
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community											
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	39.9%	127	37.7%	148	39.7%	197	42.5%	250	46.4%	304
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	47.7%	127	49.6%	149	58.3%	200	61.6%	250	66.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	306
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>											
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	89.4%	130	90.7%	147	82.8%	201	87.2%	253	90.2%	314
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>											
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	95.8%	126	84.4%	149	94.6%	199	94.5%	251	97.2% ⁽²⁾	309
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	83.0%	126	69.0%	151	73.9%	198	87.4% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	247	88.5% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	307
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	90.9% ⁽²⁾	126	76.9%	151	80.3%	197	82.8%	249	84.5%	307
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	90.8%	126	79.3%	151	92.0% ⁽²⁾	200	93.1% ⁽²⁾	247	88.2%	309
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	76.9%	126	72.0%	151	76.0%	197	77.5%	248	87.2% ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	300

Table C83B		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		% responding "Safe/very safe"									
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	100.0% ⁽⁵⁾	126	95.9%	151	99.8% ⁽⁵⁾	200	98.4%	247	96.9%	305
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	99.1% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	126	84.8%	150	95.2%	200	92.3%	248	90.7%	304
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	97.4%	96	87.2%	116	98.1% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	154	95.1%	185	92.7%	222
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	77.4%	91	65.8%	117	77.6% ⁽⁵⁾	147	66.5%	180	63.9%	210
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	90.4%	121	82.0%	131	85.4%	180	84.5%	226	89.2%	267
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	100.0% ⁽⁵⁾	126	98.3%	150	99.2%	200	99.1%	248	97.7%	304

TABLE C84: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C84		Length of Residence in Current Address									
		Less than 2 years (1)		2 to less than 5 years (2)		5 to less than 10 years (3)		10 to less than 20 years (4)		20 or more years (5)	
Overall Health											
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>											
health_d	General health status	83.3%	126	78.8%	150	79.3%	200	86.0%	249	79.8%	305
<i>% responding "Much better/somewhat better"</i>											
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	84.6%	126	79.1%	150	88.9%	200	83.4%	249	84.2%	304
<i>% responding "daily/two or three times a week"</i>											
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	64.8%	126	69.1%	147	68.9%	198	70.3%	238	68.6%	286
<i>% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"</i>											
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	47.0%	126	53.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	145	34.7%	197	48.1% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	236	36.3%	287
<i>% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"</i>											
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	81.4%	121	84.6%	144	83.7%	193	87.1%	233	82.3%	273
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	30.8%	126	38.4%	147	36.1%	197	45.0%	238	39.3%	286
<i>% responding "yes"</i>											
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	61.3%	8	47.5%	9	100.0% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	9	83.1%	26	77.3%	14

TABLE C85: Quality of Life Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C85		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region		mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n
<i>ratings on 10 point-scale</i>													
quality	Current quality of living	6.96	431	7.41 ⁽³⁾	114	6.14	30	7.61 ⁽³⁾	62	7.75 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	235	7.61 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	182
yrsago	Past quality of living	6.90	402	7.43	107	6.64	27	7.50	52	7.77 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	217	7.53 ⁽¹⁾	169
futureb	Future quality of living	6.70	406	6.98	106	6.24	32	7.33	57	7.35 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	200	7.10	161
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>													
compare_d	Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions	69.4% ⁽³⁾	337	83.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	98	36.4%	29	78.3% ⁽³⁾	46	79.6% ⁽³⁾	178	67.1% ⁽³⁾	154

* A mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is significantly higher (at the 5% level) than the mean in the column corresponding to the superscript.

TABLE C86: Political Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C86		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Political Participation													
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat interested"</i>													
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
interest_d	Interested in politics and national affairs	72.9% ⁽⁶⁾	433	75.7% ⁽⁶⁾	115	47.2%	32	57.6%	62	75.5% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	55.6%	183
<i>% responding "A few times/once"</i>													
pubmeet_d	Attend public meeting	48.6% ⁽⁵⁾	433	43.8%	111	47.3%	32	41.0%	62	35.9%	239	39.2%	183
polmeet_d	Attend political meeting or rally	23.4% ⁽⁴⁾	433	29.7% ⁽⁴⁾	115	23.7%	32	7.4%	62	19.9% ⁽⁴⁾	239	19.3% ⁽⁴⁾	183
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
vote_d	Registered to vote	92.1% ⁽⁴⁾	432	81.1%	115	81.2%	32	75.5%	62	92.6% ⁽⁴⁾	240	84.5%	179
election_d	Voted in the November 2010 election	90.2% ⁽⁶⁾	397	87.3% ⁽⁶⁾	93	83.3%	26	77.0%	47	94.1% ⁽⁶⁾	221	72.3%	147
petition_d	Signed a petition	33.4% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	431	25.2%	114	20.0%	32	16.3%	62	25.1%	235	22.2%	183
campaign_d	Participated in a political campaign	14.6%	433	21.4% ⁽³⁾	115	6.4%	32	11.8%	62	19.4% ⁽³⁾	240	12.5%	183
protest_d	Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott	2.6%	432	4.5%	115	8.9%	32	5.1%	62	2.0%	240	3.7%	183
problem_d	Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem	27.2%	433	35.8%	115	45.6%	32	31.4%	60	22.6%	240	29.9%	183
advocate_d	Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level	16.4% ⁽⁶⁾	427	10.4%	115	5.3%	32	9.7%	60	17.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	7.3%	183
connect_d	Connections or resources outside the community	33.6% ⁽⁵⁾	277	32.3%	71	18.4%	18	21.7%	41	17.0%	159	23.8%	116
<i>% responding "Always/almost always/most of the time"</i>													
cityvote_d	Frequency of voting	82.2% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	426	69.8%	105	61.9%	32	62.6%	53	87.6% ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	53.9%	173
<i>% responding "Just about always/most of the time"</i>													
trust_d	Trust in the local government	44.1%	405	38.2%	111	45.5%	29	30.3%	61	41.3%	227	38.7%	154

TABLE C87: Civic Participation Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C87A		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Civic Participation													
% responding "Every week/almost every week/once or twice a month"		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
religion_d	Attend religious services	69.5%	432	69.3%	114	57.2%	32	66.5%	62	80.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	239	58.1%	183
% responding "Yes"													
church_d	Involved in any church-sponsored activities	57.1%	432	63.8%	115	60.3%	32	45.2%	62	60.2%	240	48.7%	183
groups_1_d	Religious organizations	66.2%	429	68.2%	115	56.1%	32	51.6%	61	75.5% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	57.5%	183
groups_2_d	Political groups	4.2%	429	11.2%	115	5.3%	32	1.9%	61	8.1% ⁽⁴⁾	240	9.2%	183
groups_3_d	Professional or trade associations	36.2% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	429	26.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	115	1.5%	32	20.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	61	13.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	5.0%	182
groups_4_d	Labor unions	7.2% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	428	4.3%	115	.0%	32	.9%	61	9.9% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	.9%	183
groups_5_d	Farm organizations	8.0% ⁽⁴⁾	429	5.1%	115	5.3%	32	2.1%	61	9.0% ⁽⁴⁾	240	4.4%	183
groups_6_d	Health organizations	15.1%	429	16.4%	115	8.3%	32	12.3%	61	9.7%	240	12.0%	182
groups_7_d	Environmental or animal protection groups	10.3% ⁽⁴⁾	429	13.0% ⁽⁴⁾	115	19.7%	32	2.7%	61	10.4% ⁽⁴⁾	240	10.2%	183
groups_8_d	Political action groups	10.3%	429	9.1%	115	20.4%	32	8.9%	61	8.7%	238	8.6%	183
groups_9_d	Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	14.2%	429	25.2% ⁽³⁾	115	6.8%	32	15.9%	61	15.0%	240	19.8%	183
groups10_d	Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	32.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	429	39.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	115	11.7%	32	14.0%	61	22.4%	240	18.7%	183
groups11_d	Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	4.6%	429	5.4%	115	7.0%	32	9.1%	61	6.4%	240	6.9%	183
groups12_d	Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	25.0% ⁽³⁾	429	36.0% ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	115	6.7%	32	16.5%	61	26.8% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	16.6%	183
groups13_d	Cultural organizations	16.1%	429	22.2% ⁽⁴⁾	115	10.8%	32	8.2%	61	12.1%	240	10.6%	183
groups14_d	Veterans' groups	6.4%	429	11.2%	115	10.6%	32	10.6%	61	17.7% ⁽¹⁾	240	12.3%	183
groups15_d	Social service organizations	9.2% ⁽⁴⁾	429	14.2%	115	17.9%	32	3.3%	61	7.2%	240	15.5% ⁽⁴⁾	183
groups16_d	Neighborhood associations	12.9%	429	15.2%	115	19.8%	32	9.5%	61	15.2%	240	8.5%	183
groups17_d	Fraternal groups	7.5%	429	7.1%	115	6.8%	32	3.9%	61	12.7% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	3.7%	183

Table C87B		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Civic Participation <i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
groups18_d	School support groups	32.6% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	429	23.1% ⁽⁵⁾	115	19.8%	32	14.1%	61	11.1%	240	22.5% ⁽⁵⁾	183
groups19_d	Scouts or other youth organizations	16.3% ⁽⁵⁾	429	17.4% ⁽⁵⁾	115	8.6%	32	14.6%	61	4.7%	240	13.9% ⁽⁵⁾	183
groups20_d	Organizations for older people	8.4%	429	19.2% ⁽¹⁾	115	20.4%	32	16.5%	61	46.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	240	13.2%	183
groups21_d	Civic or community organizations	9.9%	429	7.7%	115	11.9%	32	12.7%	61	6.6%	240	6.7%	183
groups22_d	Support groups, self-help groups	3.1%	429	7.9%	115	5.5%	32	12.0%	61	4.7%	239	6.6%	181
volunter_d	Volunteered with civic groups	63.4% ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	433	60.0% ⁽⁶⁾	115	47.8%	32	47.2%	62	48.4%	240	39.3%	183
officer_d	Served as an officer or served on a committee	19.3%	433	18.9%	115	8.9%	32	16.4%	62	22.0%	240	19.2%	183
leader_d	Helped plan or lead a meeting	34.8% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	433	28.2% ⁽³⁾	115	5.2%	32	20.3%	62	31.3% ⁽³⁾	240	22.9% ⁽³⁾	183
letter_d	Written a letter or an e-mail for a group	24.9% ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	433	27.3%	115	25.0%	32	23.6%	62	16.0%	240	14.6%	183
present_d	Made a public presentation	22.5% ⁽⁵⁾	433	30.9% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	114	11.2%	32	14.9%	62	14.6%	240	19.7%	182

TABLE C88: People in the Economy Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C88		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
People in the Economy		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
numjobs_d	Has more than one paid job	18.5%	432	23.4%	115	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
jobcred_d	Specific degree or certification required	42.2%	422	29.7%	111	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>													
meaning1_d	Makes good use of my skills and abilities	91.9%	306	96.3%	80	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning2_d	Find my work interesting	92.5%	307	94.4%	80	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning3_d	Feel appreciated, respected, and valued	81.6%	307	89.6%	80	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning4_d	See connection between work and benefits	92.2%	306	93.5%	75	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning5_d	Feeling of personal accomplishment	89.3%	307	92.9%	76	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning6_d	Opportunities to learn new skills	82.5%	306	69.4%	79	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning7_d	Opportunity for advancement in my job	63.9%	299	55.6%	76	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning8_d	Compensated fairly	69.8%	307	78.9%	79	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
meaning9_d	My pay is about the same or better	67.9%	300	65.0%	78	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>% responding "Very/somewhat likely"</i>													
training_d	Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity	82.7% ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	281	65.0% ⁽⁵⁾	72	85.0% ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	25	70.4% ⁽⁵⁾	44	23.5%	176	53.5% ⁽⁵⁾	127

TABLE C89: Perceptions of Children Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C89		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Perceptions of Children		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
schpub_d	Children attend public schools	90.9%	142	80.6%	35	93.5%	17	77.2%	19	100.0% ⁽¹⁾	8	92.0%	44
schpriv_d	Children attend private schools	16.5% ⁽⁵⁾	100	26.1%	22	18.0%	13	70.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	10	.0%	1	7.0%	29
schhom_d	Children home-schooled	.9%	86	9.1%	17	.0%**	11	.0%**	5	.0%**	1	2.9%	27
<i>% responding "Excellent/very good/good"</i>													
childrn_d	Rate region as a place to raise children	64.8%	344	70.5%	90	69.9%	21	68.6%	50	77.7% ⁽¹⁾	170	74.3%	138
schools_d	Rate education provided by the public schools	64.1%	331	60.6%	82	84.7% ⁽¹⁾	17	75.5%	44	76.0% ⁽¹⁾	160	67.5%	134
prepared_d	Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for school	68.9%	363	73.3%	89	70.2%	22	84.6% ⁽¹⁾	52	80.3% ⁽¹⁾	177	74.9%	152
<i>% responding "Gotten better"</i>													
pssat_d	Change in public schools	31.6%	208	39.3%	59	33.8%	20	54.0% ⁽⁵⁾	22	23.4%	106	40.9% ⁽⁵⁾	106
psjob_d	Change in schools providing job skills	32.4%	201	36.1%	66	37.9%	19	41.8%	22	44.6%	102	47.6%	96
pscol_d	Change in schools providing skills for college	36.2%	194	40.2%	65	55.1%	21	48.6%	21	43.1%	100	49.0%	92

TABLE C90: Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perceptions of Community Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C90A		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Community Attachment		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Yes"</i>													
neighbor_d	Neighbors you know	55.6%	428	59.5%	112	52.9%	32	51.2%	62	68.3% ⁽¹⁾	236	59.0%	183
driverel_d	Close relatives within 15 minutes drive	67.1%	258	60.5%	63	56.0%	15	69.8%	35	64.3%	157	68.5%	89
closerel_d	Close relatives within walking distance	40.4%	433	45.1%	115	51.9%	32	43.7%	62	34.9%	240	51.4% ⁽⁵⁾	183
fiveyear_d	Like to be living here 5 years from now	69.2% ⁽³⁾	416	76.6% ⁽³⁾	104	36.4%	32	70.2% ⁽³⁾	56	88.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	229	66.4% ⁽³⁾	175
expect_d	Expect to be living here 5 years from now	73.5% ⁽³⁾	416	79.3% ⁽³⁾	106	42.5%	27	59.6%	60	92.4% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	220	71.3% ⁽³⁾	172
affected_d	Affected by economic downturn	4.9%	312	13.2% ⁽⁴⁾	68	9.3%	22	2.3%	49	13.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	155	15.3% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	140
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community													
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>													
impact_d	Impact in making a better place to live	36.2%	423	46.2%	113	37.1%	31	42.1%	57	52.4% ⁽¹⁾	226	41.4%	175
belong_d	Feel a sense of belonging in the community	53.2%	420	68.1% ⁽¹⁾	113	59.7%	32	60.5%	58	69.1% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	230	52.6%	179
<i>% responding "Most of time and more"</i>													
feelpart_d	Important feel a part of the community	88.3%	419	92.9%	113	80.3%	32	87.0%	60	89.4%	237	84.2%	181
<i>% responding "Strongly/Somewhat Agree"</i>													
athome_d	Feel at home in the area where I live	95.4%	419	99.6% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	110	67.2%	31	84.8%	58	97.5% ⁽³⁾	236	90.5%	179
common_d	Feel I have a lot in common with people	81.3% ⁽³⁾	418	87.0% ⁽³⁾	109	46.5%	31	86.5% ⁽³⁾	58	88.4% ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	234	76.1% ⁽³⁾	177
actions_d	Care what others think of my actions	79.2%	419	87.3%	110	70.4%	31	76.3%	58	87.5% ⁽¹⁾	235	88.1%	176
wellkept_d	Neighborhood is being well kept up	88.1%	421	94.7% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	110	68.4%	31	84.1%	58	91.4%	234	90.4%	178
implive_d	Important to live in this particular area	76.4% ⁽³⁾	417	84.2% ⁽³⁾	110	38.8%	31	67.0%	57	91.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	232	78.0% ⁽³⁾	173

Table C90B		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Efficacy and Perceptions of Community		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
<i>% responding "Safe/very safe"</i>													
daysafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood during the day	98.7%	418	100.0% ^{(1)*(5)(6)}	110	100.0% ^{(1)*(5)(6)}	31	93.9%	57	97.3%	235	97.2%	177
nitesafe_d	Feel safe in neighborhood at night	93.0%	418	96.0% ⁽⁵⁾	110	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	31	93.9%	57	90.5%	234	87.8%	177
shopday_d	Feel safe in shopping areas during the day	95.1%	330	98.8% ⁽⁵⁾	78	95.6%	19	91.7%	42	91.8%	180	92.4%	123
shopnite_d	Feel safe in shopping areas at night	67.9%	329	72.6%	75	83.4% ⁽⁵⁾	19	85.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	42	63.0%	162	70.8%	118
schlsafe_d	Schools are safe for the students	82.7%	393	94.0% ⁽¹⁾	97	79.8%	23	90.8%	51	88.3%	196	88.4%	164
homesafe_d	Feel safe in home	99.6% ⁽⁶⁾	419	99.3%	109	98.5%	31	100.0% ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	57	98.5%	234	96.2%	176

TABLE C91: Overall Health Ratings by Demographic Variables*

Table C91		Work Status											
		Working full time (1)		Working part time (2)		Temporarily laid off or disabled (3)		Unemployed (4)		Retired (5)		Other (6)	
Overall Health		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
health_d	General health status	90.6% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	419	91.2% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	110	57.6%	31	81.0% ⁽⁶⁾	57	76.8% ⁽⁶⁾	234	64.4%	177
% responding "Excellent/very good/good"													
hlthcomp_d	Self-rated health	89.0% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	418	92.9% ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	110	79.4%	31	69.6%	57	84.7% ⁽⁶⁾	234	72.2%	177
% responding "Much better/somewhat better"													
activity_d	Participation in physical activity	65.4%	404	80.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	109	47.3%	30	69.7%	52	69.6%	224	71.0%	173
% responding "very difficult/somewhat difficult"													
nutritn_d	Difficulty for eating healthy	47.4% ⁽⁵⁾	404	39.3%	108	52.5%	30	35.1%	53	35.5%	223	42.6%	47.4% ⁽⁵⁾
% responding "serious problem/only a small problem"													
obesity1_d	Obesity problem in community	90.1% ⁽⁵⁾	398	80.7%	104	70.1%	29	84.7%	53	77.2%	214	81.9%	90.1% ⁽⁵⁾
obesity2_d	Obesity problem in household	39.1%	404	36.6%	109	39.6%	30	60.8% ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	53	35.6%	225	36.9%	39.1%
% responding "yes"													
obeseres_d	What resources to handle obesity problem	83.8%	22	80.3%	6	64.6%	3	100.0% ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	2	67.3%	15	74.0%	83.8%

Appendix D: Frequencies

zone In what county/independent city do you live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 CITY OF DANVILLE, VIRGINIA	436	38.3	38.4	38.4
	2 PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY, VIRGINIA	590	51.8	51.9	90.3
	3 CASWELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	111	9.7	9.7	100.0
	Total	1138	99.8	100.0	
Missing	System	2	.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

danville Length of residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than 6 months	2	.2	.2	.2
	2 6 months to 1 year	8	.7	.7	.9
	3 1-2years	4	.4	.4	1.3
	4 2 to less than 5 years	41	3.6	3.6	4.9
	5 5 to less than 10 years	71	6.2	6.2	11.1
	6 10 to less than 20 years	160	14.0	14.1	25.2
	7 20 or more years	851	74.7	74.8	100.0
	Total	1137	99.8	100.0	
Missing	8 Not sure	0	.0		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	3	.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

howlong Time at current address

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than 6 months	51	4.5	4.5	4.5
	2 6 months to 1 year	56	4.9	4.9	9.4
	3 1-2years	44	3.8	3.8	13.2
	4 2 to less than 5 years	161	14.2	14.2	27.4
	5 5 to less than 10 years	212	18.6	18.6	46.1
	6 10 to less than 20 years	276	24.3	24.3	70.4
	7 20 or more years	337	29.5	29.6	100.0
	Total	1137	99.8	100.0	
Missing	8 Not sure	0	.0		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	3	.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

area Type of community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 An urban area or small city	327	28.7	29.0	29.0
	2 A suburban area	125	11.0	11.1	40.2
	3 Small town	155	13.6	13.8	53.9
	4 A rural village	109	9.5	9.7	63.6
	5 Out in the country	409	35.9	36.4	100.0
	Total	1125	98.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	12	1.1		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	15	1.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

ownhome Own or rent

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Owns	790	69.3	69.8	69.8
	2 Rents	315	27.6	27.8	97.6
	3 Other	27	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	1132	99.3	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused	6	.5		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	8	.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

typehome Type of home

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Single family dwelling	870	76.3	76.8	76.8
	2 Duplex or townhouse	44	3.8	3.9	80.7
	3 Apartment or Condominium	85	7.5	7.5	88.2
	4 Mobile home or trailer	126	11.1	11.1	99.4
	6 Some other type of structure	7	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1132	99.3	100.0	
	Missing	8 DK	5	.4	
9 Refused		1	.1		
System		2	.2		
Total		8	.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

quality Current quality of living

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Worst	16	1.4	1.4	1.4
	2	7	.6	.6	2.0
	3	27	2.3	2.4	4.4
	4	35	3.1	3.2	7.5
	5	130	11.4	11.6	19.1
	6	127	11.2	11.3	30.4
	7	212	18.6	18.8	49.3
	8	271	23.7	24.1	73.3
	9	95	8.3	8.4	81.7
	10 Best	205	18.0	18.3	100.0
	Total	1124	98.6	100.0	
Missing	98 DK	11	1.0		
	99 Refused	3	.3		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	16	1.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

yrsago Past quality of living

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Worst	13	1.2	1.3	1.3
	2	13	1.2	1.3	2.6
	3	31	2.8	3.0	5.6
	4	30	2.6	2.9	8.5
	5	122	10.7	11.8	20.3
	6	119	10.4	11.5	31.8
	7	159	14.0	15.4	47.2
	8	246	21.6	23.7	70.9
	9	124	10.9	12.0	82.8
	10 Best	178	15.6	17.2	100.0
	Total	1036	90.9	100.0	
Missing	98 DK	41	3.6		
	99 Refused	4	.4		
	System	59	5.2		
	Total	104	9.1		
Total	1140	100.0			

futureb Future quality of living

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Worst	34	3.0	3.4	3.4
	2	31	2.7	3.1	6.4
	3	41	3.6	4.1	10.5
	4	52	4.6	5.2	15.7
	5	131	11.5	13.0	28.7
	6	76	6.7	7.5	36.3
	7	137	12.0	13.6	49.8
	8	213	18.7	21.2	71.0
	9	112	9.9	11.2	82.2
	10 Best	179	15.7	17.8	100.0
	Total	1006	88.3	100.0	
Missing	98 DK	125	11.0		
	99 Refused	1	.1		
	System	8	.7		
	Total	134	11.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

compare Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Excellent	145	12.7	16.1	16.1
	2 Very Good	187	16.4	20.8	37.0
	3 Good	316	27.7	35.2	72.2
	4 Only fair	197	17.3	21.9	94.1
	5 Poor	53	4.6	5.9	100.0
	Total	898	78.8	100.0	
Missing	6 Always lived here	215	18.8		
	8 DK/Unable to rate	20	1.8		
	System	8	.7		
	Total	242	21.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

neighbor Neighbors you know

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 None	65	5.7	5.8	5.8
	2 1 or 2	140	12.3	12.5	18.4
	3 3 to 5	253	22.2	22.6	40.9
	4 6 to 10	248	21.7	22.1	63.1
	5 11 or more	408	35.8	36.4	99.5
	6 No neighbors	6	.5	.5	100.0
Total		1120	98.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	6	.6		
	9 Refused/ no answer	1	.1		
	System	13	1.1		
Total		20	1.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

closerel Close relatives within walking distance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	469	41.1	41.6	41.6
	2 No	657	57.7	58.4	100.0
	Total	1126	98.8	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	13	1.2		
	Total	14	1.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

driverel Close relatives within 15 minutes drive

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	433	37.9	65.9	65.9
	2 No	224	19.6	34.1	100.0
	Total	656	57.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/not sure	1	.0		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	483	42.3		
Total		484	42.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

fiveyear Like to be living here 5 years from now

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	772	67.7	72.1	72.1
	2 No	298	26.2	27.9	100.0
	Total	1070	93.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/not sure	56	4.9		
	System	14	1.2		
	Total	70	6.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

expect Expect to be living here 5 years from now

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	796	69.9	75.2	75.2
	2 No	263	23.1	24.8	100.0
	Total	1059	92.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/not sure	67	5.8		
	System	14	1.2		
	Total	81	7.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

affected Affected by economy past two years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Positively affected	75	6.6	9.7	9.7
	2 Negatively affected	513	45.0	66.0	75.7
	3 No effect	189	16.6	24.3	100.0
	Total	777	68.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Not sure	15	1.3		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	347	30.4		
	Total	363	31.8		
Total		1140	100.0		

bettroff Will household be better off 5 years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Better off financially	401	35.1	38.0	38.0
	2 Worse off	148	13.0	14.0	52.1
	3 About the same	505	44.3	47.9	100.0
	Total	1053	92.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	65	5.7		
	9 REFUSED	4	.3		
	System	19	1.7		
	Total	87	7.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

\$Negative Frequencies

	Responses		Percent of Cases	
	N	Percent		
\$Negative How effected by economic downturn ^a	negativ1 Loss of job	104	12.3%	20.5%
	negativ2 Decrease in employment	44	5.2%	8.7%
	negativ3 Cant find new job	41	4.9%	8.1%
	negativ4 Loss or reduction of income	99	11.7%	19.5%
	negativ5 Hard to pay bills & make ends meet	121	14.3%	23.9%
	negativ6 Reduced value of home or property	24	2.8%	4.7%
	negativ7 Loss of employee benefits	15	1.8%	3.0%
	negativ8 Medical & health related issues	25	3.0%	5.0%
	negativ9 Foreclosure	3	.3%	.6%
	negati10 Decreased value of investment or retirement fund	16	1.9%	3.2%
	negati11 Higher cost of living	254	30.1%	50.1%
	negati12 Higher crime/gang activities	4	.5%	.8%
	negati13 Other	94	11.1%	18.5%
Total	846	100.0%	166.5%	

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

interest Interested in politics and national affairs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very interested	360	31.6	32.3	32.3
	2 Somewhat interested	401	35.2	35.9	68.2
	3 Only slightly interested	194	17.0	17.4	85.6
	4 Not at all interested	161	14.1	14.4	100.0
	Total	1116	97.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	1	.1		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	22	1.9		
	Total	24	2.1		
Total	1140	100.0			

pubmeet Attend public meeting

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 A few times	326	28.6	29.3	29.3
	2 Once	145	12.7	13.0	42.3
	3 Never did this	641	56.2	57.7	100.0
	Total	1111	97.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	6	.5		
	System	23	2.0		
	Total	29	2.5		
Total	1140	100.0			

polmeet Attend political meeting or rally

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 A few times	133	11.6	11.9	11.9
	2 Once	107	9.4	9.6	21.5
	3 Never did this	874	76.7	78.5	100.0
	Total	1114	97.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	2	.2		
	System	24	2.1		
	Total	26	2.3		
Total	1140	100.0			

vote Registered to vote

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	975	85.5	87.4	87.4
	2 No	135	11.8	12.1	99.5
	3 Not eligible to vote	6	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	1116	97.9	100.0	
Missing	System	24	2.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

election Voted in the Nov 2010 election

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	841	73.8	86.6	86.6
	2 No	128	11.2	13.1	99.8
	3 Was not eligible	2	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	971	85.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	4	.3		
	System	165	14.5		
	Total	169	14.8		
Total		1140	100.0		

cityvote Frequency of voting

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Always	523	45.9	47.1	47.1
	2 Almost always	170	15.0	15.4	62.5
	3 Most of the time	115	10.1	10.4	72.8
	4 Sometimes	73	6.4	6.6	79.4
	5 Rarely	36	3.1	3.2	82.6
	6 Never	159	14.0	14.3	97.0
	7 Was not eligible to vote	34	2.9	3.0	100.0
	Total	1110	97.4	100.0	
Missing	System	30	2.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

trust Trust in the local government

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Just about always	61	5.4	5.7	5.7
	2 Most of the time	354	31.1	32.7	38.4
	3 Only some of the time	595	52.2	54.9	93.3
	4 Never/almost never	73	6.4	6.7	100.0
	Total	1083	95.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	24	2.1		
	9 Refused	9	.7		
	System	25	2.2		
	Total	57	5.0		
Total		1140	100.0		

petition Signed a petition

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	300	26.3	27.1	27.1
	2 No	807	70.8	72.9	100.0
	Total	1107	97.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	8	.7		
	System	25	2.2		
	Total	33	2.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

campaign Participated in a political campaign

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	173	15.2	15.5	15.5
	2 No	940	82.5	84.5	100.0
	Total	1114	97.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	1	.1		
	System	26	2.3		
	Total	26	2.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

protest Participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	35	3.1	3.2	3.2
	2 No	1074	94.2	96.8	100.0
	Total	1110	97.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	1	.1		
	9 Refused	0	.0		
	System	29	2.5		
	Total	30	2.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

advocate Worked with others to try to solve problems at the state or national level

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	146	12.8	13.3	13.3
	2 No	949	83.3	86.7	100.0
	Total	1095	96.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	7	.7		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	36	3.2		
	Total	45	4.0		
Total		1140	100.0		

problem Worked to solve a neighborhood or community problem

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	315	27.6	28.5	28.5
	2 No	792	69.5	71.5	100.0
	Total	1108	97.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	2	.2		
	System	30	2.7		
	Total	32	2.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

connect Connections or resources outside the community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	187	16.4	26.6	26.6
	2 No	517	45.4	73.4	100.0
	Total	705	61.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	27	2.4		
	9 Refused	0	.0		
	System	408	35.8		
	Total	435	38.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

religion Attend religious services

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Every week	492	43.1	44.7	44.7
	2 Almost every week	99	8.7	9.0	53.7
	3 Once or twice a month	170	14.9	15.4	69.2
	4 A few times per year	186	16.3	17.0	86.1
	5 Less often than that	65	5.7	5.9	92.0
	6 Never	88	7.7	8.0	100.0
	Total	1099	96.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	3	.2		
	9 Refused	2	.2		
	System	36	3.2		
	Total	41	3.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

church Involved in any church-sponsored activities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	621	54.5	56.5	56.5
	2 No	479	42.0	43.5	100.0
	Total	1100	96.5	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	39	3.4		
	Total	40	3.5		
Total		1140	100.0		

\$Groups Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$Groups	groups_1 Religious organizations	710	19.5%	78.0%
Civic groups ^a	groups_2 Political groups	71	1.9%	7.8%
	groups_3 Professional or trade associations	241	6.6%	26.5%
	groups_4 Labor unions	62	1.7%	6.8%
	groups_5 Farm organizations	73	2.0%	8.0%
	groups_6 Health organizations	141	3.9%	15.5%
	groups_7 Environmental or animal protection groups	111	3.0%	12.2%
	groups_8 Political action groups	103	2.8%	11.3%
	groups_9 Social clubs, fraternities, sororities, college clubs	176	4.8%	19.3%
	groups10 Health clubs, sports clubs, athletic leagues, country clubs, swimming pool	292	8.0%	32.0%
	groups11 Ethnic, nationality, or civil rights organizations	63	1.7%	6.9%
	groups12 Hobby, garden, or recreation groups	257	7.1%	28.2%
	groups13 Cultural organizations	152	4.2%	16.7%
	groups14 Veterans' groups	116	3.2%	12.8%
	groups15 Social service organizations	110	3.0%	12.1%
	groups16 Neighborhood associations	137	3.8%	15.1%
	groups17 Fraternal groups	82	2.2%	9.0%
	groups18 School support groups	251	6.9%	27.6%
	groups19 Scouts or other youth organizations	139	3.8%	15.2%
	groups20 Organizations for older people	211	5.8%	23.1%
	groups21 Civic or community organizations	93	2.5%	10.2%
	groups22 Support groups, self-help groups	55	1.5%	6.0%
Total		3644	100.0%	400.1%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

volunter Volunteered with civic groups

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	578	50.7	54.0	54.0
	2 No	493	43.2	46.0	100.0
	Total	1070	93.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	1	.0		
	System	69	6.1		
	Total	70	6.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

\$Typevol Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$Typevol Types of Volunteering ^a	typevol1 Arts, culture, and humanities	20	2.0%	3.4%
	typevol2 Foundations	8	.8%	1.4%
	typevol3 Civic organizations	41	4.2%	7.2%
	typevol4 Fraternal associations	6	.7%	1.1%
	typevol5 Business or for-profit corporation	0	.1%	.1%
	typevol6 Community or neighborhood action	39	4.1%	6.9%
	typevol7 Food bank or other food programs	59	6.2%	10.4%
	typevol8 Disease related causes	15	1.6%	2.7%
	typevol9 School, education or tutoring	142	14.8%	24.9%
	typevo10 Protection of animals	13	1.4%	2.3%
	typevo11 Environment or conservation	9	.9%	1.5%
	typevo12 Healthcare	59	6.2%	10.4%
	typevo13 Housing or homeless	43	4.4%	7.5%
	typevo14 International	2	.2%	.3%
	typevo15 Political, legislative or advocacy	12	1.2%	2.0%
	typevo16 Religious or church	277	28.8%	48.5%
	typevo17 Adult recreation	9	1.0%	1.6%
	typevo18 Service to older people	35	3.7%	6.2%
	typevo19 Youth activities	74	7.7%	12.9%
	typevo20 Library	6	.6%	1.0%
	typevo21 Other	93	9.7%	16.3%
Total	962	100.0%	168.8%	

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

hoursvol Hours volunteer per month

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 2 hours or less	147	12.9	26.1	26.1
	2 3-5 hours	137	12.0	24.2	50.3
	3 6-10 hours	140	12.3	24.8	75.2
	4 11-20 hours	71	6.2	12.5	87.7
	5 21-40 hours	48	4.2	8.6	96.2
	6 More than 40 hours	21	1.9	3.8	100.0
	Total	565	49.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	9	.8		
	9 Refused	4	.4		
	System	562	49.3		
	Total	575	50.5		
Total	1140	100.0			

officer Served as an officer or served on a committee

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	207	18.1	19.3	19.3
	2 No	864	75.8	80.7	100.0
	Total	1071	93.9	100.0	
Missing	System	69	6.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

leader Helped plan or lead a meeting

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	315	27.6	29.4	29.4
	2 No	755	66.2	70.6	100.0
	Total	1069	93.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	1	.1		
	System	70	6.1		
	Total	71	6.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

letter Written a letter or an e-mail for a group

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	227	19.9	21.2	21.2
	2 No	843	73.9	78.8	100.0
	Total	1070	93.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	1	.0		
	System	70	6.1		
	Total	70	6.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

present Made a public presentation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	216	18.9	20.2	20.2
	2 No	850	74.6	79.8	100.0
	Total	1066	93.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	4	.3		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	70	6.1		
Total		74	6.5		
Total		1140	100.0		

giving Amount of contribution to religions & non-religious organizations in the past 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 None	148	13.0	16.1	16.1
	2 Less than \$100	124	10.9	13.5	29.6
	3 \$100 to less than \$500	217	19.0	23.6	53.2
	4 \$500 to less than \$1,000	141	12.3	15.3	68.5
	5 \$1,000 to less than \$5,000	224	19.7	24.4	92.8
	6 More than \$5,000	66	5.8	7.2	100.0
Total		920	80.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	74	6.5		
	9 Refused	75	6.6		
	System	71	6.3		
Total		220	19.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

employ Employment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Working full time	433	38.0	40.6	40.6
	2 Working part time	115	10.1	10.8	51.4
	3 Temporarily laid off or disabled	32	2.8	3.0	54.4
	4 Unemployed	62	5.4	5.8	60.2
	5 Retired	240	21.1	22.6	82.8
	6 Permanently disabled	102	8.9	9.6	92.4
	7 Homemaker	26	2.3	2.4	94.8
	8 Student	48	4.2	4.5	99.3
	9 Other (Specify)	8	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	1065	93.5	100.0	
Missing	11 Refused	2	.2		
	System	72	6.3		
	Total	75	6.5		
Total		1140	100.0		

numjobs Has more than one paid job

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	107	9.4	19.5	19.5
	2 No	440	38.6	80.5	100.0
	Total	547	48.0	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	592	51.9		
	Total	593	52.0		
Total		1140	100.0		

hourwork Hours worked

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	4	.4	.8	.8
	4	3	.3	.6	1.3
	5	1	.1	.2	1.6
	7	2	.2	.5	2.0
	8	1	.1	.2	2.2
	10	5	.4	.9	3.1
	12	3	.3	.5	3.6
	15	2	.1	.3	3.9
	16	3	.2	.5	4.4
	17	1	.1	.2	4.6
	18	1	.1	.2	4.8
	20	28	2.4	5.1	9.9
	22	2	.2	.4	10.3
	24	4	.4	.8	11.0
	25	8	.7	1.5	12.6
	26	0	.0	.1	12.6
	27	2	.2	.4	13.1
	28	0	.0	.1	13.1
	30	31	2.7	5.7	18.9
	31	1	.1	.2	19.1
	32	11	1.0	2.1	21.2
	33	4	.4	.8	22.0
	34	2	.2	.3	22.3
	35	17	1.5	3.2	25.5
	36	5	.4	.8	26.3
	37	4	.3	.6	27.0
	38	3	.3	.6	27.5
	39	1	.1	.2	27.8
	40	162	14.2	29.9	57.7
	41	4	.4	.8	58.5
	42	10	.8	1.8	60.3
	43	4	.3	.7	61.0

hourwork Hours worked (continued)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
44	8	.7	1.4	62.4
45	40	3.5	7.4	69.9
46	1	.1	.1	70.0
47	2	.2	.4	70.4
48	14	1.2	2.6	73.0
49	1	.1	.2	73.2
50	62	5.5	11.5	84.7
52	1	.1	.2	84.9
53	0	.0	.1	85.0
54	3	.2	.5	85.5
55	5	.4	.9	86.4
56	6	.6	1.2	87.6
58	1	.1	.1	87.7
60	29	2.5	5.3	93.0
62	1	.1	.2	93.2
65	5	.4	.9	94.1
68	4	.4	.8	94.9
70	11	1.0	2.1	96.9
72	3	.2	.5	97.4
75	3	.3	.6	98.1
76	0	.0	.1	98.2
80	10	.9	1.8	100.0
Total	542	47.5	100.0	
Missing				
98 DK	4	.3		
99 Refused	2	.2		
System	593	52.0		
Total	598	52.5		
Total	1140	100.0		

joblocat Job location

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 In the city of Danville	272	23.8	50.1	50.1
	2 In Pittsylvania County	115	10.1	21.3	71.4
	3 In Caswell County	24	2.1	4.4	75.7
	4 Other	132	11.5	24.3	100.0
	Total	543	47.6	100.0	
Missing	9 DK/Refused	5	.4		
	System	593	52.0		
	Total	597	52.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

commute Commuting time

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	24	2.1	4.5	4.5
	1	4	.4	.8	5.3
	2	6	.5	1.1	6.4
	3	6	.5	1.1	7.5
	4	1	.1	.2	7.7
	5	56	4.9	10.6	18.3
	7	8	.7	1.4	19.7
	8	15	1.3	2.9	22.6
	10	70	6.1	13.3	35.8
	11	4	.4	.8	36.6
	12	7	.6	1.3	37.9
	13	1	.1	.2	38.1
	14	2	.2	.5	38.6
	15	93	8.2	17.7	56.3
	17	6	.5	1.2	57.5
	18	1	.1	.1	57.6
	20	57	5.0	10.8	68.4
	21	1	.1	.1	68.6
	22	0	.0	.1	68.7

commute Commuting time (continued)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
23	1	.1	.3	68.9
25	15	1.3	2.9	71.9
27	2	.2	.3	72.2
30	45	4.0	8.6	80.8
33	1	.1	.2	80.9
35	11	1.0	2.2	83.1
40	14	1.2	2.6	85.7
42	1	.1	.2	85.9
45	24	2.1	4.6	90.5
50	3	.3	.6	91.2
55	0	.0	.1	91.3
60	23	2.0	4.4	95.7
70	1	.1	.2	95.8
75	9	.8	1.8	97.6
88	3	.2	.5	98.1
90	1	.1	.2	98.4
120	1	.0	.1	98.5
180	1	.1	.2	98.7
240	1	.1	.1	98.9
777 Work at home	6	.5	1.1	100.0
Total	527	46.3	100.0	
Missing 888 DK	17	1.5		
999 Refused	1	.1		
System	595	52.2		
Total	613	53.7		
Total	1140	100.0		

jobcred Specific degree or certification required

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	211	18.5	39.6	39.6
	2 No	323	28.3	60.4	100.0
	Total	534	46.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	4	.4		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	601	52.7		
	Total	606	53.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

training Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	282	24.8	38.9	38.9
	2 Somewhat likely	158	13.9	21.8	60.8
	3 Somewhat unlikely	83	7.2	11.4	72.2
	4 Very unlikely	202	17.7	27.8	100.0
	Total	725	63.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	7	.6		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	407	35.7		
Total		415	36.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

whytrain Reason to get special job training

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Job requires it	75	6.6	17.4	17.4
	2 Improve your current job	138	12.1	31.8	49.1
	3 New career or occupation	127	11.1	29.2	78.3
	4 Other	94	8.3	21.7	100.0
	Total	435	38.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	5	.5		
	9 Refused	0	.0		
	System	700	61.4		
	Total	705	61.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

children Children under 18

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	703	61.7	67.0	67.0
	1	176	15.5	16.8	83.8
	2	122	10.7	11.6	95.4
	3	31	2.7	3.0	98.4
	4	7	.6	.6	99.0
	5	10	.9	1.0	100.0
	Total	1049	92.1	100.0	
Missing	99	8	.7		
	System	83	7.3		
	Total	91	7.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

under6 Children 5 or younger

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	193	16.9	55.7	55.7
	1	119	10.4	34.3	90.0
	2	33	2.9	9.6	99.6
	3	1	.1	.4	100.0
	Total	346	30.4	100.0	
Missing	System	794	69.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

sixup Children age 6 to 12

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	83	7.3	31.2	31.2
	1	139	12.2	52.4	83.6
	2	34	2.9	12.6	96.2
	3	7	.6	2.7	98.9
	4	3	.3	1.1	100.0
Total		266	23.4	100.0	
Missing	System	874	76.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

teens Children age 13 to 17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	.1	.5	.5
	1	100	8.8	76.3	76.8
	2	30	2.6	22.9	99.6
	3	0	.0	.4	100.0
Total		131	11.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1009	88.5		
Total		1140	100.0		

schpub Children attend public schools

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	237	20.8	89.2	89.2
	2 No	29	2.5	10.8	100.0
	Total	265	23.3	100.0	
Missing	System	875	76.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

schpriv Children attend private schools

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	34	3.0	19.3	19.3
	2 No	141	12.4	80.7	100.0
	Total	175	15.3	100.0	
Missing	System	965	84.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

schhom Children home-schooled

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	3	.3	2.1	2.1
	2 No	144	12.6	97.9	100.0
	Total	147	12.9	100.0	
Missing	System	993	87.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

childrn Rate region as a place to raise children

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Excellent	80	7.0	9.9	9.9
	2 Very good	193	16.9	23.8	33.6
	3 Good	296	26.0	36.5	70.1
	4 Only fair	185	16.2	22.8	92.9
	5 Poor	58	5.1	7.1	100.0
	Total	812	71.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	28	2.4		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	299	26.2		
	Total	328	28.7		
Total	1140	100.0			

schools Rate education provided by the public schools

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Excellent	61	5.4	7.9	7.9
	2 Very good	180	15.8	23.4	31.3
	3 Good	281	24.7	36.6	67.9
	4 Fair	174	15.3	22.6	90.5
	5 Poor	73	6.4	9.5	100.0
	Total	769	67.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	71	6.2		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	300	26.3		
	Total	371	32.6		
Total	1140	100.0			

pssat Change in public schools

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Gotten better	176	15.4	33.7	33.7
	2 Gotten worse	119	10.4	22.8	56.5
	3 Stayed the same	227	19.9	43.5	100.0
	Total	521	45.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	79	7.0		
	9 Refused	2	.1		
	System	538	47.2		
	Total	619	54.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

psjob Change in schools providing job skills

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Gotten better	197	17.3	38.9	38.9
	2 Gotten worse	87	7.6	17.1	56.0
	3 Stayed the same	223	19.5	44.0	100.0
	Total	506	44.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	94	8.3		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	538	47.2		
	Total	634	55.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

pscol Change in schools providing skills for college

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Gotten better	206	18.1	41.9	41.9
	2 Gotten worse	76	6.7	15.5	57.4
	3 Stayed the same	210	18.4	42.6	100.0
	Total	492	43.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	107	9.4		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	539	47.3		
	Total	648	56.8		
Total		1140	100.0		

prepared Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for preschool

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Excellent	88	7.7	10.3	10.3
	2 Very good	212	18.6	24.8	35.0
	3 Good	331	29.1	38.7	73.8
	4 Only fair	174	15.3	20.4	94.1
	5 Poor	50	4.4	5.9	100.0
	Total	856	75.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/UNABLE TO RATE	194	17.0		
	9 REFUSED	4	.4		
	System	86	7.6		
	Total	284	24.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

moveaway What is best for children

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Better to stay	194	17.0	19.6	19.6
	2 Better in some other area	669	58.7	67.6	87.2
	3 No difference	8	.7	.8	87.9
	4 Depends	119	10.5	12.1	100.0
	Total	990	86.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	58	5.1		
	9 Refused	3	.3		
	System	89	7.8		
	Total	150	13.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

returns Hope young person returns to work and raises family

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Hope person returns	475	41.7	47.4	47.4
	2 Better for person to stay away	409	35.9	40.8	88.2
	3 NO PREFERENCE	19	1.7	1.9	90.1
	4 DEPENDS (VOL)	99	8.7	9.9	100.0
	Total	1003	88.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	46	4.0		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	89	7.8		
	Total	137	12.0		
Total	1140	100.0			

\$Chall Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$Chall Challenges for Youth ^a	chall_1 Challenge: Drugs	93	10.8%	16.1%
	chall_2 Challenge: Gangs	35	4.0%	6.0%
	chall_3 Challenge: Teen pregnancy	11	1.3%	1.9%
	chall_4 Challenge: Dropping out of school	34	3.9%	5.9%
	chall_5 Challenge: No jobs	247	28.6%	42.6%
	chall_6 Challenge: Lack of recreational activities	119	13.7%	20.4%
	chall_7 Challenge: Lack of parental involvement/control	35	4.0%	6.0%
	chall_8 Challenge: Other (specify)	214	24.7%	36.8%
	chall_9 Challenge: DK	72	8.4%	12.4%
	chall_10 Challenge: Refused	4	.5%	.7%
Total	864	100.0%	149.0%	

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

impact Impact in making a better place to live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Always	144	12.6	14.0	14.0
	2 Most of the time	289	25.3	28.1	42.1
	3 Sometimes	384	33.7	37.4	79.5
	4 Rarely	139	12.2	13.5	93.1
	5 Never	71	6.2	6.9	100.0
	Total	1027	90.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	14	1.2		
	9 Refused	5	.4		
	System	94	8.2		
	Total	113	9.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

feelpart Important feel a part of the community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very important	427	37.4	40.9	40.9
	2 Somewhat important	493	43.2	47.2	88.0
	3 Not at all important	125	11.0	12.0	100.0
	Total	1045	91.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	5	.5		
	System	90	7.9		
	Total	95	8.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

belong Feel a sense of belonging in the community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Always	260	22.8	25.2	25.2
	2 Most of the time	349	30.6	33.8	59.0
	3 Sometimes	284	24.9	27.5	86.5
	4 Rarely	81	7.1	7.8	94.3
	5 Never	59	5.2	5.7	100.0
	Total	1033	90.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	15	1.3		
	System	92	8.1		
	Total	107	9.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

athome Feel at home in the area where I live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly agree	730	64.0	70.5	70.5
	2 Somewhat agree	243	21.3	23.5	94.0
	3 Neutral	10	.9	1.0	95.0
	4 Somewhat disagree	26	2.2	2.5	97.5
	5 Strongly disagree	26	2.3	2.5	100.0
	Total	1035	90.8	100.0	
Missing	8 No opinion/DK	2	.2		
	9 Refused	3	.2		
	System	101	8.8		
	Total	105	9.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

common Feel I have a lot in common with people

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly agree	492	43.1	47.8	47.8
	2 Somewhat agree	351	30.8	34.1	81.9
	3 Neutral	16	1.4	1.6	83.5
	4 Somewhat disagree	103	9.0	10.0	93.5
	5 Strongly disagree	67	5.9	6.5	100.0
	Total	1029	90.3	100.0	
Missing	8 No opinion/DK	8	.7		
	System	103	9.0		
	Total	111	9.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

actions Care what others think of my actions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly agree	585	51.4	56.8	56.8
	2 Somewhat agree	269	23.6	26.1	82.9
	3 Neutral	15	1.3	1.4	84.4
	4 Somewhat disagree	75	6.5	7.2	91.6
	5 Strongly disagree	86	7.6	8.4	100.0
	Total	1030	90.4	100.0	
Missing	8 No opinion/DK	6	.5		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	103	9.0		
Total		110	9.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

wellkept Neighborhood is being well kept up

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly agree	575	50.5	55.7	55.7
	2 Somewhat agree	346	30.3	33.4	89.1
	3 Neutral	12	1.1	1.2	90.3
	4 Somewhat disagree	56	4.9	5.4	95.7
	5 Strongly disagree	44	3.9	4.3	100.0
	Total	1033	90.6	100.0	
Missing	8 No opinion/DK	3	.3		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	103	9.0		
	Total	107	9.4		
Total	1140	100.0			

implye Important to live in this particular area

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly agree	458	40.2	44.8	44.8
	2 Somewhat agree	351	30.8	34.4	79.2
	3 Neutral	23	2.0	2.2	81.4
	4 Somewhat disagree	98	8.6	9.6	91.0
	5 Strongly disagree	92	8.1	9.0	100.0
	Total	1022	89.6	100.0	
Missing	8 No opinion/DK	12	1.1		
	9 Refused	0	.0		
	System	105	9.2		
	Total	118	10.4		
Total	1140	100.0			

daysafe Feel safe in neighborhood during the day

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very safe	702	61.6	68.1	68.1
	2 Safe	309	27.1	29.9	98.0
	3 Unsafe	19	1.7	1.8	99.9
	4 Very unsafe	1	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	1031	90.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	2	.2		
	System	107	9.4		
	Total	109	9.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

nitesafe Feel safe in neighborhood at night

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very safe	512	44.9	49.7	49.7
	2 Safe	437	38.3	42.4	92.1
	3 Unsafe	63	5.6	6.2	98.3
	4 Very unsafe	18	1.6	1.7	100.0
	Total	1030	90.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	2	.2		
	System	108	9.5		
	Total	110	9.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

shopday Feel safe in shopping areas during the day

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very safe	344	30.2	44.6	44.6
	2 Safe	383	33.6	49.5	94.1
	3 Unsafe	41	3.6	5.3	99.4
	4 Very unsafe	5	.4	.6	100.0
	Total	773	67.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	19	1.7		
	System	348	30.5		
	Total	367	32.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

shopnite Feel safe in shopping areas at night

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very safe	160	14.0	21.4	21.4
	2 Safe	356	31.2	47.8	69.2
	3 Unsafe	180	15.8	24.2	93.4
	4 Very unsafe	49	4.3	6.6	100.0
	Total	745	65.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	45	3.9		
	9 Refused	3	.2		
	System	348	30.5		
	Total	395	34.7		
Total	1140	100.0			

schlsafe Schools are safe for the students

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very safe	245	21.5	26.5	26.5
	2 Safe	554	48.6	60.0	86.5
	3 Unsafe	102	9.0	11.1	97.5
	4 Very unsafe	23	2.0	2.5	100.0
	Total	925	81.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	107	9.4		
	9 Refused	0	.0		
	System	108	9.5		
	Total	215	18.9		
Total	1140	100.0			

homesafe Feel safe in home

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very safe	685	60.1	66.6	66.6
	2 Safe	331	29.0	32.1	98.7
	3 Unsafe	13	1.1	1.2	99.9
	4 Very unsafe	1	.0	.1	100.0
	Total	1029	90.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	2	.2		
	9 Refused	0	.0		
	System	109	9.5		
	Total	111	9.7		
Total		1140	100.0		

health General health status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Excellent	170	14.9	16.5	16.5
	2 Very good	378	33.2	36.7	53.2
	3 Good	292	25.6	28.3	81.5
	4 Fair	141	12.4	13.7	95.2
	5 Poor	50	4.3	4.8	100.0
Total		1031	90.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	0	.0		
	System	109	9.5		
	Total	109	9.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

hlthcomp Change in health state

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Much better than 1 year ago	84	7.4	8.2	8.2
	2 Somewhat better than 1 year ago	123	10.8	12.0	20.1
	3 About the same as 1 year ago	660	57.9	64.1	84.2
	4 Somewhat worse now than 1 year ago	143	12.6	13.9	98.2
	5 Much worse now than 1 year ago	19	1.7	1.8	100.0
	Total	1030	90.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	2	.2		
	System	109	9.5		
	Total	110	9.7		
Total	1140	100.0			

activity Participation in physical activity

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Daily	381	33.4	38.3	38.3
	2 Two or three times a week	302	26.5	30.3	68.6
	3 Once a week	59	5.1	5.9	74.5
	4 Only occasionally	206	18.1	20.7	95.2
	5 Not able to participate in physical activity	47	4.1	4.8	100.0
	Total	995	87.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	2	.2		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	142	12.4		
	Total	145	12.7		
Total	1140	100.0			

nutritn Difficulty for eating healthy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very difficult	76	6.6	7.6	7.6
	2 Somewhat difficult	347	30.5	35.0	42.6
	3 Not difficult at all	570	50.0	57.4	100.0
	Total	993	87.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	5	.4		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	142	12.4		
	Total	147	12.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

obesity1 obesity problem in community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Serious problem	391	34.3	40.6	40.6
	2 Only a small problem	418	36.6	43.4	84.0
	3 Not a problem	155	13.6	16.0	100.0
	Total	963	84.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	30	2.6		
	9 REFUSED	5	.4		
	System	142	12.4		
	Total	177	15.5		
Total		1140	100.0		

obesity2 obesity problem in household

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Serious problem	71	6.2	7.1	7.1
	2 Only a small problem	314	27.6	31.7	38.8
	3 Not a problem	608	53.3	61.2	100.0
	Total	993	87.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	2	.2		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	142	12.5		
	Total	147	12.9		
Total		1140	100.0		

obeseres what resources to handle obesity problem

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	51	4.5	76.6	76.6
	2 No	16	1.4	23.4	100.0
	Total	66	5.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	5	.4		
	System	1069	93.8		
	Total	1074	94.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

familiar familiar with Danville Regional Foundation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very familiar	136	11.9	13.2	13.2
	2 Somewhat familiar	367	32.2	35.7	48.8
	3 Not familiar	526	46.2	51.2	100.0
	Total	1029	90.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	2	.2		
	System	109	9.6		
	Total	111	9.8		
Total		1140	100.0		

events1 attended event by Danville Regional Foundation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	155	13.6	31.1	31.1
	2 No	342	30.0	68.9	100.0
	Total	497	43.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	6	.5		
	System	638	55.9		
	Total	643	56.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

events2 programs that received funding from Danville Regional Foundation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	237	20.8	48.6	48.6
	2 No	251	22.0	51.4	100.0
	Total	488	42.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	14	1.2		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	638	55.9		
Total		652	57.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

events3 importance of Danville Regional Foundation in community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very important	178	15.6	41.1	41.1
	2 Somewhat important	194	17.0	44.8	85.9
	3 Not important	61	5.3	14.1	100.0
	Total	433	38.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	67	5.9		
	9 REFUSED	3	.2		
	System	638	55.9		
Total		707	62.0		
Total		1140	100.0		

older18 Number 18 or older

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	339	29.7	33.2	33.2
	2	472	41.4	46.3	79.5
	3	148	13.0	14.5	94.0
	4	48	4.2	4.7	98.7
	5	13	1.1	1.3	99.9
	6	1	.0	.1	100.0
	Total		1021	89.6	100.0
Missing	99 refusal	10	.9		
	System	109	9.6		
	Total	119	10.4		
Total		1140	100.0		

cellshar Others share cellphone

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	38	3.4	14.2	14.2
	2 No	232	20.3	85.8	100.0
	Total	270	23.7	100.0	
Missing	System	870	76.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

cellcomp Type of calls made

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Almost all on landline	95	8.3	13.7	13.7
	2 Most on landline	178	15.6	25.7	39.4
	3 Equal landline and cell	201	17.6	29.0	68.4
	4 Most on cell	144	12.6	20.8	89.2
	5 Almost all on cell	75	6.6	10.8	100.0
	Total		693	60.7	100.0
Missing	8 DK/Unable to rate	6	.5		
	System	441	38.7		
	Total	447	39.3		
Total		1140	100.0		

phone1a Landline listed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	123	10.8	83.4	83.4
	2 No	25	2.2	16.6	100.0
	Total	148	12.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	6	.5		
	System	987	86.5		
	Total	992	87.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

phone1b Number reached is listed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	473	41.5	75.1	75.1
	2 No	156	13.7	24.9	100.0
	Total	629	55.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	20	1.8		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	489	42.9		
Total		511	44.8		
Total		1140	100.0		

phone2 Reason number unlisted

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Unlisted/Unpublished	133	11.6	75.4	75.4
	2 Got number after phone book came out	23	2.0	13.2	88.5
	3 Other	20	1.8	11.5	100.0
	Total	176	15.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	5	.4		
	System	959	84.1		
	Total	964	84.6		
Total		1140	100.0		

agecat7 Age (7 Categories)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 18-24	66	5.8	6.8	6.8
	2 25-34	103	9.0	10.7	17.5
	3 35-44	153	13.4	15.8	33.3
	4 45-54	194	17.0	20.1	53.4
	5 55-64	228	20.0	23.6	77.0
	6 65-74	136	12.0	14.1	91.1
	7 75 & over	86	7.6	8.9	100.0
	Total	967	84.8	100.0	
Missing	System	173	15.2		
Total		1140	100.0		

gender Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 Male	482	42.2	46.9	46.9
	4 Female	546	47.9	53.1	100.0
	Total	1028	90.2	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused	3	.3		
	System	109	9.6		
	Total	112	9.8		
Total		1140	100.0		

marital Marital status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Married	482	42.3	47.6	47.6
	2 Separated	62	5.4	6.1	53.7
	3 Divorced	162	14.2	16.0	69.7
	4 Widowed	112	9.8	11.0	80.7
	5 Never married	196	17.2	19.3	100.0
	Total	1013	88.9	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused	14	1.2		
	System	113	9.9		
	Total	127	11.1		
Total		1140	100.0		

educ Highest level of education completed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than 9th grade	30	2.6	2.9	2.9
	2 9th-12th, but did not finish high school	90	7.9	8.9	11.8
	3 High school graduate or G.E.D	304	26.6	29.7	41.5
	4 Some college but no degree	177	15.5	17.3	58.9
	5 2 year college degree/A.A./A.S.	167	14.7	16.4	75.2
	6 4 year college degree/B.A./B.S.	148	13.0	14.5	89.7
	7 Some graduate work	18	1.6	1.8	91.5
	8 Completed masters or professional degree	80	7.0	7.8	99.3
	9 Advanced graduate work or Ph.D	7	.6	.7	100.0
	Total	1021	89.5	100.0	
Missing	11 Refused	7	.6		
	System	113	9.9		
	Total	119	10.5		
Total		1140	100.0		

income Income ranges

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than \$10 thousand	110	9.7	13.5	13.5
	2 \$10 to less than \$30 thousand	238	20.9	29.3	42.8
	3 \$30 to less than \$50 thousand	163	14.3	20.1	62.9
	4 \$50 to less than \$70 thousand	120	10.6	14.8	77.7
	5 \$70 to less than \$100 thousand	105	9.2	12.9	90.6
	6 \$100 to less than \$150 thousand	49	4.3	6.0	96.6
	7 \$150 thousand or more	27	2.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	814	71.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	45	3.9		
	9 Refused/No Answer System	169	14.8		
	Total	113	9.9		
	Total	326	28.6		
Total	1140	100.0			

hispanic Hispanic origin

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	17	1.5	1.7	1.7
	2 No	995	87.3	98.3	100.0
	Total	1012	88.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DK	3	.3		
	9 Refused System	11	1.0		
	Total	114	10.0		
	Total	128	11.2		
Total	1140	100.0			

		race Race			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 African American/Black	228	20.0	22.7	22.7
	2 Asian or Pacific Islander	2	.2	.2	22.9
	3 White	722	63.3	72.0	94.9
	4 American Indian/Native American/Alaskan Native	13	1.2	1.3	96.2
	5 Multi-racial	17	1.5	1.7	98.0
	6 Other	12	1.1	1.2	99.2
	7 hispanic only	8	.7	.8	100.0
	Total	1003	88.0	100.0	
Missing	9 Refused/No answer System	24	2.1		
	Total	137	12.0		
	Total	1140	100.0		

**Appendix E:
Comparison Across Years**

Table E1						
Overall Quality of Life in Danville Region	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
quality Current quality of living *Based on 10 point scale	7.30	1,018	7.31	1,124	7.30	2,142
ysago Past quality of living *Based on 10 point scale	7.55 ⁽²⁾	941	7.29	1,036	7.41	1,977
futureb Future quality of living *Based on 10 point scale	6.69	944	6.96	1,006	6.83	1,950
Overall Ratings of Danville Region Compared to Other Cities						
compare Quality of living in Danville community compared to other regions *Based on 5 point scale	3.30	723	3.19	898	3.24	1,621

Table E2						
Political Participation	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
interest Interested in politics and national affairs *Based on 4 point scale	2.96	1,021	2.86	1,116	2.91	2,137
pubmeet Attend public meeting *Based on 3 point scale	2.28	1,022	2.28	1,111	2.28	2,133
polmeet Attend political meeting or rally *Based on 3 point scale	2.56	1,026	2.67 ⁽¹⁾	1,114	2.62	2,140
cityvote Frequency of voting *Based on 7 point scale	5.41	1,022	5.41	1,110	5.41	2,132
trust Trust in the local government *Based on 4 point scale	2.40	1,006	2.37	1,083	2.38	2,089

Civic Participation	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
religion Attend religious services *Based on 6 point scale	4.57	1,018	4.46	1,099	4.51	2,117

People in the Economy	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
meaning1 Makes good use of my skills and abilities *Based on 5 point scale	4.43	530	4.44	386	4.44	916
meaning2 Find my work interesting *Based on 5 point scale	4.58	530	4.51	387	4.55	917
meaning3 Feel appreciated, respected, and valued *Based on 5 point scale	4.13	524	4.13	387	4.13	911
meaning4 See connection between work and benefits *Based on 5 point scale	4.52	525	4.54	381	4.53	906
meaning5 Feeling of personal accomplishment *Based on 5 point scale	4.46	530	4.42	382	4.44	912
meaning6 Opportunities to learn new skills *Based on 5 point scale	3.84	528	3.97	386	3.89	914
meaning7 Opportunity for advancement in my job *Based on 5 point scale	3.21	528	3.36	376	3.27	904
meaning8 Compensated fairly *Based on 5 point scale	3.66	530	3.66	386	3.66	916
meaning9 My pay is about the same or better *Based on 5 point scale	3.55	516	3.54	379	3.54	895
training Likely to take a special course if you had the opportunity *Based on 4 point scale	2.80	728	2.72	725	2.76	1,453

Perceptions of Children	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
children Rate region as a place to raise children *Based on 5 point scale	3.19	991	3.06	812	3.13	1,803
schools Rate education provided by the public schools *Based on 5 point scale	3.16 ⁽²⁾	958	2.98	769	3.08	1,726
pssat Change in public schools *Based on 3 point scale	2.10	523	2.11	521	2.10	1,044
psjob Change in schools providing job skills *Based on 3 point scale	2.26	499	2.22	506	2.24	1,005
pscol Change in schools providing skills for college *Based on 3 point scale	2.31	496	2.26	492	2.29	988
prepared Rate job community is doing helping children prepare for preschool *Based on 5 point scale	-	-	3.13	856	3.13	856

Table E6						
Community Attachment, Efficacy and Perception of Community	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
impact Impact in making a better place to live *Based on 5 point scale	3.37	1,001	3.29	1,027	3.33	2,028
feelpart Important feel a part of the community *Based on 3 point scale	2.38 ⁽²⁾	1,012	2.29	1,045	2.33	2,056
belong Feel a sense of belonging in the community *Based on 5 point scale	3.61	1,002	3.65	1,033	3.63	2,035
athome Feel at home in the area where I live *Based on 5 point scale	4.60	1,012	4.57	1,035	4.58	2,047
common Feel I have a lot in common with people *Based on 5 point scale	4.14	1,007	4.07	1,029	4.10	2,036
actions Care what others think of my actions *Based on 5 point scale	4.22	1,006	4.16	1,030	4.19	2,036
wellkept Neighborhood is being well kept up *Based on 5 point scale	4.30	1,012	4.31	1,033	4.31	2,045
improve Important to live in this particular area *Based on 5 point scale	4.04	1,006	3.96	1,022	4.00	2,028
daysafe Feel safe in neighborhood during the day *Based on 4 point scale	3.59	1,011	3.66 ⁽¹⁾	1,031	3.63	2,041
nitesafe Feel safe in neighborhood at night *Based on 4 point scale	3.33	1,009	3.40	1,030	3.37	2,039
shopday Feel safe in shopping areas during the day *Based on 4 point scale	3.20	714	3.38 ⁽¹⁾	773	3.30	1,486
shopnite Feel safe in shopping areas at night *Based on 4 point scale	2.63	678	2.84 ⁽¹⁾	745	2.74	1,423
schlsafe Schools are safe for the students *Based on 4 point scale	3.12	908	3.10	925	3.11	1,833
homesafe Feel safe in home *Based on 4 point scale	3.65	1,009	3.65	1,029	3.65	2,038

Table E7						
Overall Health	Year					
	2009		2011		Total	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
health General health status *Based on 5 point scale	3.45	1,009	3.46	1,031	3.46	2,040
hlthcomp Change in health state *Based on 5 point scale	3.09	1,010	3.11	1,030	3.10	2,039
activity Participation in physical activity *Based on 5 point scale	-	-	3.77	995	3.77	995
nutritn Difficulty for eating healthy *Based on 3 point scale	-	-	1.50	993	1.50	993
obesity1 obesity problem in community *Based on 3 point scale	-	-	2.25	963	2.25	963
obesity2 obesity problem in household *Based on 3 point scale	-	-	1.46	993	1.46	993

**Appendix E:
Openend Responses**

OPENEND RESPONSES

Q_ACTIVITY: Physical activity can improve healthy living. How often are you able to participate in physical activity such as walking and exercising?
5-6 times week
a little more than 2 or 3 times a week
at least five days a week
bad back, can't walk
Been laid up since surgery for cancer; but was active before that.
bicycling; gardening
don't need to
Due to care giving and the need to stay for husband.
five days a week
five time a week
For the past year since I've had knee replacement. I've had to walk everyday, It was required.
four days a week
in a walker at present time
job keeps me busy
not enough
seasonal - more exercise in summer
spinal injury
winter - not active; now - bike trails and river walks 3 or 4 times a week
Q_ADVOCATE: In the last two years, have you worked with others to try and solve a problem at the state or national level?
because I've been out sick
conferred with Congressional representative
I attended a few meetings but didn't participate much; voice wasn't heard.
I don't trust the politicians anymore. Robert Hurt talked a different deal until he got up to them, so how can you pass an opinion on them?
talked to Representatives of State
We are members of a group called Acre and we send to DC to try to solve issues about electric rates, electric co-ops and their regulations
Yes, I vote.
Q_AFFECTED: Have changes in the economy over the past two years affected your household, either positively or negatively?
a little bit of both
Actually a little bit of both. Negative effects that caused us to adapt favorably.
both
both

I don't hold much hope for this country because the politicians are the most greedy, self-serving people I have ever seen. Why can't the rich give up and pay the debt? They are saddling down old and poor people.
Kind of both. In a positive way as how you can make things better. Hard to have high standards of living though.
Neither because I'm not working and on social security.
That's pretty much in between. It's downs and it's ups are pretty much equal.
The price of gas has been negative, other than that, okay.
When Danville Mills shut down, the electric bills have been humongous. That's the situation, It's the worst place I know of for this problem. Now the city seems to be charging us high rates since the mill closed. If you have a small income, you can't pay the utilities.
Q_AREA: How would you describe the area in which you live?
on the outskirts of town
We're not sure how to answer that. Lots of neighbors but very quiet here.
Q_ATHOME: I feel at home in the area where I live.
Somebody needs to step up, Wish I had the internet to get something started to help, It is overwhelming for just being alone and one person in the household.
Q_BELONG: How often do you feel a sense of belonging or membership in the community?
Communities are not communities anymore.
housebound
I don't even think about.
I don't feel as a big part of the community as I did before the accident and while my son was in school, I'd like to start an organization for widows especially for those where kids have moved away.
I feel like we've done a lot for the community.
I'm German so I don't feel close to people like I should. I've never lived in the city.
It's an older neighborhood.
I've been here a lot longer, they're a lot younger than I am.
Referring to the church committee feel a large sense of belonging.
We're in a real rural community. We don't have big meetings and stuff like that. We're not a city. We're on a whole different level. We are like a neighborhood. Houses are very far apart. Not city living, we're country.
When you get older there is not much you can do. Younger people take over, fade into background and be present when called upon.
Q_BETTROFF: Looking ahead to the next five years, do you think your household will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same?
Better off, but only because of my own work; not because of Danville, though.

Hope it will be better off.
Hoping it will be better.
I hope better off but will probably be the same.
If the economy remains getting worse as it must under the current administration, then definitely worse off.
I've been out of work since I had my littlest child so I hope it will be better because I'm hoping to find a job.
With social security like it is, it's not going to get any better.
With the economy I can't say. All I can say is I hope things would get better.
Worse because they're cutting Medicaid and I have health problems and the government said to me "hey, you can die because we're not going to pay."
Q_CAMPAIGN: In the last two years, have you participated in a political campaign
I put some signs out that I got from a candidate's office.
participated in the Obama campaign
Q_CHALL: What do you think are the biggest challenges that children and youth face in the Danville region
A lack of role models who see education as important People that aren't satisfied with seasonal employment and just getting by. A lack of education.
A lack of support in how to get job, particularly a lack of fathers.
A lack of unionized companies to provide jobs. Also, current companies pay unions and greatly influence them to keep them out which is bad for job availability.
a lot of crime, killings, robbery, domestic violence
A quality education is not available.
abuse and sex
access to resources
advanced education
After education is completed there are no jobs; even after community college.
alcohol
alcohol
alcohol
alcohol abuse
alcohol and peer pressure
availability of health care
bad influences, trouble
Broken family relations. A lot of single parents and kids with a lack of leadership at home. Brake-down of the family network.
bullying
Bullying and the way kids act these days.
challenging, well-paying jobs
close-minded society
crime
Crime and kids on the street. Too much things are not right and it's not like it used to be.
crime and poor quality of education

crime and violence
Crime issues - shootings, murders, drug crimes, robbery. Schools are pressured or pushed to continue to allow children who detract from the positive environment to take away from the children who want to be there,
Crime, but mostly drugs. I'm amazed at how many drugs there are in this town.
crime, single motherhood, unwed mothers and racism
cultural deprivation
Danville has a lot of more to offer than people realize, entertainment and a safe, quiet town.
Danville itself is a poor drawing board.
Don't have the money to go to school or continue their education, but they're very eager.
economic
Economic, parents losing jobs. No jobs for kids. Child abuse and neglect.
education
education
education
education
education
Education is getting bad. Teachers cannot correct the children - the kids laugh in their face. They say you don't want to go in the main high school. I sent my kids to private school. I wish I could send my grandchild to private school.
education not up to speed
education.
Education. The money is not there like it is in NoVa.
Entitlement - an unwillingness to earn their own work. This area doesn't reward folks to strike out on their own or be independent.
Finding stuff to do that's not trouble-wise.
funds for education
getting a good education and finding good jobs.
getting higher education
good education
good education
good job or education
good jobs.
guidance
Hanging out with friends, the regular teenage thing.
Have to go out of the area for a college or four year education.
having outside school activities to participate in
having social life, not much to do
having the skills for jobs
Health concerns. If they decide to mine uranium I wouldn't want my kids living in this area.
high crime rate and inner city deterioration
Higher paying jobs are hard to come by.
Home life. Sometimes children come to school with baggage.
I think mothers should have a male figure for their kids but in most cases the male is not fit. Women are stuck with raising children and the children have no male figure.
If you're going into computers and things like that, job-wise, we don't have the capabilities you might have in Raleigh and other tri-city areas. We're more of a retirement type community.
inadequate quality of education
influence

Insufficient pay relative to the increased costs of living.
Job advancement. My son is graduating from college next week. I don't want him to come back because there are no jobs. Not for people that need to work. It's a good place for retirement. The pay scale [expletive deleted].
Jobs and skills - there's just nothing here. Police in the schools.
just a dead town
Keeping a focus and getting a good education.
lack of a serious attitude about school work
Lack of assistance to parents to afford the costs of education and providing supplementary needs thereto like clothes.
Lack of being taught morals; having taken religion out of the schools.
lack of better education and training; lack of cultural activities
Lack of challenging education that's not limited to preparation for SOLs.
Lack of cultural events that cross barriers. Would like to see more inclusiveness.
lack of cultural opportunities
lack of day care, lack of cultural amenities
lack of discipline in the school system
lack of economic opportunities and STDs among high schoolers also
lack of education in school, lack of morality taught in school and government paying people to "stay home" - illegal's, etc.
lack of education needed
lack of family setting
lack of family time, crime and peer pressure
lack of funding and scholarship money for college
lack of funding for school and peer pressure
Lack of good education and motivation to be educated and a need for better teachers.
Lack of good education. Nothing to do, no activities, no youth-funded programs, nothing for children to be active in the community.
lack of good public education
lack of good teachers in school
lack of higher education opportunities
lack of housing, lack of entertainment
lack of initiative in advancing their better interests
lack of mentorship programs
lack of opportunities
lack of opportunities for social advancement
lack of opportunities
lack of opportunity
lack of other community activities
lack of quality of education
lack of role models
lack of role models
Lack of safe environment for them to roam and play on their own.
lack of school, lack of economic activity, lack of social programs
lack of things to do, just run the streets
learning to be independent all around, including financial and other ways.
limited opportunity
Low opportunity; bad for the young, good for the old because of a low tax rate.
low pay. poor schools.

low salaries
manners and societies
Mill closed up and left a big hole. No jobs in the area and hard to find a good paying job.
more challenging programs
more cultural diversity
more mentoring groups
Most jobs are low paying, not enough to raise family and many are part time.
Murders, robberies, but not as much lately.
need constructive things to do
need exposure to newer technology training
need for more education in modern technology and computer skills
Need more music and art and a more worldly view.
No activities for teenagers to do.
No Child Left Behind
No commitment to learning something that can work in the area. There is only one school with vocational training available.
no cultural, no zoo or anything, not even a nice park
no exposure to different lifestyles
no extracurricular activities, a depressed area
No jobs because of criminal records. Legislators need to think about implementing a better system.
no opportunities
No opportunities and nothing for them to do.
not enough colleges to choose from nearby
not enough cultural activities or arts.
not enough family time
not really close to any children
Nothing to bring in revenue and keep the kids here.
Nothing to keep children here. No industry and not a lot of opportunity in this area. School system could be better.
Other trouble kids get in to.
Overcoming the urge to be as financially set as they are now; not working up the ladder.
Parent irresponsibility and leaving their children to depend on their own selves - bad parenting.
Parents don't have or won't take time to raise children right.
parents' loss of income
Parents' neglecting and relinquishing responsibility for their children once they reach teen age.
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure
peer pressure and getting an education
peer pressure.
People not working together as a community.
poor area
poor economic conditions, uneducated parents.
Poor economy, apathetic community and the crime rate is high.
poor education

poor education in high school
Poor education system. The schools teaching to get kids to pass the SOL test. They're not teaching the kids the things they need.
poor educational system
poor health, poor quality of education
poor parenting skills
poor quality education
poor schools
poverty
poverty
poverty
poverty
poverty
poverty
prejudice
quality of education in public schools
quality of public education, not just in Danville
quality time with family
racism
Responsibility and high standards. Parents and education are lacking.
robbery
role models
Schools don't prepare them for the trades in this region.
Servicing children before they are five with food, clothing, and healthcare.
Sexual education at school should be offered.
Since I've been here, I've been exposed to lot I've never been before. Danville does need help in getting cleaned out.
So slow economically in the area now. Adults are not certain they can provide for their families.
social
split families, economic situations with families
staying off the streets
technology
That many live below the poverty level and the lack of resources for public schools exacerbated by large proportion's attending private schools.
That schools' curriculum is focused around SOL's.
The crime rate is up.
the economy
the economy.
The intrusiveness of the federal government.
The lack of outside activities. Jobs are pretty scarce.
The low quality of education that's not challenging enough.
The school systems don't really take the time to educate. Classrooms are overcrowded, Some students don't get the attention they need to have things explained.
the streets
Their parents not being able to provide for them, financially. It's hard to take care of children because people have fallen behind. The school system does not stay on top of the bullying issue.
Their peers. Forcing them to get an education and understanding they need to have it. Schools need to set their rules better and enforce them.
There is a lack of motivation to do well in school.

There's a lot of that, the shootings, gangs and drugs, going on in Danville.
There's no recreational activities for the youth to keep them out of the streets, so they don't have anywhere else to go. There isn't nearly enough that is reaching them.
There's nothing to keep them here.
They are in jail instead of walking the streets. Every time you pick up the paper someone has gotten killed or is in jail for dope. People used to beat their children and they behaved. Now they don't beat their children and they end up in jail. Kids tell their parents they will call 911.
They need to be in church.
They won't let them have God in schools.
Too many young, one-parent or fatherless families.
trying to get a good education
trying to move
under-funding of programs
uneducated mothers
Unemployment at 10 or 11%; families have trouble feeding their children.
Upper-end, management jobs are limited.
violence
violence
violence
violence
violence
violence
With a college education, there is no room for advancement.
Would not live in Danville if you gave me a house. Too much crime.
Youth in this area do not like to complete their education.
Q_CHILDRN: How would you rate the Danville region as a place to raise children?
Danville city is [expletive deleted] but where I live is fine.
depends where - at least fair
Here in the country is great. I don't think I could live in Danville.
not as well inside city
Ones that go on to college don't end up coming back here to live.
Pittsylvania and Campbell Counties are good
The crime rate has gone up compared to what it used to be.
Q_CHURCH: In the last 12 months, have you been involved in any activities related to religious, spiritual, or church-sponsored groups [such as a homeless shelter, food bank, church committee, choir, or Bible study group]?
Bible study
Bible study and financial donations to charities
Bible study, choir, elderly program - if sick will go to home and mow grass stuff like that.
choir and Bible study
consider myself to be Christian conservative

Guys that are early 20's and not in Marines act immature. Still live at parents. My husband went in the Marines and wasn't playing GI Joe. Saw bullet and action that my son has not seen yet. Worrying about it doesn't change one thing, doesn't pay bills or get anything done. Have to have hope and faith in the Word of God, do what you can. Have mind on others and God will send you an angel in form of a person, If I had the internet I would start a campaign to pass the law that if you cant drink at 18 you shouldn't be able to go to the war at 18. Not mature. They are being exposed to what's on TV, video games. No matter how parents raised them even if want they to serve their country they never talk about what's going on over 'there'. People dying in the army overseas. My son over there seven months. Life on the line doesn't make much sense.
I contributed clothing to a church group for the needy, if that counts as participation.
I take up a collection for food bank at church, take canned goods for the needy once a month and always try to donate something.
I work at the food bank once a month.
Sunday School class, children's home, Red Cross food bank collection, Hope Home - all through the church
Yes. I just got back from Honduras doing medical missions and a food bank, also.
Q_CITYVOTE: How often do you vote in elections?
I lived in Henry County until a year ago so I haven't been eligible to vote in Pittsylvania elections yet.
I work in Danville, but live in county. Cannot vote in city elections.
It depends on if I can get myself there or not, last time couldn't get there. Physical disability spinal cord injury. Just working in garden my legs wobbly and get inflammation.
just 18 years old
only eligible less than one year
only registered to vote 3-4 months ago
vote in the county
Q_CLOSEREL: Do you have any close relatives who live within walking distance of your home
Granddaughter lives upstairs.
One relative within walking distance but hard to physically walk there myself.
Q_COMMON: I feel I have a lot in common with the people who live in this community.
All of them are younger than I am, it makes a difference.
fit in with local community, but not with community at large
One-sided. I am the one that goes and talks to people. I don't get to talk to people much.
Q_COMMUTE: On a typical day, about how long does it take you to get to work?
A farmer. Own my own property.
About 15 minutes to Danville office; three hours if elsewhere.

telecommute; but drive twice a month.
Have two homes. From the home in Charlotte, 20 minutes.
I have a home office.
live on my own farm
Location of work varies. I'm in masonry.
work all over the state, all kinds of locations in NC.
Q_COMPARE: How would you rate [NAME OF CITY] as a place to live compared to other counties or cities where you have lived?
Family farm, isolated from everything around us. Maybe I underrated it, it's not progressive but not a bad place to live.
for me, compared to overseas, etc.
Husband did construction work and had to go out of town at times. Need a different outlet of utilities. It is eating paychecks alive for utilities. Froze all winter. Bills are still high. Had to wear layers of blankets. In summer have to have fans but bills are low.
It's home.
other than the job market it [expletive deleted]
Q_CONNECT: Do you have any connections or resources outside the community that you can draw on for help in solving community problems or issues?
I know that.
Outside of elected officials, no. I think that they're part of the community.
Tried once but didn't get nowhere with a petition about the highways.
Q_DAYS SAFE: How safe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day?
But I stay locked up in my home. There's so much crime, but I've never had any problems.
God is keeping me safe here.
I had my identification and purse stolen.
So much crime everywhere it keeps you concerned, but don't lock doors during the day.
The city area is not safe.
Everyone is about 1,000 miles away, Want to move back to LA where husband passed away.
Q_EDUC: What is the highest level of education you completed?
a MD
also four years of certified trade school
completed a 3-year diploma program in nursing for 36 months
GED then certificate in business administration
have a BS and two MAs; hope to finish doctoral work soon
have nursing certification
registered nurse; 3-year school, private hospital
sixth grade

sophomore in college currently
State licensed optician, certified
two 2-year degrees; one in food service and one paralegal
will graduate this June 11 from high school
Q_ELECTION: Did you vote in the November 2010 election?
couldn't because husband in hospital
It's the only election that I've missed because it was snowy and icy that day.
sick
Q_EMPLOY: Next, I would like to ask a few questions about work. Are you currently
a writer
a writer
also partially disabled and wheelchair bound
also temporarily disabled
doing job training right now
Employed full time, but lately hours have been so short it's barely part time.
I operate two businesses, one part time and the other full time. In addition I am also retired.
just trying to find a job
military
not on unemployment currently
one year
part time work at unpaid job
part-time and retired
Retired high school teacher with rental business - rents houses, It's pretty much a full time job.
retired then back to work but now unemployed.
self employed
self employed
student because unemployed
unemployed and a student
work several different jobs
work with my husband on the farm.
Q_EVENTS 1: Have you ever attended a public event, lecture or speaker series offered by the Danville Regional Foundation?
That doesn't mean I don't want to.
They are the ones that sold our hospital, People in Danville are very upset about it, People at Danville River Mills raised money to buy it, but they took it right from under our noses, Hospital is now for profit, before not for profit, Many people now go to Lynchburg and other surrounding cities.
When I was young and worked we pledged our money to the community hospital. They took it out of our paychecks. Then they handled the transaction of the sale before the public knew it.

Q_EVENTS 2: Do you know of any programs or organizations in the area that have received funding from the Danville Regional Foundation?
I have read it in the newspaper and have seen it on TV.
I know that we do make contributions but can't remember where.
I know there are, but not which ones.
I think the historical society.
Not off-hand but I think they have given scholarships.
Selling the hospital was a foolish thing to do, because the hospital is poor.
senior group
Q_EVENTS 2B: Do you know of any programs or organizations in the area that have received funding from the Danville Regional Foundation? Which ones?
A \$100,000 creativity grant to local schools (that applied). They also have given money to the WIA, I think and have co-sponsored events with the Chamber of Commerce.
A member of Danville Symphony Orchestra, Museum of Fine Arts
A school for children with mental disorders that used to be Hughes Memorial.
ABC's of Kindergarten, pre-school grants
Access to Health
Advance Learning and Research building in Danville
an internship for a college student
Averet University, Danville Regional Medical Center, Caswell Senior Citizens' Center, the Institute for Advanced Learning and Research and more; but that's enough.
Averet University's Nursing School facility, Community Read
Averett University which is partnering with the Danville Regional Foundation in establishing a new location.
Averett University, Danville Community College
awards made to local charitable organizations
Boys and Girls Club
Boys and Girls Club
Boys and Girls Club
Boys and Girls Club
Boys and Girls' Club, Danville Community College, I think.
Boys and Girls Club, Danville Science Center, PATHS, free health care clinic
Boys and Girls Club, schools, There was a list in the newspaper, there were a lot.
Boys and Girls Club, the YMCA
Boys and Girls Club, YMCA, Make it Happen. IT grants, fix up buildings downtown, Institute for Advanced Learning
Boys and Girls Club. I think..
Boys and Girls Clubs, free clinic, Danville association, Theatre, DCC, PCC
Boys and Girls Clubs, YMCA
building at Danville Community College, Civic Center in Chatham. local WBMBA
cannot remember
can't name
Can't name specifics right now, but I've been aware of several.
can't name them

Can't recall exactly but have read about them.
can't recall right off-hand
can't remember
can't remember
can't remember specifically
cant remember specifics
can't remember the names
Can't remember what they are.
Can't tell you, but I know.
can't think of any names
Can't think of any specific ones but know they exist.
Capital campaign for a new Y
Caswell Battered Women's Shelter
Caswell County Senior Center
Caswell Family Medical Center
Caswell Family Medical Center, where I'm on the board, and also the Community foundation of the Danville River Region has received a grant.
Caswell Historical Association.
Caswell Parish, and some others that I can't think of off the top of my head.
Caswell Parish; also they give scholarships like from George Washington
Center for Advanced Learning
Chamber of Commerce, Boys and Girls Club, grants, Danville and Pittsylvania Foundation for school grants
Chatham and Yanceyville, NC. I got funding for a senior citizen center.
Chatham Community Center
Chatham Hall, others
church based tutorial program;
Civic Center, Community Center
colleges - local
Community Action, Advanced Learning Institute, YMCA, school system. They've donated a lot of money.
Community Center
Community Center at Chatham. Also believe they contribute to health centers of Piedmont -a health sliding scale health care, contribute to Caswell County and have programs for nursing, all the health care DCC.
Community Center in Chatham
Community College
Community colleges, I think.
Danville Museum of Fine Arts and History, river project
Danville and Pittsylvania community services
Danville Cancer Association and others that I've read about, but nothing's coming to mind.
Danville Cancer Society. I have been through cancer treatment.
Danville church based tutorial program
Danville Community College
Danville Community College, Boys and Girls Clinic of Pittsylvania, Seniors' Center in Yanceyville, Free Clinic, PATHS, economic Development offices in Danville and Pittsylvania, Community Foundation of the Dan River Region, YMCA, Chamber of Commerce, Danville Rugby Association.

Danville Community College, community gardens, Chatham Community building, various grants. The list is large.
Danville Disc Golf Association, YMCA, Caswell County Senior Center
Danville Free Clinic
Danville Museum, Girls and Boys Clubs, YMCA which I really don't agree with, the River Study, there are more I cannot recall.
Danville Parks and recreation.
Danville Public Schools
Danville Science Center
Danville Theater and more but can't remember
Danville YMCA
Daughter got scholarship through some organization I can't remember and got money through one of groups through the Danville Regional Foundation.
DCC
DCC, Chatham Cares
decline
declined
do not remember
don't know
don't know
don't know now
don't know off the top of my head
don't know right now
don't know specific but know they do a lot
don't know specific ones.
don't know the names
don't know the names, read about it in the paper
don't know which ones
Don't recall specific ones, but read about them in the paper.
educational system
educational systems
fire department
fire department and rescue squads - all of them
fire department, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, Hatcher Center
food bank and agricultural center
forgot, but I think senior center
Franciscos from Make A Difference, Caswell Senior Citizens Center, various scholarships
free clinic
free clinic and many others
free clinic for the children
free clinic, can't remember others
Free Clinic, Doves, Caswell County Health Department
free clinic, Paths, YMCA,
From reading, but I can't recall any particular ones.
Funded grants for Gretna. Done nice things for infrastructure, townships, the county, scholarships.
Get Fit Program
God's Pit Crew
God's Pit Crew - a disaster relief organization
God's Storehouse

Graduate of Merit scholarships and program
Hatcher Center, Goodwill
Have a development now, an adjustment center that they are developing that DRF gave \$50,00 toward roads to establish it.
have read good things about it but cannot remember specifics
Having worked for the hospital I know several but will not name them.
Historical Society, I think.
hospitals, police, schools, education programs
Humane Society
I cannot name them right off, but know there are quite a few.
I can't name them, but yes, I know of them.
I just read about it but now I forgot, but I did read about it.
I know that they've given grants in this area, but I don't know to which organizations by name.
I know them all intimately.
I know there are many. I read about them all the time. My mind has gone blank, but they have contributed a lot of money.
I know when I read but I can't remember. I think big brothers and sisters receive some money. I think the PATHS people without insurance. I know they give to a lot of the charities like community college.
I read about 2-3 million to something but not sure what.
I read about some in the newspaper
I read about them in the paper but I can't name them. Institute for Advanced Learning and Research, the Historical Society.
I saw them in the paper but I can't remember them right now.
I think Humane society and I think local fire department rescue.
I think so but am not sure.
I'm not sure so I won't say any.
I'm on the board of Danville church-based tutorial program.
I'm sure I do. I read it in the paper but I don't know who they are off the top of my head.
in the paper recently, but can't remember what it was
In the paper. Almost every week they mention some organization but I can't think of any right now.
Institute for Advanced Learning
Institute for Advanced Learning and Research: 2 grants, one encouraging minorities in science, another for biological research; scholarships; internship money for office interns; other education programs
Institute of Advanced Learning and Research
I've seen a list of their programs when we get invited to dinners and such.
I've seen it in the paper but I don't know off the top of my head
Livestock association, I think they donated money to bring in some industry.
local fire department and rescue squad
local volunteer fire department
Make it Happen fund
medical center
my child
My daughter has received a scholarship from the Foundation for the last three years. I don't know which organization this would come under.
Need to get a Dove program running again. Danville needs safe house for abused women.

Not able to recall the names of the organizations now but do know they have helped organizations in the community.
not off hand
Not quite sure. I've read about it but I can't put my finger on it.
not sure what they are; would need to see a list
not sure which ones
Off the top of my head I can't think if any. Donating money for a new YMCA and the Long Mill. I do read about it in the paper.
Pass, free health clinic
Pathway
Pittsylvania Youth Association, Pittsylvania Baptist Association, Caswell County 4-H
planning activities, AVERC, Health Programs, Martinsville Hospital, Boys and Girls Club, health fairs
Prospect Hills Fire Department
Providence Fire Department, Historical Society in Caswell County
read about it in the paper
Read about them, cannot remember any. Girls and Boys Club I believe.
Read in the paper but don't know.
Reading a bunch in the newspaper. Danville Meals is one.
Recreational Center in Chatham
Recreations Center, Chatham
Red Cross, YMCA, YWCA
Salvation Army, Goodwill
scholarship money
scholarships for entering college students, contribute to operas and arts, health center, physical handicapped
scholarship programs, veterans memorial
School Graduate of Merits, DCC
School of Nursing, Averett University
school systems, YMCA, other civic organizations
schools
Science Center
See them in the paper but can't name them.
Senior Building
Senior Center
Senior Center in Caswell, Free Clinic in Danville
Senior Center received two million dollars ten years ago. Caswell Parish - provides food and utilities.
Senior Citizen Center
Senior Citizen Center
Senior Citizens Building
Senior Citizens' Center in Yanceyville, Free Clinic in Danville and more that don't come to mind.
Senior Citizens' organization and several others that I can't think of right now.
senior group in Caswell County
Senior Center I use
several rescue squads and fire departments
so many
some individual students with summer funding and scholarships
some of the manufacturing companies

sports events matches, Organic Gardening Club, lectures, obesity education, YMCA, Community Arts Association
Sports program, Danville Regional Health System; I can't remember all.
The Boys and Girls Club, maybe Habitat, House of Hope. I'm sure there are tons of them.
The Caswell County Senior Center and the Caswell County Medical Center
the community college, Caswell Medical Center, local arts foundations, free clinic, PATHS
The community foundation that I work for.
the fire department in Yancy, the senior center, the Caswell County Partnership for Children
The fitness program established by Faith Stamps.
The Free Clinic in Danville, God's Storehouse and a museum that I can't remember.
the free clinic in Danville.
the hospital, fire department life saving course
the housing board, the school
The Humane Society, Rescue Squad, Memorial Hospital and several others
The mini-grants to individual citizens, partnership with the United Way, and the Chamber.
The Optimists Club, the Lions' Club, Averett College and the Boys and Girls' Club
the Parish in Yanceyville
the Senior Citizens' organization in Yanceyville, battered women's' shelter in Yanceyville, and the Caswell Parish
the Thomas Day House in Milton - a museum, the Senior Center in Yanceyville, Caswell County
They've built Senior Centers and fire departments.
those seen in the papers
Unable to recall at this time. I have read about the activities of the foundation in the Chatham newspaper.
United Way, Make It Happen Grant
United Way, other education-sponsored activities
United Way, YMCA, PATS, DCC
unsure
unsure of the names
volunteer fire departments and other organizations but can not list
wellness, YMCA, programs in the schools
will not repeat
Yanceyville adult center
YMCA
YMCA
YMCA
YMCA or YWCA , or both, the senior center, youth groups, the town of Chatham and North Carolina
YMCA, free clinic, Path, Caswell Retirement Center, the Downtown Danville Alliance
YMCA, there are others but I don't know off the top of my head, They've done so much I can't name off the top of my head, YWCA, Averett, Danville Community College, Institute of Advanced Technology on 58.
Q_EVENTS 3: How important has the work of the Danville Regional Foundation been to your community?
A lot of the people in Danville have put money into the Hospital. The Hospital sold out.
done a lot of stuff in Danville City

I have a bad view of that foundation now that I know what it is. I don't think much of it at all. They like to pass some money around sometimes, but they should have left the hospital alone.
Not done anything in my area 35-40 miles north - haven't done anything.
The money is important to the organizations that receive it.
They are trying to reach out more.
They have helped, but I do not agree the way it was organized.
Unless you live in Danville - a lot of foundations like that are created for the people that are involved in them just so they can pat themselves on the back. More for their own self-gratification than for the community good.
We're on the northern end of the county and they're on the southern end.
Would like for it to be more important.
Q_EXPECT: Do you expect to be living in this same community five years from now?
At our age, we don't know; that's up to God.
Five miles east of here. I intend in being in the town within five years.
I want to be further out in the country, still in the same county.
No, I'm 85.
Want to move, husband doesn't. I hope not but probably so.
Yes, but age may cause change.
Q_FAMILIAR: How familiar are you with the Danville Regional Foundation?
Have been to some meetings and they helped him in schools.
Q_FIVEYEAR: How important is it to you to feel part of the community?
Can't trust anyone.
Communities don't communicate anymore.
Danville isn't a bad place. If it continues like it is it will end up a ghost town.
Good to feel apart, but when you work outside of the community it is hard to attend meetings. I can't anymore because I work outside.
Mostly females here, It's very important because they're elderly and they depend on me.
Q_FIVEYEAR: Would you like to be living in this same community five years from now?
If it doesn't get worse.
I'm 79, I doubt if I'll be here five years from now and that won't bother me either.
Just hope I'm living five years from now.
maybe
Q_FUTUREB: Now, thinking about the future, where on the same 1 to 10 scale would you say that [NAME OF CITY] will stand five years from now?

cutbacks
Don't bring anything as far as entertainment to the area.
Farming and tobacco are going out and I live in a farm community. Hope for a '10' but I don't know.
Getting ready to be a Wal-Mart and Caswell County is expanding. If I had any kind of power. I blame the government for bringing people over here and we pay to educate them and we're not taking care of own our. I blame the Congress.
Good area to raise children, but poor area to maintain a living because cost of living is bad, Lack of industry, also.
hope it gets better
I do not read the paper or watch news. Don't know what's going on out there. What I do hear they have some stuff coming up, I am a disabled widow. Some jobs may be soon, haven't given us a raise in three years, I am worried about the United States five years from now, hit people that are more educated. If more manual industry and technological and educational wise. Most kids that go off to college are not coming back to Danville to work; industry is not here, Dan River Mills shut down years ago, a few companies, restaurants come in but need to have more engineering and technology like Apple, on that level come in with high educational and wage jobs. They need a server. Used to have US Airlines, but don't have one now. Greensboro is the closest airport. It stops a lot of big business not having airport service.
I hope.
I hope.
If our present City Council goes on as they are now and the jobs don't improve it will be worse off.
If they don't get jobs into this area pretty soon it's going to be rough.
It is growing in some areas. A lot of houses have been vacant for a while. They are talking about renovating a lot of them. If they do that it will improve the neighborhood.
need jobs
Population keeps falling in Danville so we don't know.
Prices are increasing.
Same rut since they took tobacco out.
started falling apart when mill left
They're going to mine the uranium maybe, so I'm not sure.
They're remodeling, so things will get better.
Uranium mining approved less than 20 miles away will go down to zero, supposed to happen in Chatham
Q_GIVING: During the past 12 months, approximately how much money did you and the other family members in your household contribute to all non-profit organizations – religious and non-religious, including your local religious congregation?
If you're on a fixed income you can't do but so much.
maybe 500 - 1,000 each - not sure
Q_GROUPS: I'm going to read a list of types of organizations and for each one I'd like to know if you have been a member of any such group during the last twelve months.
A member but not active in the union. No, but give money.
A member of labor union in the past but not now; not in the last year

American Legion
baseball booster club
By having a small farm I'm a member of the Farm Service Agency but not an active member. I belong to AARP but I don't get into it much.
categorized fire department earlier as public interest
church has fun clubs
church, Pocahontas and Redmen organization, a help group
Community Watch
different that qualify
domestic violence group; senior citizens organization
donated; 40 years ago
Eastern Star, Blue Knights
foster children
I attend a Methodist Church. I am a Virginia recipient and go to a clinic in Danville.
I belong to a church but they might take me off the roll. As far as health organizations Danville regional hospital - I call it Mercer General. Everybody who goes there gets Mercer so I'm not going to do any favors for them. Most people go 20 miles to Duke.
I belong to a church, Chamber of Commerce, belong to Virginia Museum and Danville Museum.
I belong to the Disabled American Veterans.
I can't find out when people are meeting. Labor unions should be shut down. I would like to join a history group.
I changed my mind to yes.
I do support God TV, international Christians and Jews - Isaiah 58. Wings of Eagles. I haven't been able to support as much because of utilities. Fifty dollars will feed elderly for a week. I get in the mail to send a dollar but that's it. Have neighborhood group ourselves.
I haven't joined them but I do associate with the social service.
I just go to my church. No, I'd love to if I had a little school, I didn't go very far in school.
I talk to my neighbors.
Imp a member of a church. I think I'm a member of AARP, I get their literature, I think someone signed me up for them.
I'm in the health profession, a certified nursing assistant. My husband is a veteran and is involved.
in that group
local museum
Meals on Wheels
Member of Scottish Society of Danville and Pittsylvania Area
Not directly but I do participate in that. A sorority and all of the above.
on the volunteer life saving crew, certified fire-firefighter and EMT
Tea Party
used to be a member of AARP
Virginia Alumni Association, Redman
volunteer for a fund raiser
work at a nursing home
YMCA
Q_HEALTH: In general would you say your health is
I'm disabled so that should tell you something.
Thought I said house. I feel really great I feel like I'm 35, I eat very healthy.

Q_HISPANIC: Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic or Latino origin?
American
I'm a Black African American.
Q_HLTHCOMP: Compared to one year ago, how would you rate your general health now
breast cancer
hospital earlier, made a rapid recovery
I dieted a little over a year ago.
lung cancer
Q_HOMESAFE: How safe do you feel in your home?
At night, I'm aware. I keep the doors locked up. I keep a watch because the neighbors' house was shot up in a drug-related incident. Over the last ten years, this area has deteriorated as affluent residents sold their properties and lower income residents who rent the housing moved in.
I think it's sad that the children have no respect anymore and that the adults don't have authority. It comes to physical violence. There are police in the schools.
Q_HOURSVOL: About how many hours per month, on the average, do you spend volunteering for organizations?
Each individual of the team puts in about ten hours over the course of three days.
One time thing. It was random. Church decided to go up and help.
Q_HOURWORK: About how many hours do you work in the average week? Count everything, including extra jobs or paid work you do at home.
also am a farmer
Fifty hours on job and elderly parents for six hours.
Five major plants closed down, a lot of jobs lost, but doing good, seven day weeks.
I did not understand the question.
On call 24 hours, 7 days a week.
Supposed to be 40, but lately it has been 25 - 30.
Varying depending on if I'm working. It skips periods of time.
Q_IMPACT: Overall, how often do you think people like you have an impact in making [NAME] a better place to live?
Anybody can make an impact when they want to.

I don't get involved very much.
I wished everyone did as much as I did.
In a way it is close-minded. If you were not born and raised here, it can be difficult. Everyone is related to everyone around here.
Twenty years ago it would make a better difference, now old.
We used to but we don't get out much now.
Q_IMPLIVE: It is very important to me to live in this particular area.
I enjoy living 10 miles out; the city is landlocked.
I feel like I'm stuck here.
If I had it to do all over again I wouldn't do it.
I've got family here. That's the only reason I'm here.
Just because of personal career plans. It will probably take me away from here.
Q_INCOME: I am going to read a list of income ranges. Would you please stop me when I read the range that best describes your annual household income from all sources in 2010? That would be before taxes and other deductions.
Social Security
Q_INTEREST: How interested are you in politics and national affairs?
Disgusted at it but don't know where you put that.
I spend time praying for those in authority over us, They might not think they need it or not, the word tells me to pray for those over me, so you can live a peaceful life.
In Washington they need to have 'Green Tea Party'. They forget about us. Poor people need change, not a good change from Obama.
Moderately interested because we can't control it because it depends on majority.
Mostly concerned about politics.
They're not helping poor people or elderly.
upset with all politicians right now
Q_JOBURED: Other than a high school diploma, was a specific degree or certification required for your current position?
I have one but it wasn't required.
post high school degrees
When teaching I had a Masters degree.
Q_JOBLOCAT: Where is your primary job located?
A traveling job, based in Raleigh, NC.
Alamance

Alamance County
Alamance County
Alamance County, NC
Also work in Richmond, Lynchburg, and the Tidewater region.
also work other places
Alta Vista
Altavista
Altavista
Altavista
Altavista
Altavista, Campbell County
Altavista, Campbell County, VA
Altavista, in Campbell County, VA
Altavista, VA
Altavista, VA
Alton, VA
and Lynchburg
both Caswell and Person Counties, NC
Brosville, VA
Broswell, VA
Burlington, NC
Burlington, VA
Campbell
Campbell
Campbell County
Campbell county
Campbell County
Campbell county
Campbell County and Pittsylvania County
Campbell County and Pittsylvania County
Campbell County, VA
Chapel Hill, NC
Charlotte, NC
Charlotte, NC
Chatham, Pittsylvania County
City of Danville and Pittsylvania County
Collinsville, VA, Henry County
company out of Atlanta, Georgia and one out of North Carolina
Danville and Caswell, also in the home
Eden, NC
Eden, NC
Elon City
Everywhere within a 30-mile radius.
Forest, VA
Forsyth County, NC
Gilford County, NC
Greensboro, NC
Greensboro, NC
Greensboro

Greensboro, NC
Greensboro, NC
Gretna
Gretna, VA
Guildford County, Brown Summit
Guilford
Guilford County, NC
Halifax County
Henry
Henry County
Henry County, Martinsville
Henry County, VA
Heron
housecleaning
Hurt, VA
in Forest, VA
Kentucky
Lynchburg
Lynchburg
Lynchburg
Lynchburg
Lynchburg
Lynchburg
Lynchburg, VA
Lynchburg, VA
Lynchburg, VA
Lynchburg, VA
Madison, Rockingham County, NC
Martinsville
Martinsville
Martinsville
Martinsville, Henry County, VA
Martinsville, VA
Martinsville, VA
Martinsville, VA
Martinsville, VA
Mebane
North Carolina
North Carolina
North Carolina (Greensboro) and Virginia
North Carolina, Eten
North Caroline
office at home
one in Georgia and one in North Carolina
one job in Danville and one job in Alamance County, NC.
Orange County, NC, Hillsboro
Orlando, FL
part in Pittsylvania and part in Rockingham
Person County

Person County
Providence, NC
Providence, NC
raveling with company - one week Pittsylvania, one week Franklin County
Reedsville, Caswell
Ridgeway, VA, Henry County
Ringgold
Ringgold
Ringgold
Ringgold, VA
Riverside
Rockingham County, NC
Roxboro, NC
Rustburg, VA
self employed
Smith Mountain Lake, Franklin County
South Boston
South Boston, VA
travel throughout state
Wake County
Washington, DC
work all over; South and Central Virginia in 40 miles radius of house
work in NC out of Raleigh
Yanceyville, NC
Q_LEADER: In the last 12 months, have you helped plan or lead a meeting?
at school in Williamsburg
Sunday School
treasurer of church
for your church
Not in the last year but a lot in the past.
Not written but signed one someone else wrote.
Q_MEANING: I'm going to read a list of statements, and for each one please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree
already at the top
clarify benefits
Depends on if it was another or another city. If it was another company probably be higher. It depends on the city - could be higher or lower dependent on going up north or south.
Don't want to advance, I like where I am.
haven't had a raise in three years
hours vary depending on site of work
I run my own home business and pay does not reflect local pay, which is bad
I'm the boss.
I'm the manager, that's as far as I can go.

own boss
Q_MOVEAWAY: Everyone hopes for a good life for their children. When a child from the Danville region is ready to leave home, do you think it would be better for them to stay in the Danville region or move to some other area to live?
A cheap place to live but not many jobs.
A good place to live is Danville, want money, then move.
According to what they major or minor in.
Better to stay here but there are really no jobs here.
could do well there staying there
Danville is a wonderful place to live, but I'm not sure about the job opportunities.
depending on the circumstances
depending on the pay of the job in the other place
Depending on what parents do. Don't know what anyone else would do.
depends on career
depends on goals
Depends on how much money they need to live.
Depends on if they want to live around here, but if they want to make money they should leave.
depends on job
depends on job availability
depends on kid
depends on particular career the child is interested in
depends on qualifications
depends on the child
depends on the child and the skills
Depends on the child and what they are pursuing. Don't think they should stay if Danville doesn't have what they want.
depends on the child or the adult
depends on the circumstance
depends on the individual person
Depends on the individual situation. Some would profit from leaving and others need the support of staying.
depends on the parent
depends on the person
depends on the person
depends on the person
depends on the person, whether or not they find a job
Depends on their interest but usually when they go away to college they are going to get a job somewhere else.
Depends on their opportunity and what they want to do. Overall I think they would be alright to stay here.
Depends on what the child wants to do, but probably stay.
Depends on what the city has to offer them.
Depends on what they get in to.
Depends on what they want.
Depends on what they're looking for.
Depends on where they want to go after they get out of school.

Depends. There are plenty of opportunities, but I think there are a lot of students who have the wrong perception of Danville region and the opportunities they would find elsewhere.
Difficult question because it's according to what they major or minor in. My daughter moved away.
Economically, it might be best to leave, but socially they may want to stay.
Employment opportunities and better schools because these schools rot children's' brains.
Felt that in my situation it was better to leave the area, in my experience.
Financially and whether or not they are capable of living on their own.
For experience it is good to leave.
Go where opportunity takes you.
Good to get out. Didn't get out myself. I think it's good if they want to move around.
Good to stay because people have always been leaving, but not enough jobs or finances to support them to stay.
Have to go where work is and make a livelihood, Didn't live Danville because they wanted to.
I was a single mother and I had three daughters and they all finished high school. Two finished college and never came back to this area. I don't think there are many opportunities for people who go to college and then come back. I wouldn't want my kids to live around here after they finish college.
I wish they would stay close to home but it is better to move elsewhere.
I'd like for them to stay in Danville but there aren't a lot of jobs now, a lot are leaving.
if have jobs, stay
if job opportunity here, stay
If they are looking for a high class job, move.
If they can get jobs.
If they could make decent living.
If they graduate from college and they can't find a job, then they should move on to some place else.
If they had any jobs it would be good to stay around here.
If they want to farm, it would be better to stay; public work, better to leave.
if we had jobs stay
It depends on if they can get a job in the area.
It depends on occupation, not all are available.
It depends on the availability of jobs.
It depends on the education of the individual. It is up to them.
It depends on the individual situation.
It depends on the individual's interests.
It depends on the job they want to get and what training they want to get.
It depends on the person's career choices.
It depends on their education and the availability of appropriate work.
It depends on what is here for the younger people. Right now there is nothing that would want anyone to come back. As far as social, cultural, there is nothing.
It depends on what kind of work they're looking for.
It depends on what kinds of things they would leave for like US service, etc.
It depends on what profession they choose.
It depends on what they are qualified for job wise.
It depends on what they're doing with their lives.
It depends on what type of education they are about to get because there are not a lot of jobs.
It depends on what you want to do.
It depends on where and whether they can get a job.

It depends on where they can find a job.
It depends on where they can find a job.
It depends on whether they're going to college.
It depends what they expect out of life. Not many choices of City of Danville as there are in other areas.
It just all depends.
It just depends on what they wanted to do.
It just seems culturally that young people want to stay by their parents more than other communities that I've lived in. A lot come home if they go off to college so its different, but that's not everybody.
It would depend on what they want. There are limitations if they stay here. There is not a lot of variety or opportunities in this area.
It would just be up to them.
It's up to them.
job and education, income purposes
Leave if you have a college degree.
Love for them to stay here, but not a lot of opportunities, poverty and not a lot of jobs.
Most have to move for jobs and education.
Move out so they can get a new start.
Not a lot of job opportunities here, but that's true in a lot of places, so it is hard to judge.
on job or college
on the field they're going into
on the job market. If no jobs, no point in staying here.
on what college they attend.
on what kind of job they want
on what kind of work they want to go into
on what the kid wants
on what they want to do
on what you want
on where in the region they live
on where the jobs are
on where they would go and what they want to do
People eventually move out after they get their education anyway.
personal choice for the kids
Some areas are more prosperous than others.
Stay if they could find a job.
Students and adults are getting skills up to area needed for these new jobs.
That would be a matter of personal preference.
That would depend on what they're looking for. I couldn't honestly answer.
The opportunities in this region are not very good for young people starting out.
There aren't any jobs in Danville. I think the kids should broaden their horizons and go to a bigger area.
They could have more to choose from somewhere else.
up to the person
what field of employment or education.
what field they are in
What their career goals are. This area has a bad job market.
What they want to do with their lives.
With few jobs here they wouldn't have a chance.

Would like them to, but to get a good job they have to go out of the area.
You have to do what you have to do.
Q_NEGATIVE: How were you negatively affected?
A fixed income is insufficient to meet rising expenses.
Cannot fix our home like we would like. Scared to go in debt.
Cannot raise tobacco after the next three year buyout. Tobacco is still paying most of the bills, but that will stop in three years.
choices about travel
cost of gasoline
cutbacks
Decreased hours at my work place.
Decreased value of fixed income; Social Security.
depression - both psychological and economic
economy [expletive redacted]
electricity - major increase
Everything, price of gas is high, everything is high.
financial
financially in general
Fixed income makes it harder to live and also have children I support.
gas and groceries particularly on the rise
Gas is a very big problem, medical bills, insurance, house insurance and drug prices.
gas prices
gas prices
gas prices and taxes
gas, groceries
Government took away cost of living increase for retired people.
Groceries price have gone up, Utilities are sky-high, everything that you have to have are sky-rocketing. I heat with gas.
Had difficulty finding or maintaining employment.
Harder to buy groceries and harder to buy gas.
Have had to help our children with their costs of living which in turn makes our cost of living higher.
Have to work two jobs just to keep up.
high cost of food, electricity
higher taxes, higher light bills
home value, loss of raises due to economy
House is cold, ventilation is messed up.
Husband and son lost jobs. Husband's present employment is reduced. Both lost job benefits. We had to refinance the house.
Husband died four years ago so it is hard to maintain a living. Also there is not enough social security to live on.
Husband has to drive 3.5 hours to work in Manassas area in VA. He goes there on Tuesday and stays until Friday.
I drive to Lynchburg and it's been difficult.
I had a trucking company but it went under.
I had to cut back.

I live on interest mostly and it has dropped.
I only go where I have to go. Gas is too expensive.
I think people are buying junk these days as result of the economy.
I'm on a fixed income, so they stopped me in one place. I can't do anything.
I'm on fixed income. You have to pay your bills before you get your medications. Everything so high.
income decrease
It has impacted the community so it has impacted the way of living.
It is harder to prepare for future college tuition of children.
It takes a little more to survive food wise.
job difficulty, husband financial (drug addict)
Just the amount of money there has been.
Just the utility bill. The loss of funds affects my business.
Laws are trying to do away with Medicare packages.
less expendable income
less income
less money
Light bill 5 years ago was \$100 or \$150 but now it's \$800.
Light bills and medical bills have especially risen.
Living alone is hard.
Living on a set income and it's bad. Need to give retired and disabled people more food instead of more money to people having too many kids. People are having kids because the government pays them.
Living on fixed income after retiring in the last two years.
loss of car
loss of rented residence, vehicle and marriage
lost husband
Lost some people I worked for.
mom lost job
More expensive to buy things.
My fixed income has stayed the same.
My grown son lost his job and hasn't been able to leave home yet. Wife unable to find full time job as a CPA.
My husband died, committed suicide.
My husband lost his job. He lost insurance for two years. No raise in three years at my job with the school system.
My wife left me.
Nearby houses abandoned due to the economy.
need to change job
No improvements are being made.
no insurance
No leash law in our town and we're fighting a battle with that.
No progression here. Everything is at a standstill. It's a mill town without a mill.
no social security raise
Not as much privacy and freedom that I should have, don't think taxes are being spent right.
Not enough deputies to cover Pittsylvania County.
not enough money
Not getting raises as scheduled.
on fixed incomes

Only income is from Social Security.
Only income is Social Security.
pay freeze
Pension was cut.
Pensions have gone down.
Place of work has suffered reduction of business; but not my income.
price of gas
Prices have gone up and have to be on a budget.
property taxes
Real estate is not selling as well as once was. I am in real estate business.
Salary stayed the same despite higher cost of living.
Social Security not going up.
son and daughter lost jobs
taxes
Taxes are going up. Puts a hurt on you when you are on a fixed income.
Taxes going up. Tobacco has gone away and selling more and more timber.
That the children move away for education and descent employment. This drains the community.
The ability to leave and come back to Danville.
The cost of fuel. Everything is going up. That's the economy of the whole US.
The economy is bad. It affects my husband who is in sales.
The lack of job availability, having my job threatened.
The quality of some of the neighbors who moved in.
Trade Act. They sent my job to Mexico.
travel less because of gas prices
Trouble getting money from ex-husband.
We lost some rental income, several months of having our apartments unoccupied.
We wanted to move but weren't able to, couldn't afford it at the time.
Wife left due to economy.
Working harder, less time for family and less vacation time.
Q_NEIGHBOR: About how many neighbors do you know on a first-name basis?
few neighbors
Fifty or sixty in my area.
Forty
in my living room right now
none at the moment
Only one I spoke to all the time. Wouldn't ask them to do something for me, but have been there for each other. What I don't like about Danville - if you put other people before you, God will be good to you. When people knew I had money they would come around smiling in face, people only looking out for themselves, Five years later I am not paid back and they didn't care they were taking from a widow.
The neighbors don't communicate like they used to.
Q_NITESAFE: How safe do you feel in your neighborhood during the night?
A little unsafe. I can't run, but carry a weapon.

Except for recent break-in by vandals in my outbuilding.
have a "MedAlert" button
have a security system
home was broken into two years ago
Some things have happened that I don't like.
somewhat safe
Somewhat safe because I live alone. Sometimes I don't feel so safe.
Vietnam veteran; feel safe
We're in a rural area.
Q_NUTRITN: Good nutrition is also important to healthy living. How difficult is it for you to eat healthy?
I raise and can my own vegetables.
I'm a diabetic and the food I need to have is more expensive for my diabetic diet.
I'm a diabetic.
I've got my ups and downs.
money
No grocery stores that sell nutritional stuff.
Not at all difficult. I don't eat healthy but it's my choice.
On a strict diet and can only eat certain foods.
Sometimes you can't buy what you really need.
The rising price of good food.
We have our own garden.
Q_OBESERES: Do you have the resources to handle this problem?
I don't have the resources to deal with being overweight because I can't afford healthy food.
I don't have the willpower.
We are trying to diet and exercise more.
Q_OBESITY1: How much of a problem is obesity in the community where you live?
Danville proper has more of a problem with obesity.
They need somewhat of a problem between "serious" and "only a small".
We're in one of the top cities in Virginia for obesity.
Q_OBESITY2: How much of a problem is obesity in your household?
I can't afford what the doctor tells me to eat.
I have thyroid trouble.
I just lost 46 pounds.
Q_OBESRES2: What resources do you have to handle obesity in your family?

A book on how to eat healthy.
All kind of exercise videos, treadmill, and knowledge of what to do; but have to find time for it.
Daughter is a diabetic so we're trying.
diet
Dietician
Doctor
Doctor check-ups, dieting and eating the right foods.
Doctor says to walk, and I do when it is not cold out.
Doctor, exercise, medication, gym membership
eating the right foods, more exercise
exercise
exercise equipment, eating habits
Exercise equipment; access to medical care and the ability to buy healthy food.
Exercise knowledge, support, friendship support and spousal support.
exercise videos, membership to health club
financial and the time
fitness equipment at home and bicycling support from family
free will
I do the cooking, medication and diet.
I don't know. We're on a new diet regimen and going with organic.
I go to my doctor a lot and I try to stay on a diet.
I have six specialists for different problems -- a heart doctor, cancer doctor, diabetic doctor, to give me the help I need. It's just hard on a limited budget to buy the food I need.
I have the ability to plan menus, to learn more about what I need to do and the ability to buy the foods I should buy.
internet
Internet to look up information, money to purchase whatever we need and a general knowledge of what we should be doing.
internet, VA health line
It just takes someone wanting to do it.
matter of choice
my doctor and myself
My doctor has me on a calorie diet. I have to stick to the diet.
My own choosing. I have to do this, nobody can do it for me. But when you can't exercise, all you can do is sit and eat and sleep and read.
no resources available
None, but I walk and exercise.
nutrition education, community resources
our health insurance, weight loss group at church, school resources, nutrition labels
Ourselves and getting ourselves in control.
personal choice
physicians, medical contact
place to exercise and control our eating habits
self-control
support systems
The proper doctors involved and the means to tackle that from a personal standpoint.
To see the doctor regularly for check-up, lab works. Access to good food and exercise equipment.
treadmill, Ti-bo tapes, hilly neighborhood

treadmills, bicycles, etc.
try to cook healthy food
two or three different insurances
We could raise our own gardens and get to the grocery store easily for healthy foods instead of all junk.
We have a plan for healthy living.
We have an excellent neighborhood for walking; a basketball goal in the driveway and I take exercise classes 3-4 times a week.
We know to exercise, what foods to eat, we read and we have the time to do what we need to do, but we just don't want to do it..
Weight Watchers
Wii Fit, Weight Watchers
Q_OFFICER: In the past 12 months, have you served as an officer or served on a committee of any local club or organization?
Board of Directors for Danville Symphony Orchestra
deacon in church
Q_OWNSHOME: Do you own your home, or are you renting your place of residence?
A friend of mine owns it.
A Methodist pastor so we neither rent or own.
Assisted Living
can't understand
grandparents home
husband owns the home
I live on a boarding school campus and live in it rent free.
I live with my friend.
in the process of buying; live in housing development
It was my father's place until he passed away and I live here now.
live with family
live with mother
mortgage
mortgage
parent's home
parents own
Parents own it.
retirement home
senior citizen housing
staying with parents
The bank owns it.
Q_PETITION: In the last two years, have you signed a petition? This would include petitions circulated on the internet.

I tried to start one.
Q_POLMEET: How often in the past twelve months have you attended a political meeting or rally?
Cant get out much anymore, read papers, watch news and have internet.
every month
I worked the polls and have been for five years and I must attend meetings.
Local politics is a drag.
Not necessarily a lack of interest that we don't attend political meetings or rallies.
try to stay away
years ago
Q_PREPARED: How would you rate the job the community is doing helping children of pre-school age get ready for school?
As far as day-care goes, yes. As far as mothers on their own, no. I can speak to this because I did daycare for three years, could be more, and people were amazed at what the kids came home knowing. Day care centers are really trying.
Don't know much about daycare because my child stays at home with me.
First answer was 'average'.
I know many preschool teachers who are doing a wonderful job of preparing children, but their home environment is not preparing them. They do not know colors, letters, numbers. Parents are failing.
It depends on the particular day care.
It depends on the race.
It is bad that they are cutting it for the little children.
Private pre-schools do an excellent job and the ones we have at our school also do an excellent job. They work hand in hand. We don't have as many parents that are working with kids one on one. We have kids come in who don't even know how to hold a crayon.
Public schools don't offer pre-school unless you are deemed at risk.
Putting kids to school too young. at 4 or 5 they should play. It's a big mistake. School is doing it.
The parents job not the community.
They should not be publically financed. Stay at home until 1st grade unless they can pay for it privately.
Very good only if you qualify for preschool.
We have excellent preschool places.
Q_PRESENT: In the last 12 months, have you made a public presentation?
I make religious public presentations.
I think so.
Q_PROBACTN: What specifically did you do to solve a neighborhood or community problem?

A grant to come through for the community.
A house that was going to be auctioned because of taxes owed and we got together to pay the taxes so the person could keep the house.
A lady who wanted to turn her house into a rehab for a mentally ill facility for old men so we had a town meeting and stopped it.
A locked door issue. A lock put on the main door so no access to inside.
A neighbor's house was real junky and we petitioned the county to clean it up.
A power plant using a road to travel on, was endangering children. Had meeting with the town to change the route.
Active with a political party that neighbors are interested in. Plus fundraising for political and firehouses, etc.
An elderly couple that is in bad health, and I have been taking them meals and taking them to the doctor when they need to go.
As a representative of town government I respond to complaints about newly installed sewer systems.
Breaking and entering problems in the neighborhood.
Break-ins - got together to talk about it.
Call the police.
Called a meeting for cleaning up litter in the neighborhood.
Called the police on some college students who were getting rowdy.
Changed the speed limit on our road.
chopping down a tree
church worker
Cleaned up trees and things that had fallen.
community protection program
Community Watch
Community Watch
Community Watch organization, safety-watch
Complained to the people who were causing problem; contacted the sheriff's department.
Concerned about cable coming this way. High speed internet. Also, cleaning up the appearance of the community of old cars and stuff like that.
Crime Stoppers; Community and Neighborhood Watch
Crime Watch
Crime watch in the neighborhood and on school bus. I drive one and we are trained to call if we see something wrong on roads we travel twice a day.
Crime Watch program
discuss things together; stray dogs
discussing ideas, Raritan Club - a community service in rural areas club
dog issues
dog leash law
Dog-leash law. If you cannot confine your animals to your yard and not have them terrorize others, you should not be allowed to have them.
don't remember
Encouraging neighbors to keep their dogs in their own yards
Farmville helped to keep rail line intact and keep a historical bridge.
Filled holes in a private road in the city.
fixed neighbors' driveway
Forest Hills area. I work with security as a volunteer.
formation of Neighborhood Watch

Formed a Community Watch group in response to someone destroying mailboxes.
formed a neighborhood watch
Garbage in the neighborhood, and wanted to improve the exterior of the homes.
gathered money for a food wholesale house for the needy
get together and talk about, like neighborhood watch.
getting a stop sign putt up for a bus stop
getting people to come to meetings.
getting rid of moles; Neighborhood Watch
Give advice, but it doesn't help.
got a drug house we tried to bust up
got neighbors together to protest the condition of the neighborhood
got news to evict a squatter living in the neighborhood
got them to open up a closed pool
Habitat for church, mission fields. Rotary club volunteering.
Habitat for Humanity
Had a disruptive neighbor who they all got together to deal with.
Had a get together with neighbors to solve a problem.
Had a lot of break-ins; discussed who to call if we had a break-in.
had meetings
Had to get the police to come around more.
had to put a stop to juvenile neighborhood vandalism
help neighbors with utility bills transportation car repairs
help out each other, like cutting firewood for an elderly neighbor
Help them out.
Helped around the neighbors homes with painting and yard work for those who can't afford a large company.
Helped clean up the neighborhood, healthcare and teaching, etc. Also active in the church and feeding the hungry
Helped clean up the neighborhood, healthcare, and teaching, etc. Also active in the church and feeding the hungry
Helped neighbor deal with a dispute.
Helped out with problems.
Helped people who needed food in the neighborhood. A group collection was done.
helping abandoned animals
helping out sick community problems
How to make the streets better.
I actually circulated a petition against uranium mining.
I am a widow and I get together with neighbors.
I attend meetings for the building in which they discuss the Neighborhood Watch.
I attended a public meeting and spoke at the meeting. It was a Board of Supervisors meeting concerning matters involving or affecting my community.
I attended community meetings.
I belong to the revitalization committee, so we do bunches of stuff.
I did home repairs in the community, working with Habitat and other organizations.
I don't know that we have community problems.
I forget.
I have been involved in church-related activities on behalf of deceased in the community and their relations.

I live on a private road, so we had to correct road maintenance fees and sometimes people don't want to pay.
I live on a street that goes from one road to another near a highway. It was difficult to see both sides of the road. Got the street fixed so that you could see better.
I meet with my Neighborhood Watch every month.
I participate in a neighborhood security program.
I participated in a church benefit to raise money for the parish.
I participated in meetings to correct drug-infested areas and discuss ways to rid drugs from the community.
I participated in meetings to think of community projects.
I picked up trash around the community.
I served on a board for the Owner's Association
I talked to the authorities about some young men from Danville who have broken into my neighbors' home a few times in the last few years.
I was responsible for getting a nursing home, water plants, Green Rock Prison Camp, fire department, maintain speeding.
I work with the school district to try to get more volunteers to help kids.
I worked with a group to discuss our water problems and gathered a petition to get county water in my community.
I worked with people in my community to try to get them jobs, ex-offenders, and trying to create jobs; I try to get people together, get them clothes and feed them, those who are less fortunate.
I'm a volunteer EMS provider and help with neighborhood health problems.
I'm involved with the local fire department and we do things like remodel homes for needy people.
I'm now the town manager for Chatham.
I'm on a board for the homeless shelter. I serve at a church as a pastor so I'm always doing outreach.
in reference to property upkeep
In the subdivision they had to resolve road situations.
Informal consultation between neighbors concerning a rash of break-ins.
Issues with breaking and entering and a Community Watch was formed.
It was to get a police watch set up in our local community.
just a Neighborhood Watch
just helped
just talk to them
just talked about the problems in the area
just the neighborhood watch and some other zoning issues
landscaping
Litter that was in the community.
local club that deals with community
local health issues
look after neighbor with cancer
management of sports league
met with neighbors about a pet
more or less, crime watch, informally
My neighbors and I approached a local university about parking issues. We asked them to help prevent the students from blocking driveways. It has gotten better.
Neighbor Watch, road improvement, renovations
neighborhood crime watch

neighborhood meeting about safety in the neighborhood
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
Neighborhood Watch
neighborhood watch
Neighborhood Watch - head of my section
Neighborhood Watch and Neighborhood Pool Committee
Neighborhood watch and we get together every five or six months.
Neighborhood Watch formed to look after each other.
Neighborhood Watch meeting, spoke about community watch
Neighborhood watch program and we lookout for each other.
neighborly problems - a personal issue
Nothing great, just helped the neighbors out. Just helping them out with small problems.
On the board of the Downtown Danville Association, try to promote the downtown area and stimulate the economy.
One of the neighbors let too much trash pile up and we complained about that.
Organization that meets every so many months that discusses safety and maintenance issues.
organized a home owners' association
Our neighborhood is a new community that was created when Dan River shut down. We had to get it zoned and all that.
part of the Neighborhood Watch
participate in neighborhood watch
participated in a fundraiser to help a disabled child
Participated in a local committee formed to stop an internet café.
participated in meetings of the Neighborhood Watch
participated in neighborhood watch
Participates in a Neighborhood Watch.
participating in Neighborhood Watch, park clean up, etc.
participate in Neighborhood Watch
passed out leaflets in a campaign for our governor
People were shooting in the neighborhood, spoke against it.
playground issue; safety
POA, road problems
Pretty much organizing for a city ordinance to make sure they follow through with the ordinance that requires dogs to be on leash.
prevent home invasions
problem with constructing a pathway or bike path to a park through some of my neighbor's

Problem with dog pooping. They have fenced in area and offer bags and drop-offs but some people did not use them. Dogs kept pooping until I got it resolved.
Problem with neighbors chickens running wild - addressed the lady who owned them. Expressed concern that dogs would get after chickens. She started cooping them up.
Problems with dogs, Got together and called the game warden.
Problems with teenagers but we got them under control. We had some little rogues around.
raise for cancer awareness
Raised funds for volunteer fire department.
Raised money for sports team.
Rallied around neighbors who were facing a difficult time.
regular community crime meetings and quality of life issues
Related to the schools, We've complained about the schools.
Removal of a tree that was in a dangerous area.
Road was getting torn up by dump trucks. We had that taken care of. They're building a highway behind us and they were using our road to get to the back side of us.
Raritan Club raising money to benefit sick people and for a fire station.
try to keep a watch out for each other
Secretary for the Neighborhood Watch
served on the board for the beautification of streets
set up a community watch
signed a petition related to a problem with a neighbor's horses
some abandoned houses in the area that needed repair
Some issues with unruly animals that we dealt with.
started a neighborhood watch
Started a neighborhood watch program.
Street signs, roads responsible for that.
Talked to our local officials regarding town ordinances.
Talked to them, to see what I could do.
Talks about community safety and been to a couple of meetings at a local church.
The Danville Community Center asked us to cooperate to donate to maintenance of it, and vote to keep it in order for the children and families to enjoy it. Maintenance needed some updating and they also needed to pay for a lifeguard in the summer and the rate of that has increased.
The neighborhood got together to help out a person whose house had burned down.
There were some dogs and we were trying to get rid of them because they were dangerous. I've been gong to the uranium mining hearings to eliminate it.
They were planning on doing some mining of uranium, and I don't feel that would be in the best interest of the community. Got mining engineers down into a meeting. Presently we have signs on different lawns of people who are against future mining of uranium.
Things like businesses close to the house, like Goodyear or the golf course.
through church; go house to house, neighbors helping each other out
to better the community for the children
To do with wild cats and dogs, strays, getting animal control out to settle some disputes between neighbors.
To help organize and put in place a first responders unit of the fire department.
Town meetings regarding construction on somebody's land that was joining the neighborhood.
trash pick up with neighbors
tried to get people to pick up trash; Neighborhood Watch
Tried to get the speed limit changed on the road I live on.
try to convert community road a private road.

trying to get road fixed and graveled
trying to reduce speed limits
trying to reroute trucks that travel at excessive speeds through the locality
trying to shut down a gun range across the street from my house
usual thing
volunteer at a food bank
We addressed the city manager about speeding and an adjacent property in disrepair.
We all came together to help a family with necessities.
We all went together to pave our road and we joined forces to pay and have it paved.
We created a pet ordinance for my subdivision, leash law.
We did fundraisers for charitable causes.
We discuss schooling, housing, etc and welfare and circumstances of the sick and needy.
We do a fund raiser every year, two or three, for the fire department and we do it also for God's Victory. An annual event now, fifth year for each of them this year.
We don't have a animal control department of our town and we don't have a leash law but were trying to find a way through ordinances that we can control these dogs.
We formed a neighborhood watch. We kind of police the area where we live at.
We got a Neighborhood Watch to keep the troublemakers out. I make most of the meetings.
We got a new fire department and I helped with that.
We got the law involved to settle things down.
We got together and discussed how we improve the neighbor watch program and how we could help each other out.
We got together and figured out who was committing burglaries around here; and we got them arrested.
We got up a Neighborhood Watch in our community.
We had a lot of break-ins and we had a Neighborhood Watch committee organized.
We had a neighbor we had to put out, me, my neighbor, and my next-door neighbor's mom and the landlord had to put her out. She hit my truck. There were people coming in and out of our driveway all through the night, and we were complaining.
We had a neighborhood meeting to set up a crime watch.
We had a problem with gambling in a house in our community. We worked with getting the town to look into it. Also had someone move in with drug activity and we got them to move.
We had a problem with one neighbor and we banded together to deal with it. It all worked out.
We had a problem with people parking a lot of cars and we went to the council to ask them to put up a fence and they did.
We had a problem with the landlord and water. We all gathered to sign petitions to get signed and everything went through.
We had a problem with trash being picked up at 5 am loudly, Several families on the block contacted the firm and asked that the pickup time be delayed and they agreed.
We had a situation with unsupervised children and two neighbors got together to discuss what we needed to do about the problem.
We had an elderly lady who had been sickly, took care of her house, mowed grass and cooked meals.
We had issues with one of the houses that became low-income housing. There was a family with someone who was a drug-seller, the company they kept was not desirable. We, our neighbors, had to confront them and also get in contact with the local police.
We had some bad neighbors and I had to call 9-1-1 to clean them up. They are doing time now.
We had to set up a Neighborhood Watch because people were stealing things.
We have a Neighborhood Watch.
We have a unit owners association and go to their meetings to discuss.

We have helped out other neighbors in general.
We have night watch.
We have one of the persons that wanted to move into the neighborhood who had a reputation for dealing drugs and we did not want that. We were able to keep him out of our neighborhood.
We have some neighbors who came and don't want dogs barking. Went to town hall meetings and everything. Outsiders sometimes come in and think they can change things. They don't want you to run tractors or have animals making noise. Finally got it resolved.
We have to contact the man that owns these apartments, and we have a parking situation, and one lady decided she would park in the middle of the road and we had to get involved in it in order to get her to park in her parking place.
We helped the fire department and different things about that.
We just had like a neighborhood watch. Pick up trash that lives on my road.
We just talked about problems and they cleared up.
We organized a community watch program.
We performed a Neighborhood Watch.
We pitch in once a month and do a neighborhood-wide trash pick-up and in Dry Fork we have our own little fire department, so our community helps them.
We put up a Neighborhood Watch.
We put up borders to make the community look better.
We solved the naming of our road.
We started a Neighborhood Watch.
We tried to get the state to put a road in off Whitetail Avenue, off of Rt. 41 near Swansonville. There are 43 families down there and the road's not paved.
We trimmed down the violence. We have like a Neighborhood Watch going on.
We volunteered, got together and organized the Neighborhood Watch.
We went door-to-door to help people clean up their homes.
We were fighting to keep our rural post office. We lost. Not a regular post office, only opened a few hours a day.
We were having break-ins here, so we got into the organization of a Neighborhood Watch.
We were trying to get the big trucks coming through our town that were overloaded with wood chips to a generator in our town. We were concerned about the children on school buses, so we organized a public meeting with the school.
We were trying to save the sports for the kids in the county, not to cut them.
We were trying to solve issues with local library.
We were working on a historic preservation task force to help save historic buildings here in Danville.
We worked on trying to get the police department and City Council to be more aware of and responsive to the needs of the public. They always have had their own agenda and do not listen to anybody, so we did not feel successful.
well discussions
Well, his dog comes out during the day and mine during the night; He's a wolf.
Went to Chatham and requested they take over community maintenance. We wanted to know what is getting taxpayer dollars. No state maintained roads or bus stops for almost forty families.
We've got a neighborhood watch in which I participate.
Whatever they needed me to do.
With the county government on the senior center and didn't let them mess it up.
worked against erosion
Worked some issues out.
Worked to form a less Godless community by donating money to church and police.
Worked to reduce the speed of cars in local area.

Worked with an organization to deal with employment and health care.
Worked with Neighborhood Watch.
worked with neighbors to solve problems with trucks in road, tearing up road.
Worked with neighbors to try to get our water bill reduced.
Worked with the city.
Worked with the elderly in nutritional areas and tutoring individuals in preparation for their GED test.
Worked with the Neighborhood Watch also worked to raise funds for the local fire department station.
working at the church
working on the appearance of the neighborhood
Working on the sound wall here at the factory. We want to lower the decibels in sound to make the environment better for the children around here. We had questions as to the odors that the plant was emitting, whether they were healthy or not.
working to beautify town, trash cans, town sign, honey flower pots
working together on deed restrictions
working with the fire department
working with the fire dept.
wrote letters, spoke to the highway department and to representatives
zoning issue
Q_PROBLEM: In the last two years, have you worked with your neighbors to solve a neighborhood or community problem?
Haven't had a problem to come up. I live in a nice and quiet neighborhood.
I haven't had any problems like that.
I keep up with Neighborhood Watch and participate in it.
No problems to solve.
We don't have any problems in my neighborhood; but Danville is a different situation. At times it gets hard. People are unemployed and it puts a stress on everybody but so far it hasn't affected us out here.
We haven't had any.
Q_PROTEST: In the last two years, have you participated in a demonstration, protest or boycott?
I would have liked to protest the expensive light bill.
Q_PSCOL: Over the past five years, do you think the public schools in [NAME FROM SCREENER] have gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed the same in providing skills needed for pursuing a four-year college degree?
DCC has gotten more to offer than they did five years ago. The have an institute down the road that is connected to UVA somehow, Satellite or something.
SOLs messing them up and not learning what they need to know to go to college.

Training is more geared towards finding the child's interests and abilities, using more tools in schools and then working with it rather than trying to put everybody in a box and sending them off to college. Involving parents and volunteers more now.
Unless you go to a private school I don't think they have much of a chance. It's not like it use to be.
Q_PSJOB: Over the past five years, do you think the public schools in [NAME FROM SCREENER] have gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed the same in providing skills that will be useful in obtaining a job?
Not a problem with the school system, but the students. They do not take advantage of the opportunities. The community college is working very hard to help.
Not the system, kids and parents don't take advantage.
Teachers do not want to help grandson with ADHD, just want to flunk him, It depends on teachers.
The community college does a lot.
The way it is actually taught to kids needs to be finding a way or trying to communicate to kids. Very stressful on them. Slower for them would be better.
What they get in school they will have to continue. Introduction to engineering for my son. He made straight 'A's' but wouldn't have gotten him a job.
Q_PSSAT: Over the past five years, do you think the public schools in [NAME FROM SCREENER] gotten better, worse, or stayed the same?
Based on what I've seen.
because of SOLs
Hard for me to tell. I don't have anybody in school.
I had a grandson who just graduated high school and went off to college and did poorly because the high school didn't help teach them how to study. He was a straight 'A' student in high school.
more new schools
Not enough time spent with children especially ones that don't learn at a slow pace. Jobs expect you to learn so much in little time.
The only thing I disagree with is "bussing" from the Western part to the Eastern part and vice versa.
They got rid of all the good teachers. It costs less to hire new ones.
They never have been good. I don't have kids in the school but I don't think they have ever been good. My grandchildren all go to Christian School.
They now have to have a policeman at the school.
Q_PUBMEET: How often in the past twelve months have you attended any public meeting in which there was a discussion of community or school affairs?
20-25 times
I have been in Danville since August; was Newport News.
I listen to the City Council meetings and keep up with what they're doing. If these people don't wake up and realize that they can't keep raising things like utilities and taxes. It's got to stop somewhere; but they have no touch of reality.
Not any because I've been incapacitated.

They do not actually address new issues.
years ago, on oxygen
Q_QUALITY: Please imagine a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 represents the worst possible community in which to live; and 10 represents the best possible community. Where on that scale would you rate [NAME OF CITY] as a place to live?
depends what you are talking about jobs or crimes, job-wise [expletive deleted]
I live near an industrial park and they have not put up a sound wall. They're getting ready to move the rest here, and it's going to get louder, etc. Before this time I would've given it an '8', and now with the plant being in my backyard I'd rate it a '3'.
It depends on town you live in, general population is factory workers.
poorest, most uneducated people, not many jobs that pay a decent wage
small community; low crime where I'm at; when you go into city; commute every day
The community is nice but they're uneducated.
unemployment
wish they had more businesses
Q_RCOMM: Before I say good-bye, are there any other comments you'd like to make?
A big thank you.
A website for the survey. A more effective means of meeting needs of people if they can log on to answer questions of where and how money has spent. Heard about corruption.
Any kind of continuing education in health care would be beneficial to a lot of people. Smaller classes six-week classes. I would be interested in phlebotomy and continuing education on Friday or Saturday. I got good job, happy, but more knowledge I'd like to obtain. But I work and can't get any.
Can you change the situation?
Concerned about health department indifference.
Curious as to what this data is for.
Danville has expanded out, and they've neglected to maintain the inner city, which has become run down. I have grandchildren who live there in some projects and they're not able to get out much because they're afraid of the crime. It's a shame. So, it would be good if they would invest in restoring the inner area of the city.
Danville hospital is very poor. Everyone knows not to go to locale hospital if you want good care.
Danville is a great area. I would like to see more activities for the youth then maybe crime will lessen or lower. Much more of retirement area than a place for young people.
Danville is a great town but the biggest problem we have here is drug use which leads to crime. We need to stop the drug use. We are known as the "City of Churches" but the attendance is dropping off. It is a great town for retirees but not for children.
Danville isn't a terrible place. But it is because of the drugs and violence that I am concerned. We've had more murders this year than the rest of VA. I'm here because of my family who have been here since the 1600's. We're embedded into the community.
Danville Regional Foundation is not very well liked in Pittsylvania. No payment plans for people without insurance. Most think that the Foundation is wasting money on community projects instead of creating more jobs. Foundation is creating more debt and bankruptcy in the community than anything else. Most people miss the set-up of the old hospital and go to South Boston or North Carolina to avoid going to the Danville Regional Foundation.

Danville Regional makes a big difference.
Danville Regional Medical Center [expletive redacted] other than that, no.
Daughter was pulled out of school for medical reasons. I went to the superintendant. She said it wasn't means for being homebound. Only if someone was incapacitated or pregnant. That doesn't fly with me. That's a serious problem. The education system here is horrible. They take no time with the children. The classes are too big. Half of the students are flying by and can't even speak properly. Their English is horrible.
Don't give my name to anybody. Getting too much mail as it is.
Getting better doctors for the hospital and try to keep the good doctors that are left.
Good area to live. There are some people everywhere who have obesity problems. A lot better than living in the big city. Good hardworking people.
How did you get my phone number?
I am homeschooling my kids, and I am almost done with my training and certification to home school. The oldest is 5 years old.
I appreciate the work. Good questions.
I don't approve of the Foundation being paid for by the sale of the community hospital where I worked 40 years which has now been turned into a glorified nursing home. Also, as a result, most people have to go outside of Danville for medical care.
I don't like the way the Danville Regional Foundation came about because the hospital had to be sold but if that's how they get their money.
I don't see the area getting any better.
I don't think the government handles things correctly. Keep it so young people and the wrong doers get so much free stuff so it makes them worse people. They have the nicest cars and TVs. All the old people are working because they cant make it on the Social Security are getting. I think we need investigators to check on those people. They could give that money to people who are sick.
I don't trust the justice system of Pittsylvania County. After I came home from the hospital a crazy woman beat me up and then ran back to North Carolina. The county prosecuted me and would do nothing to listen to me or protect me from her.
I enjoyed talking with you.
I had a good relationship with the hospital.
I had to go to that hospital and they charged me for a day and a half. Fifteen thousand dollars. I was billed by some doctors I do not remember talking to. They admitted me to a room, but performed tests on an outpatient basis. When I went back to work two weeks later, they found out I was sick and laid me off. It used to be not for profit and now they bill you 8 to 10 dollars for an aspirin. It's just not the same. Memorial Hospital was a good hospital.
I have a grandson who really needs to continue his education -- is going to DCC community college. What he wants to pursue is extremely expensive, but his parents say they can't afford tuition. They have middle level income. Every time they have asked they have been told it is based on the household income. But I have been told it was not totally based on that. It's contradictory and I want to know how he can go about getting that education.
I hope Danville Regional Foundation will help improve the community.
I hope this will help communities outside Danville itself like Caswell.
I like Danville area as a whole. Issue is work. They are closing lots of factories and it's hard to find work. Like the area. A good place to live. I feel a part of immediate community but cannot vote because I'm afraid.
I love Danville and I am hoping that the Danville Regional Foundation will continue to help the people and be concerned about the people. I am hoping they will continue to do a good job.
I love living in this area, I've always lived here and I hope I live here the rest of my life.
I love surveys!

I might be bias since my husband is from the community and knows the community and wants to see it improve.
I see from working with the kids at church that we have teachers who are teachers because they have a degree and they needed a job. But they're not interested in helping the children learn. They're just there for a pay check. They get into their positions through the Lateral Entry Program, but they're not concerned with the children's education. Just their pay. So we need to seriously look at the Lateral Entry Program and also pork barrel spending.
I was treated well at the hospital and am thankful.
I wish the regional foundation would say more about what they do. Sometimes although I read the paper, I don't quite get what they do. Some of it but not all.
I would be willing to be a lot more involved if I had contact. I don't know how to get involved since I am retired.
I would like to see flowers planted in the community.
I would like to see more buildings opened up in Danville. There are too many that are closed down. They need to attract more businesses to Danville. That's why everybody is moving away.
I'd just like to say I appreciate what the Foundation has been doing, but sometimes certain programs when it comes to adults who have been trying to make it who have transitioned out of prison or wherever, the programs that were put in place to help them, the WIN program, to train them for jobs and help with resumes, I didn't understand why the Danville Regional Foundation did not contribute to the WIN program or faith-based programs, but contributed to the Y.
If I had not had a fond feeling with two daughters who went to school there for UVa I would not have sat through all those questions.
I'm a little floored that young people these days don't have more drive to improve themselves. It upsets me.
I'm really curious how much the Danville Foundation spent on this survey and what they expect to get out of it?
I'm well aware of them; they're going a great job.
In general his is outstanding area to live in. this is great.
Invested. A lot of people are unhappy about that. We have a right to wonder. I worked at the hospital as a nurse a couple different times. It was a pretty good hospital. And then all of a sudden it was cut down to nothing and lots of people lost their jobs. All the nurses had to move to different surrounding cities. Now how was that helping the city of Danville? The hospital changed totally. You now have doctors who do not know you. They know nothing about the population. They have no history with the town. I am 70 now and I go out of town to the doctor.
It is getting worse. So many people out of work. Do I feel safe? Right after my husband died, I put a new roof on my house. A black man came to my door a little after 9 and he just rung my doorbell and rung my doorbell and I would not go to the door. I hollered and was really ugly. I got my pistol and threatened him and he left. As long as I got my pistol and rifle I can use it. Lady next to me and there was a man and she had a pistol under her pillow.
It is important that you mentioned that the Danville Region Foundation at the beginning of the survey or else I would not have done the survey; Name recognition is very important
It's a pretty good place to live, can't complain but just not a lot to do.
It's too long.
Keep God before this country in everything you do.
Kids need more opportunity for sports.
Local politicians care less about those who vote for them now than years ago.
long survey

lots of questions
Many people were wondering what happened to the money from the sale of the hospital. Danville was a mill town and it was a place to make a living. These people were forced to give money to build portions of this hospital. Other people gave money. You were expected to do your part. And then it was sold and we do not know what happened to that money that we all gave.
My hand is numb. I wouldn't have taken the survey if I had known it was going to be this long.
My son went a whole year without a job and he has a baby he has custody of. They moved in with me. He finally got a job but it's out of town. People come up to you and ask if they can cut your grass. There is a terrible need for jobs.
Need assistance in care for the elderly in daytime so persons could work.
need for a safe house for abused woman
need more jobs in Danville
Need to open a senior citizen center like in Lynchburg. Seniors can pay some money for services. Passport? Not any services for seniors where we live. No recreation or group activities.
No, but the survey is too lengthy.
Not able to participate in volunteer activities I might have done in previous years because I have to be taking care of my very sick, invalid husband.
Not physically active; considers Danville region his family.
Of a lawsuit. The doctors do not know the community, and do not care for them. Most people say they would rather die than go to that hospital. I go to Salem or Duke.
One thing going on is the uranium they want to dig for and I do not feel it is a good idea.
ought to talk to the people - not just survey them
Pave her road.
People are tired of the President, Congress and Senate playing politics. Tired of them not doing for the American people. We are tired of our boys and girls in wars they shouldn't be in the first place and all of the money that's been spent on the wars like flushing down the commode, should be used for Americans, sick people, children, to educate them. Washington is not doing their job.
Rather live in another state with beaches, go south.
Respondent had a few questions on what the surveys was about and how it was being conducted and where.
Say no to uranium mining.
Since I settled here in 1951, I've been pleased with the community and the neighbors. My only wish is that there would be more employment and industry to entertain the young people to stay in the area. With the decline of the local tobacco farming, young people have to move elsewhere to find jobs that provide income adequate for a decent living.
Some of the questions about my community would have been very different if you had clarified if it was talking about where I lived or Danville as a whole. That should be made clear.
Some people refuse to reveal their demographics.
Sometimes Pittsylvania County forgets about Hurt, VA. I was on the town council for about 20 years.
Sorry I couldn't answer better on the organizations.
Southern Area Office of Aging should be funded to help older folks get help like having someone to come in and clean, at a reduced rate. When I tried to get some help they had run out of funding for the year. They put out a booklet for elder people services. They also have some energy assistance. I am disabled, 80+ years old, and need that type of thing.
Thank the foundation for the doing the survey
Thank you for calling, for raising awareness on existing issues. Good survey!

That hospital should have never been sold. If people around here could go any where else for medical care, they would. So I don't care about that foundation. They take the money from the sale of that hospital and invest it in things that aren't worth two cents to the rest of the people around here.
The children in the area need something to do. We just do not have enough parks. More things to do. Water parks, amusement parks, etc.
The Danville Regional Foundation should never have been started. They should not have sold the hospital.
The electric bill is the biggest think. I want people to know about that; people don't really know. If you come here you can have an \$800-900 light bill.
The old boy network is alive and well in Caswell County--the prejudice, racially, is still rampant. Otherwise I love the place.
The question is not whether people should stay or leave Danville, it's what kind of jobs we are offering them. Then the people who have the skills can work them, whether they are from Danville or move to Danville. This creates a more diverse workplace.
The questionnaire should include a question about the police department. My experience is that this area has the best police of any are you can think of. They do an excellent job and deserve more recognition. There can be a robbery or shooting and most of and most of the time within a few days they are solved. That is remarkable. It doesn't happen like that in other places.
The region needs help with its unemployment and poverty rates. In the school system, many teachers do not know what they're doing, don't have adequate subject matter knowledge and when they do they simply do not know how to teach properly. The education system needs to improve.
The school system could greatly improve.
There are a lot of extreme bad feelings about the Danville Regional Foundation and how they got their money. They sold our private hospital to a big corporation, and the general feeling is the services of the hospital are very bad. Even some of the doctors won't let their families go there. I know these people are good people, they really are. There are a lot of bad feelings about the Foundation and people consider their money "dirty money," not clean money.
There are car lots and low-income housing on it. There should be restaurants, shops and such. New city manager seems to be more pro-active than the old one.
There is terrible cell phone reception. We need towers in the south side and internet service is also bad. Health care in this area is not what it should be.
They need to be a little bit more independent. The criticism I have with them is that they seem to be too tied in to the 'good old boy' network that still exists in this town, and if they make themselves more independent and try to bring us into the 20th century.
They need to establish a good emergency room with their money, because what we have is very substandard.
They need to provide daycare and childcare so that people can work and not be on welfare.
They really do fine things for this community..
This county is not like it was back 5, 10 years ago. As far as the hospital is concerned I don't like it. Your doctor doesn't go to the hospital to see you and he knows you and you have to go to see somebody else. They don't read all your charts. We need Central down here. The hospital rips you off. People are not getting the care they need but they're paying for it.
This was a long survey.

<p>Too numerous. I'm involved in the historic district and we all get together a lot and talk about Danville and its future. The city of Danville pays outside resources to do studies on Danville and how to improve living conditions and jobs and all that and they've just done it again recently but then they never act on any of it. A couple years later they pay for another study to be done, etc. They never act on improving the quality of life.</p>
<p>Very distressed about Danville Regional Foundation because of source of funding, being the "rape" of our hospital.</p>
<p>very long survey</p>
<p>Want Danville Regional Foundation to assist the county in providing recreational activities for the children, and do a better job in supporting families with children with mental health issues.</p>
<p>We appreciate all the help given to the Senior Center, it's really a nice thing. I hope to take advantage of it soon.</p>
<p>We need a hospital. We need another one. You can go to the ER and it takes ten hours to get waited on. We need a hospital bad. If not another hospital, we at least need another emergency facility.</p>
<p>We need more jobs.</p>
<p>We need more jobs. I worked for the hospital for almost 10 years as a contract worker helping people apply for assistance in their medical bills. The hospital had a program you could apply people for. Most people fell in SLH and that would help with the hospital charges. Very rewarding job. No such programs anymore there. I want to stress again, we need more jobs in the area.</p>
<p>We were concerned about why you were asking us all these questions, but you explained that. Only that I hope it will benefit Caswell County because Caswell is a very poor county and we need all the help possible. I'm hoping to leave and go back to Baltimore.</p>
<p>We would not use them at all. We would go to Lynchburg. Don't have very much faith in the hospital there, I have worked there before. A family member there and had bad experiences.</p>
<p>Well, if in the beginning you had asked me how old I am. Now you see I used to participate in a lot of these things but I can't any more because I got sick and I'm in a wheelchair.</p>
<p>What is our reward for participating in this survey?</p>
<p>What would help Caswell County would be place for senior citizens to do water exercise. A lot of senior citizens in county.</p>
<p>When we had a survey 25 years ago on this uranium business, they promised to send us a copy of meetings we attended, but they never did. At the University of Virginia. I wish they would send us results of the survey. I attended three meetings at the time about it, but we never got reports. We are all concerned about the uranium going into the river.</p>
<p>Worked for the hospital for ten years. Left when it was sold. It's a terrible place and not serving the community. The facility is there, but is being wasted because nobody will go there. Had my gallbladder removed recently in Salem and neighbor had hers removed at the Danville Hospital. Says neighbor's intestines were cut in a routine gallbladder operation and she died from the infection.</p>
<p>You all are wasting your time and phone calls because ain't nothing going to change around here.</p>
<p> </p>
<p> </p>
<p>Q_RELIGION: Not including weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services?</p>
<p> </p>
<p>a couple times</p>
<p>Because health is the only reason. Can't tell you that I can't get up and get dressed on Sunday. I do want to go a lot. I do watch TV with the Word, but can't get to the church I want to go to.</p>
<p>Can't trust your religious whatever; because I've seen false teachers and you can't trust that. I used to go all the time because I enjoyed the church but I haven't been in the past six months.</p>

depends on mothers' health
every couple months
every week until husband fell
I used to do weekly but not since health declined.
I watch TV every Sunday morning.
I would like to go, but unable.
Last two years haven't been able to due to back surgery but since Christmas I have been going.
Mother is sick so I can't go anymore
Religion is one of the biggest issues in this city. We're in the Guinness World Book for having the most churches and they're all hypocrites.
Up until two years ago, I went every Sunday. Because of illness I've only been about four times in the past year.
You had a survey that made it unable for you to go, but before you went regularly.
Q_RESOURCE: What are some of the resources you have to draw on for help in solving community problems?
a Congressman
A guy who works with me to help solve issues that is downtown.
A relative who is a member of the board of supervisors.
a representative in the House of Delegates
AARP
Acquaintances that are police in other localities.
adult learning center
An anti-uranium mining group that meets here in Danville.
An artist from another county on how to bring the art to town.
an education
Another cousin lives up the road and was Jerry Falwells' personal accountant. Falwell did a lot to help our church in politics and sent several preachers down, several from Liberty University.
any state agency or university
As town manager, I worked 37 years for VDOT. I have lots of connections with VDOT.
Board of Supervisors
Board of Supervisors, town hall meetings
call city council, police
call Congress in Virginia
calling the local elected officials in the county
can talk to Caswell representatives
Can't name anything specifically; discussion and that type of thing.
church
church
church
church
Church community - work with church to bring about change. Also work with political offices to bring about changes.
church family
church friends, lawyer
church, local Senators or Congressman
city center; Caswell County Sheriffs Department

City Council
City Council
City Council
City Council, mayor
Colleagues that live in other cities. Former colleagues at the University of North Carolina. Colleagues in Maryland.
Community Activist Groups, requesting a meeting with the city council, voicing my opinion at any city council meeting
community church
company business and union affiliation
computer
Congressman and State Senator
Congressmen and Senators; personal friends and neighbors
Congressmen and state government
Congressperson
connections with different organizations
connections with the mayor and different people
Contacts in other cities and areas that have issues similar to what we have like economic development and enriching the downtown area.
contacts in the DC area for resource information
contacts with state and regional associations
Contacts with state delegates and from Central Virginia and friendships within the political party structure to bring attention to issues.
Councilmen
county resources to help the poor and things of that nature, and church
Danville City Council
Danville City Council
Danville Regional Foundation, obesity coalition, obesity task force, a state-wide initiative declined
depends on what kind of problem it is
Different people, and I do know someone in the Virginia government.
don't know
educational resources
employed by community foundation
Every three months a Neighborhood Watch meeting.
family
family, previous school mentors, connections in DC
Farm Bureau; NEA - National Education Association
former employer
foundations, think-tanks, some of those national departments like: NSF, EPA etc.
friend on City Council and Senators or Representatives
friends
friends
friends
friends
friends and family in the government
friends and other organizations and family
Friends in other communities. I lived in Lynchburg and Richmond, a few politicians, judge
Government Representatives

governmental community, personal contacts
governor
home in North Carolina
I am the principal of a school, so we have teachers we can draw on and other school systems. Kiwanis club member as well.
I belong to a political organization.
I can find out about political events outside of town where my mother lives.
I don't want to answer.
I have a lawyer who works out of town.
I know my Congressman personally. I'm connected to a number of influential people in Danville, including the director of the regional foundation. Also an Episcopal priest, so tied into network of church.
I know my Congressman and his parents and wife.
I know people who know people.
I know Representatives personally.
I know some people at local government level. I know some people at Danville Regional Foundation and other associations.
I know state representative.
I know the mayor of South Boston.
I know the mayor.
I retired from law enforcement and know many law enforcement people in the surrounding county and other areas and Newport News.
I served on the board of directors of the Institute of Advanced Learning; many personal connections.
I work for a bank and we go through United Way
I work for a newspaper and have connections through that.
I would try to serve as a resource myself.
If we know we may have a problem like a drug house, there is somewhere we can go to and turn over to them and they can check into it.
I'd like to decline on that.
Individuals in Washington who are residents here also to try to make some changes.
individuals outside of the community, but not resources
internet
internet
internet
internet
internet and associations with city or past city employers and affiliation with political party
Just individuals I know that can possibly help in the community, city council and different people.
just police
know more people in the town
legal aid society, NAACP, Pittsylvania County Community Action
legislators
legislators, representatives.
local government commissioners
local or state organizations or national organizations
members of an organization
mentoring program YMP, counseling group
Mostly internet, reliable sites, conversations with relatives and friends outside of the area. Certain organizations.

My background, my education, people I know from the area I grew up. The same district as far as Congress and the elections go. A lot of friends are in high places.
my church
my church
my Congressman, City Council members
My family is very close to some of our representatives.
My husband works for the Department of Defense.
my Senator and Representative
My two sons and a daughter who live outside the community.
My work in a different town from where I live.
NAACP
NAACP
NAACP, couple of others but mostly NAACP. I know a lot of active people that can help out.
National Association of Postmasters
no answer
no examples come to mind
NRA member, Veterans Association
organization - educational; meets with General Assembly and lobbyist
Organizations around here, like sororities and fraternities and political groups that offer assistance at times.
Other people not in my community.
our Congressman
our government officials, local officials, know a couple of them personally
Over 7,000 people on email, education officials, high social standing people, rich people who can stir up something.
People I can call.
People I know from out of state, different agencies, etc. I have family in Chicago, IL and I'm original from Fresno, CA. I know people.
people in high places
People that have had experience.
People that hold office who I can call on them for help.
Pilot Club
Pittsylvania County community agency's various organizations
Policeman, people in the school systems, church, meetings, business men, women's club and supervisors. We have lots of people that would help I think.
politicians
Politicians. I worked for Perriello campaign. I know most of the City Councilmen and the school board.
professional - collegial people, networking
rather not disclose
rather not go into that
refuse
relatives out of town
representative in the state government
representatives
Resources from VA Main Street Program, VA Department of Historic Resources, etc.
Robert Hurt and his family
Robert Hurt, Bill Stanley, Danny Marshall and Don Merrick are really in our community, but I've been to Richmond and to DC to visit with all of them.

Safety issues. I can look at the community emergency response team. Access the department for the blind and visually impaired. I can contact my local Congressman.
Senator
Senator, Governor, Congress. I can write whomever I want to write.
Senators
Senators, members of Congress you can contact.
Senators, State Representatives, local officials.
Several different levels of law enforcement, community resources anywhere from federal invested services to Homeland Security. Numerous states agencies and victim services.
Sherriff's department, representatives, Senators, local government
Social Services
some friends
some of the people in office
soup kitchens, police, Sheriffs office
State Department of Transportation, State Department of Health and Human Services
State legislator
State legislators, Department of Education
State level organizations and non-profit organizations
State organizations dealing with my family and other families like mine.
State Senator, State Delegate, US Senator and Congressional Representative
Teachers Union
The fact that I know people who are in some government official places at the state level.
the internet
The people on the state board, school board, etc.
the town manager, the town council
There is always access to somebody who does know, even if it is the internet.
trade organizations
TV, radio, newspaper,
United Way. Entities that are not political in nature but are resource. People in the state Goodwill network.
Virginia Association of Museums, grant writer, human service programs; over a dozen resources. work with some doctors at Virginia Tech, work with agri-business groups to start farmers markets, etc.
would not like to answer
Q_RETURNS: If opportunities improve after a young person moves away, would you hope that the person returns to the Danville region or do you think it would be better for them to stay in some other area to live?
An initial career is better to start out somewhere else, get older and come back.
As long as they can find a job I want them back in Danville.
Bad for the city. Not from here. If had an opportunity, I would move.
Better to return if they could find a good job here.
Better to stay away if they find job there.
better to stay for job opportunity
both one and two apply
current circumstance of individual
depends on crime rate in the other areas

depends on goals
Depends on how their career is.
Depends on how they are doing where they are.
Depends on how well they do.
Depends on jobs available.
Depends on the area they're working in.
depends on the circumstance
depends on the circumstances
depends on the individual
depends on the job
depends on the kid
depends on the opportunity
depends on the person
depends on the person
depends on the situation
Depends on the situation. The public education in the area has not been good. Private education is pretty good but very expensive.
Depends on the skills they have. If their skills are not conducive to this area, they should stay elsewhere.
Depends on the type of career they have. If it's a service career to help the community then coming back is good. If no good opportunities, then staying away is best.
depends on their area of expertise
depends on their career choice
depends on their career choice
Depends on their education and what it prepares them to do.
Depends on their field. A field in the arts would be senseless to come back home, nothing for them here in technology either. There are only jobs in education and nursing in this county.
Depends on their goals and what Danville has to offer in that area.
depends on their job and skill set
depends on what is available when coming home
Depends on what they are pursuing, Not a lot of opportunities in Danville as far as technology wise; more like a retirement town.
depends on what they want
Depends on what they wanted to do with their lives.
Depends on what they wanted to do.
Depends on where their jobs are and the person really.
Depends on where they are, but gut feeling is that they would be better off somewhere else.
Depends on where they move to, If can be asset to the community then want them to come back.
depends on work
Depends where the work is. They'd like to stay here but there are no jobs, especially if they don't have a skill.
For retirement it would be good to come back but not before retirement.
Go somewhere and then come back to retire.
High unemployment around 10% and that is an improvement from a year ago. Virginia is 5%, so Danville is one of the highest in the state.
Hope they return, if they have something to bring back and contribute to the community.
how their income is
I could not fault them for leaving if the job is well-paid.
I don't know, but personally, it would probably depend on what type of job it is.

I feel negative about this area, it's rated high for crime and drugs.
I hope they return only if they can make it a better community, otherwise no.
I hope they would return if we can get some jobs.
I think eventually come back. Things are cheaper here.
I think it would be better for them to stay until they determine if it might be best for them to return.
I want them to come back if they do really well because Danville needs help.
I want them to stay but it is not much there to keep them.
If a better place is available, go.
If the place there is better or if industry moves to Danville region.
If their skills can be used in Danville they should go where their skills can be used.
If they are happy they should stay wherever they are.
If they can help the region, I think it would be better for them to come back.
If they have gained a marketable skill and can help community and be profitable doing it they should come back, otherwise stay away.
If they have same offerings. For more sophisticated occupations, probably elsewhere.
If they're going to school here they should stay here. We're not sure about our grandson.
If things got better, sure.
It all depends on the economic situation.
It all depends on what they're doing. If they're doing good, then they might as well stay. If not, then they can return home.
It depends if they were native to the area.
It depends on career choice. I like to have children come back to this area.
It depends on education and what they have been trained to do. They could benefit here or somewhere else.
It depends on how it is in Danville, stop laying off, more businesses.
It depends on jobs, education they have and what type of job they have.
It depends on opportunities available.
It depends on opportunities here in Danville for them.
It depends on opportunities that come up for them.
It depends on the job opportunities that they're seeking and availability here.
It depends on the person. Some people don't like it in the country but sometimes the county is better. I wish I could live in the county. I don't like to be that close to people either. I lived in the county for 43 years.
It depends on the person's desires.
It depends on the situation of the person.
It depends on what the individual person is looking for in life.
It depends on what they're doing. If there are jobs and opportunities here, obviously stay here, but if there are opportunities elsewhere, they need to take those.
It depends on what's best for them.
It depends. If they can get a good job here then return.
It is an individualized question.
It varies from person-to-person if no opportunity elsewhere and they can retire, great.
It would depend on how successful they are in the other area.
It would depend on their preference.
It would depend on what they wish to do with their life.
It's their choice. I have no opinion.
It's up to the individual
It's up to the individual.
It's up to the individual.

job
Killing is a problem at school and gangs.
matter of choice
Maybe after they retire. My daughters have bought homes in other states.
Maybe later they could return. Things might get better.
Maybe they would want to come back home.
My son would have graduated college if we moved to Louisiana. They have a big city and support from uncle and aunt and a big atmosphere to rely on.
not a lot of opportunities
not the same for everyone
on career area
on career.
on the circumstances
on their career
On what kind of employment they have where they are.
On what kind of job they have.
On what the child wants.
On what the person likes.
return for retirement
return if Danville economically comes back
return to visit, anyhow
Some people benefit from staying here, not as many opportunities here as for whole population as another area would be.
Stay unless there are more job opportunities.
still depends
The city is nowhere to raise any child.
their decision
There are not many college level jobs.
There is nothing here for anybody.
To be happy but not to please me. It would not be my choice.
To stay away if they have a job. They wouldn't find a decent job in the area where I live in Pittsylvania County.
Unless things in the Danville region change, I would say they would have to stay in some other area. The Danville region is lacking in available job opportunities for the residents.
up to them
up to them
We wish, but all my family is out of Danville, but made homes elsewhere.
What they wanted.
Whatever is to their benefit.
Whatever works for them.
When they retire it's OK but not while they're earning a living.
Where they would be the happiest.
Yes, I would like for them to return, only if the current conditions are better for them to return. The economy is not great.
Q_SCHLSAFE: How safe do you feel the schools are for the students?
An Avery College student was raped. Newspaper headline and lockdown recently.

Elementary - very safe; middle and high - questionable
High schools aren't that good.
I cut a bus drivers' hair and they tell me awful tales, and these are little kids. I wouldn't say safe, but not really unsafe either.
I don't like the choices. They're too black and white. I feel somewhat safe but not entirely safe. Yet I don't go around feeling unsafe either.
I have learned of a homecoming game last year, and we knew gang members were coming and did not have metal detectors hooked up. I was ill, people did see the knives.
I lean towards safe but somewhere between safe and unsafe.
I wish they would be safer with metal detectors.
If you're a girl you're out to get groped a lot and that's not being safe. George Washington High School in the city of Danville is a real disaster, completely out of control.
They just put deputies in the schools, so I don't know how to answer. If they were safe the sheriffs wouldn't be there.
Q_SCHOOLS: How would you rate the education provided by the public schools?
I don't believe in public schools and would never send my child to public school. I would teach them myself at home. Too much sex stuff, dope stuff, cussing.
It depends on the school. My son goes to the Magnet school, which is good. I don't know about the others.
Q_SHOPDAY: How safe do you feel in shopping areas in the community during the day?
depends on the shopping area location
Have to get my son to protect me when I go to the store; none of us are safe.
if a lot of people
in Danville city - not safe; in my area - safe
somewhat safe
The mall in Danville is not safe day or night, lots of fights.
Q_SHOPNITE: How safe do you feel in shopping areas in the community at night
halfway safe
I don't go out at night.
I don't go out shopping at night.
In Caswell, but not in Danville. I don't shop in Danville at night.
Not unsafe, but not as safe as I'd like to be. I'm aware of my surroundings.
Pick pockets. By myself so it is stupid to go out.
somewhat safe
with caution
Q_TRAINING: If you had the opportunity, how likely is it that you would take a special course or receive any special job training?

already am receiving training
also a permanently disabled vet
At my age, and attention deficit disorder, unlikely.
depends on what type of training
not able to
would take a computer class
Q_TRUST: How much of the time do you think you can trust the local government to do what is right?
It depends on how you define what's right.
Not eating breakfast, lunch dinner. Maybe only eat once a day, because I live alone and go to grocery store don't know what to buy. I don't want to go in. Many men and women after menopause don't have any support group and can develop vitamin D deficiency and go to doctor for this. Danville doesn't take good care of elderly. Can't drink Danville water because of the chlorine in it. Have to buy drinking water.
The ballots around here are for stupid things. They don't address issues that matter. The things that matter are school and medical issues. Roads are terrible, don't address speed limit. They need to address this.
very seldom
We got some of the worst politicians we've ever had.
Q_TYPEHOME: Which of the following best describes the place where you live?
a log cabin
a two story double-wide
double wide
double wide trailer with addition
modular home
modular home
ranch
ranch house
retirement home
assisted living home
Q_TYPEVOL: What types of organizations have you volunteered for?
A leadership development group organized by the Chamber of Commerce.
a realtor's networking committee
a volunteer rescue squad
AA and NA
American Cancer Association
an association of church groups
animal rights group
any natural disasters
Big Brother and Big Sisters organizations

blood drive
Boys and Girls Club
Boys' and Girls' Club.
Chamber of Commerce, charitable trust
charities
charities - non-specified
church
church
church and needy children at elementary school; DAWN run by the local ministerial association, Donation A Week Neighbor
church shelter
civic organization is fire department
class reunion, UOA (Unit Owner's Association)
clothes drive
community foundation board
Danville Historical Club
Danville Museum of Fine Arts and History
disaster crisis responder
disaster relief organizations
domestic violence organization
farm work with students in FFA and also support boys and girls showing livestock
Fire Department
fire department
fire department
fire department
fire department
fire department
fire department
fire department
fire department fundraising events
fire department, children organizations and police wives
fire, Relay for Life, rescue squad
Fundraiser for organization that helps during disasters.
fundraiser for the city of Danville
Future Farmers of America
God's Storehouse and homeless shelter
God's Storehouse, Young at Heart society and Red Hats group
Habitat for Humanity
handicapped
Help people when needed, Parkinson patients
historic preservation
Historical Society, museum
Historical Society, museum
hospice
housecleaning, painting, etc. at the church
Humane Society
hunting club and fire department
I take my therapy dog to autistic children and work with her with them.
I volunteer at hospital, making baby food for new babies.

involved in restoration of an historical building as a museum
Jr. Livestock Association
local rescue squad, thrift store
manager for blood drive for church
Master Gardener's Program
mostly church based
museum, social clubs
music shows for the homeless
My daughters school went up there to help out.
Native Americans issues, misuse of reservations out Midwest
neighborhood
Neighborhood Watch
no particular organization
nursing home
nursing homes, jail ministries, drug addicts.
Participated in home repair for disabled through church organization.
peer tutoring
Project Literacy, teaching adults to read
Red Cross and blood drive
rest homes
Revitalization of Greater Gretna
Rotary
Salvation Army
Salvation Army
Salvation Army
Salvation Army
Salvation Army
Salvation Army store
Salvation Army work putting together Christmas gifts for needy kids.
Salvation Army, Kiwanis, Knights of Columbus
self-help
senior citizen home.
senior citizens volunteers
Service to the troops overseas, like making food packages.
sororities; Deeds - a non-profit organization that's concerned with economic development and education.
substance abuse groups, Eastern Star
transport older people to medical appointments
United Way
VFW - ladies auxiliary
volunteer fire department
volunteer fire department
volunteer for Danville Regional Foundation
volunteer for disaster relief organization
volunteer for the crossing for the park and recreation
Volunteer help for people when they ask and need help.
went to Pulaski to help clean up after tornado
woman's club; fire department
yearly dinner for homeless

youth anti-drug organization and a special needs children's' organization
Q_ VOLUNTER: In the past 12 months, have you volunteered any of your time to organizations such as charities, schools, hospitals, religious organizations, neighborhood associations, and civic or other groups?
Every month I give my time on an individual basis not through organizations. A lot of people do that because they don't want to be tied down to something and want to be moved by what is in their heart to do, or want to do it anonymously.
I don't think I have in the last year.
I volunteer to help an elderly neighbor.
nothing but my church
thirteen years of volunteer work until husband got sick.
volunteer to babysit
work with church
Q_ VOTE: Are you currently registered to vote?
Once you get a federal, you know you can't.
until I moved into a new district about a month ago
Q_ WELLKEPT: My neighborhood is being well kept up.
A lot of stealing going on. They have taken farm equipment and our mailbox.
A lot of the houses are going downhill. My street is one of the better kept streets.
My neighborhood's gone down hill quick, related to the kind of income people have. Some are on fixed income or financial assistance.
Q_ WHYTRAIN: What is the one main reason that you might like to get special job training?
all of the above
all of them
always new systems to learn.
Army, going into it
available
because of my disability
because we moved our jobs to China and are legally obligated
best in my field
Both because work requires it and for personal gain or accomplishment.
brush up on my computer skills
Can't explain that right now.
continuing education
for fun
For my own benefit, but I am currently unable to due to an injury.
general curiosity

general knowledge
have to maintain training
I didn't finish college when I started before so I would go back and do the same thing.
I don't have enough experience.
I know at this time.
I like to learn. Just to improve and keep up with technology.
I think people can always improve with some type of training or classes. Keeps the mind sharp.
I would like to be working on my own. If I had a license would be doing other work
I would like to better myself in my current job or anything that could happen in future. I could need to do something different
I would take a job to help better my life, To live the way I used to live. I enjoy working and even though I'm retired I need to work.
If an opportunity presented itself.
If my job required it and also I'd like to enhance my job performance.
If they laid me off.
improve my knowledge
improve myself
improvement of self
Just because I enjoy learning.
Just for information and things I'd like to learn.
just for knowledge
Just for knowledge and learning. Also in order to participate in volunteer work.
just for personal reasons
just for the challenge of learning
just for the satisfaction
Just something I wanted to do.
Just something to do,
just to benefit myself if available
Just to broaden myself and do something different.
Just to get out and help somebody if I could help them
Just to have a hobby or something to occupy some of the time I have.
Just to have something to do.
just to improve
just to improve my skills and marketability
just to improve skills and maintain sanity
Just to keep using my brain.
keep from being bored
keep up on different changes and keep my mind active
learn something new.
licensure requirements
mental problems
more personal interest than anything else
open a business
Opportunities for other things to if current job falls through.
personal advancement
personal desire to learn
personal development
personal goals
personal interest

Personal knowledge in case I needed it. Better to know more than what is actually needed.
Personal reasons to keep with modern technology and to stay informed.
relieve boredom
Retired, so I am not really interested.
self benefit
self fulfillment
Self help: to give me something to do and keep my mind occupied. So I can learn something.
self improvement
self improvement
self improvement
self improvement
self improvement and reentry into the job market
self interest and self help
take job training for computers just to learn
There are not many job opportunities in Danville.
There are skills that I would like to learn for personal reasons.
There might be something I would be interested in doing.
to better my life
to better myself, for myself, not my job
to better yourself
To broaden opportunities and teach in more areas.
to enhance myself
To improve my abilities at my job but not necessarily to improve my current job.
to improve myself
to increase knowledge.
to learn a new skill
to learn a new skill
to learn computer skills
To learn how to help people if someone was sick or in an emergency situation.
To learn more about what I like which is computers and software and hardware and if there were a course I would take it to improve my skills.
to learn something new
to qualify for a job
Training for something that might happen around the home, for something I might need to fix or repair.
Trying to find a job. Where I've worked I've either been laid-off or the plant has shut down.
Q_YRSAGO: Where on the same 1 to 10 scale would you say that [NAME OF CITY] stood five years ago?
Back then things were good but right now there are no jobs.
because more employment then
been in decline ever since Dan River Mills, textile mill
Better eight yrs ago. We had Dan River Mills. Then it started shutting down.
Factory went out of business and created many economical problems for the workers. The Dan River Corporation.

<p>I don't get out. I don't buy a paper because I don't want to know all the drama going on. They had men getting ready to bomb in Caswell County. You never dream of such in a small county. They were practicing to kill American citizens.</p>
<p>I grew up in the south and there is a big difference in area you live in now. In the south they watched out more for each other. Here you could be stuck in house nobody would ever check on you. I would put somebody before myself, taught my son this too, appalling that they go to these schools and get kids to sign up for the military. My son walked in with recruiter at 17 to see if qualified to early enlistment. I signed it, signed it for him to go in. Two days after graduation he went into boot camp. People called my friend and she hangs up on them says will not talk to them until they turn 18. Girls mature faster than boys. Their family has a history of military. I think that they should not enlist until 21. They are not mature like back when we were growing up, they live in a fantasy.</p>
<p>I used to enjoy it more when less populated because now I have to lock my doors and before I didn't have to.</p>
<p>Not a fair question in that everybody is worse off now than five years ago because of economic conditions and the present administration, but we haven't had a decent administration since GHW Bush.</p>